90% Remedial Design Basis of Design Report

Appendix O Pre-Final (90%) Remedial Design Engineer's Cost Estimate

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intr	oduction	1
2	Sou	rces of Cost Information and Costing Approach	2
3	Dire	ect, Indirect Construction, and Additional Construction Oversight Tasks	4
	3.1	Direct Construction Tasks	4
	3.2	Indirect Construction Tasks	5
	3.3	Additional Construction Oversight Tasks	6
4	Cos	ting Assumptions Used for the Pre-Final (90%) RD	7
	4.1	General Costing Assumptions	7
	4.2	Specific Remedial Design Costing Assumptions	8
5	Dre	dge and Material Placement Quantities Summary	12
6	Cos	ts Summary	13
7	Ref	erences	15

TABLES

Table O5-1	Summary of Pre-Final (90%) RD Dredging Quantities	12
Table O5-2	Summary of Pre-Final (90%) RD Material Placement Quantities	12
Table O6-1	Total Project Cost for LDW Upper Reach Implementation at Pre-Final (90%) RD	14

ATTACHMENT

Attachment O.1 Detailed Engineer's Cost Estimate Workbook



ABBREVIATIONS

BODR	Basis of Design Report
CY	cubic yard
ENR	enhanced natural recovery
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
GAC	granular activated carbon
LDW	Lower Duwamish Waterway
QA	quality assurance
RAA	remedial action area
RD	remedial design
RMC	residuals management cover
SMA	sediment management area



1 Introduction

This appendix presents the Pre-Final (90%) Remedial Design (RD) Engineer's Cost Estimate and associated cost assumptions for the sediment remedy for the upper reach (river miles 3.0 to 5.0) of the Lower Duwamish Waterway (LDW) Superfund Site in King County, Washington. The design process is presented in the *Remedial Design Work Plan for the Lower Duwamish Waterway Upper Reach* (Anchor QEA and Windward 2019) for the remedy selected in U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) November 2014 *Record of Decision* (EPA 2014). This Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate was prepared in support of the Pre-Final (90%) RD *Basis of Design Report* (BODR), based on the design information provided on the Pre-Final (90%) Drawings (Volume III). The Engineer's Cost Estimate is anticipated to continue to be refined and built upon in the Final (100%) RD phase.

This Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate evaluation was prepared on behalf of the City of Seattle, King County, the Port of Seattle, and The Boeing Company, collectively referred to as the Lower Duwamish Waterway Group.

Based on the BODR's design criteria and other key elements for implementing the sediment remedy, this appendix outlines the basis and rationale for the Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate and includes the following supporting information:

- Section 2: Sources of Cost Information and Costing Approach
- Section 3: Direct and Indirect Construction Tasks
- Section 4: Costing Assumptions Used for the Pre-Final (90%) RD
- Section 5: Dredge and Material Placement Quantities Summary
- Section 6: Costs Summary

Attachment O.1 contains the detailed Engineer's cost estimate workbook for the Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate, organized as follows:

- Attachment O.1.1: Summary 90% RD Engineer's Cost Estimate
- Attachment 0.1.2: Detailed 90% RD Engineer's Cost Estimate
- Attachment 0.1.3: Detailed Notes
- Attachment 0.1.4: Detailed Quantities
- Attachment 0.1.5: Production Rates and Durations
- Attachment 0.1.6: Detailed Structural Work Costs



2 Sources of Cost Information and Costing Approach

The development of the Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate was based on a multiple-step process to derive site-specific unit costs and lump sum prices for the upper reach sediment remedy; this process consisted of using both parametric and bottom-up costing approaches. Parametric costing uses historical cost data to assign a dollar value to certain project costs. Parametric costing applied to this Engineer's Cost Estimate consisted of reviewing historical unit costs for similar sediment remediation and/or dredging projects completed locally and regionally, based on their relevance and applicability to the upper reach (i.e., similar quantities and/or remediation conditions).

In bottom-up costing, the large project is broken down into a number of smaller components, and costs are specifically derived for each of these smaller work components. Bottom-up costing applied to this Engineer's Cost Estimate was developed by estimating labor, equipment, and other ancillary add-ons for each construction activity based on engineering cost guidance (e.g., RS Means) and past project experience. By comparing bottom-up costs with parametric cost information, along with engineering best professional judgment, "probable" unit costs and "probable" lump sums were then derived.

To support the Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate, several sources of information were reviewed, including the following:

- Contractors' bid costs and engineers' construction cost estimates for similar sediment remediation and/or dredging projects completed locally (in the Seattle area) and regionally (in the Pacific Northwest), as well as knowledge of construction activities and challenges identified during construction oversight. The following project costs were reviewed:
 - Glacier Northwest, Inc., Terminal Maintenance Dredging (Seattle, Washington)
 - Terminal 18 Maintenance Dredging (Seattle, Washington)
 - J.A. Jack & Sons, Inc., and Lehigh Hanson Berths Maintenance Dredging (Seattle, Washington)
 - Denny Way CSO Nearshore Interim Sediment Cleanup (Seattle, Washington)
 - Terminal 117 Phase 1 Sediment and Upland Cleanup (Seattle, Washington)
 - Jorgenson Forge Sediment Remediation LDW Early Action Area (Seattle, Washington)
 - Slip 4 Sediment Remediation LDW Early Action Area (Seattle, Washington)
 - Port Gamble Bay Sediment Cleanup Project (Port Gamble, Washington)
 - Whatcom Waterway Phase 1 Sediment Cleanup (Bellingham, Washington)
 - Port of Olympia Marine Berths 2 & 3 Interim Action Dredging (Olympia, Washington)
- Anchor QEA's engineering best professional judgment based on past experience with similar remedial actions and associated pricing, as well as project-specific considerations that influence key cost factors (e.g., production rates)
- Engineering cost guidance (RS Means)



Some of the above-referenced projects were recently constructed, while others were completed more than 10 years ago. The age of the reference project bid cost data was considered when reviewing historical sediment remediation costs (i.e., unit costs were adjusted for standard inflation to present-day U.S. dollars [2023] for comparison to current costing of the upper reach sediment remedy).



90% Remedial Design Basis of Design Report O-3 | July 2023

3 Direct, Indirect Construction, and Additional Construction Oversight Tasks

This section describes the activities used to develop costs for direct construction (Section 3.1), indirect construction (Section 3.2), and additional construction oversight (Section 3.3) tasks. Additional detailed descriptions of these tasks are provided in the detailed Engineer's cost estimate workbook, Attachment O.1 (Attachment O.1.3).

3.1 Direct Construction Tasks

Direct construction tasks include all construction activities anticipated to be conducted by the contractor. The following direct construction tasks are included in this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate:

- **Mobilization and demobilization** include the costs associated with mobilizing and demobilizing personnel and marine/land equipment, procedures, contractor work plan development and other submittals, and the contractor site office and administration. Special bonding and insurance are assumed to be included under this task.
- **Site preparation** includes the costs associated preparing the work site (clearing and grubbing upland areas prior to excavation) and preparation, setup, and maintenance of the upland staging area.¹
- **Surveys** include the costs for contactor pre- and post-construction bathymetric and topographic surveys plus progress, post-dredge, and post-material placement bathymetric/topographic surveys and as-built surveys.
- **Structural work** includes the costs for bulkhead strengthening and reinforcement; removal, offloading, upland transportation, and disposal of timber piles (including dolphins); and replacement of piles used for Tribal fishing with steel pipe piles. Costs for outfall pipe energy dissipator installation are included under the structural work task.
- **Dredging and excavation** activities include costs for dredging, contingency re-dredging, excavation, in-water barge transportation, and removal/disposal of both dredge debris (considered incidental to dredging) and identified debris.
- **Transloading, upland transportation, and disposal** activities include costs for transloading dredged sediment, dredge debris, and identified debris at the transload facility and upland transportation for final Subtitle D landfill disposal.

¹ As described in Section 10.2.6 of the BODR, it is assumed that the selected contractor for the LDW upper reach project will use an established commercial transload facility in close proximity (Duwamish Reload Facility, operated by WM [formerly Waste Management]) that could readily be used for offloading dredged material from barges and onloading to trucks or railcars for transportation to a Subtitle D disposal facility. Therefore, no costs have been included in this Engineer's Cost Estimate for developing a project-specific transload facility.



- **Material placement** activities include costs for material procurement, material transport to the site, and placement of backfill, residuals management cover (RMC), enhanced natural recovery (ENR), amended cover (Area-Specific Technology B for dredge offset area; see Section 10.5 of the BODR), and engineered capping materials.
- **Environmental controls** include costs for environmental protection during construction by providing an allowance for controls and best management practices.

Additional contractor health and safety, quality control, and project management costs were not separately estimated, as they are assumed to be included under the lump sum for mobilization/demobilization costs.

3.2 Indirect Construction Tasks

Indirect construction tasks include additional activities to provide quality assurance (QA) that are necessary to the project but are performed by parties other than the contractor. The following indirect construction tasks have been included in this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate:

- **Project management** costs involve professional services, such as planning and reporting (e.g., weekly and/or monthly status reports during construction activities), community relations support during construction, health and safety reviews/checks, and bid/contract administration. In addition, costs for budget tracking, invoicing, and reporting—along with schedule, staff, and agency management—are accounted for in the project management task. Furthermore, project team communications, client/agency meetings and meeting materials, web sharing, and document management are also included.
- **Engineering support service** costs are typically provided from the office and include design interpretation, contingency action decision coordination, change order negotiation support, and construction completion and closeout reports preparation.
- **Construction QA** costs, which include the following activities (as described in the *Construction Quality Assurance Plan*; see Volume II):
 - Construction management, which involves providing oversight of the contractor's implementation of the sediment remedy. It typically refers to in-field oversight of the contractor's work and includes construction inspection, progress tracking and reporting, reviewing progress payment requests, reviewing contractor submittals and work plans, addressing contractor requests for information and change order requests, leading adaptive design changes, and communicating with the Owner and EPA. It also includes monitoring and inspection for structural stability when dredging adjacent to existing structures.
 - Environmental compliance monitoring, which includes activities during construction such as confirmatory sediment sampling and contingency action determination, water quality monitoring, air/noise/light monitoring, and inadvertent discovery monitoring.



• Site access agreements and temporary leases include costs for leases, if needed, and coordination costs associated with construction site access (e.g., directed barge or vessel temporary relocations, Tribal Usual and Accustomed fishing agreements).

3.3 Additional Construction Oversight Tasks

Additional construction oversight tasks include supplemental activities conducted by EPA to provide QA during construction.



4 Costing Assumptions Used for the Pre-Final (90%) RD

The Pre-Final (90%) RD criteria form the basis for development of the upper reach Engineer's Cost Estimate. General and specific RD costing assumptions are summarized in this section. Further details are contained on the Drawings (Volume III) and in the detailed Engineer's cost estimate workbook (Attachment O.1).

4.1 General Costing Assumptions

The following are general assumptions used in the cost estimate:

- Construction Seasons: In-water construction activities for the LDW upper reach will occur during fish windows designated for the LDW (generally from October 1 through February 15, equivalent to 138 calendar days²). Therefore, for the Pre-Final (90%) RD, it is estimated that remedial construction for the LDW upper reach will span three construction seasons based on production rates for dredging, material placement, and structural activities (see Sections 10.2.5 and 10.6.6 of the BODR and Attachment O.1.5) to closely mirror recently experienced regional remediation production rates in Puget Sound and in alignment with anticipated typical daily transloading and dredged material transportation and disposal rates.³
- **Daily Work Schedule:** It is assumed that work will be performed in one 10-hour shift per day, 6 days a week, during the following work hours: 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on weekdays and 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on Saturdays. Note that this assumption is for cost purposes only; actual work hour limits are included in the project Pre-Final (90%) Specifications.
- **Sales Tax:** Sales tax is included at 10.25% to account for Washington State (6.5%) and the City of Seattle (3.75%) taxes. Although the upper reach sediment management areas (SMAs) fall into the Cities of Seattle and Tukwila and unincorporated King County area jurisdictions, for the purposes of this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate, sales tax for the City of Seattle is included as a conservative assumption for the Pre-Final (90%) RD; sales tax for the City of Tukwila and unincorporated King County areas is 10.1%.
- **Contingency:** A 25% contingency is applied to total direct construction, indirect construction, and additional construction oversight costs, based on consideration of potential cost uncertainty associated with the level of information currently available and engineering best professional judgment. Due to the nature of the project (i.e., environmental sediment remediation), additional factors that cannot be forecasted at this time—such as scope unknowns (i.e., significant changes in site conditions or quantities), price uncertainty (i.e., varying market conditions, increasing inflation, fuel and labor changes), or any other

² Equivalent to an effective 111 days per construction season, excluding 10 Sundays and 7 holidays.

³ Production rates will be further refined as needed in the Final (100%) RD.

unforeseen circumstances (i.e., additional design requirements)—may influence contractor bidding prices and impact the final project costs outside, in excess, or below this contingency.

All costs in this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate are presented in present-day U.S. dollars (i.e., 2023).

4.2 Specific Remedial Design Costing Assumptions

The following specific RD assumptions are incorporated into the Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate:

- Required Dredging:
 - Required cut thicknesses or elevations for the SMAs and associated side-slopes, as shown on the Drawings (Volume III)
 - Overdredge allowance of 1 foot in excess of the required cut thickness or elevation within the dredge footprint and associated side-slopes
 - Dredging around existing structures considers horizontal dredge offset requirements based on adjacent required sediment removal elevations/thickness cuts and associated short- and long-term structure stability (see Section 9.2.1 of the BODR).
- **Contingency Re-Dredging:** Consists of one additional dredging pass conducted over a portion of the total dredge area with the following assumptions:
 - 15% of the total dredge area to be re-dredged to a total 1-foot thickness (including a 6-inch overdredge allowance) to address generated residuals that have concentrations elevated above RMC placement criteria
 - An additional 20% of the total dredge area to be re-dredged to a total 2.5-foot thickness (including a 6-inch overdredge allowance) to remove missed inventory
- Identified Debris: An estimated 780 tons of larger debris are assumed for this Engineer's Cost Estimate for discrete and separate removal and disposal, based on visual aerial observations, shoreline photograph inventory, and measurements derived from ArcGIS LDW web map imagery of the LDW upper reach shorelines/banks; identified debris includes generally large concrete blocks as shown on the Drawings (Volume III; see also Section 2.3.10 of the BODR). For this Engineer's Cost Estimate, buried and smaller debris is considered to be incidental dredge debris, and its removal, transportation, and disposal are accounted for in the total dredge volume.
- **Structural Work:** This item includes the costs for removal, offloading, upland transportation, and disposal of 32 timber piles; installation of two steel replacement piles; and bulkhead strengthening and reinforcement. Costs for outfall pipe energy dissipator installation are included under the structural work task.

- Disposal of Dredge/Excavated Sediment at Permitted Off-Site Subtitle D Disposal
 Facility: For costing purposes, it is assumed that all dredged material will be disposed of at a Subtitle D landfill.
- **Standby Time:** Assumed for costing purposes to be 21 days total over the three construction seasons. This is the time for work stoppage related to directed relocation of contractor construction equipment to accommodate emergencies, and/or directed but unexpected operational needs (i.e., unforeseen or unplanned vessel access or passage through the upper reach).
- Placement of Backfill:
 - Backfill is intended to restore, for habitat purposes, the sediment bed to pre-construction elevations and to flatten temporary steeper dredge cuts (e.g., along the Boeing Plant 2 Early Action Area).
 - All dredge areas located outside of the federal navigation channel and above elevation

 10 feet mean lower low water are assumed to be backfilled and integrated with habitat material placement in intertidal areas as appropriate, as shown on the Drawings
 (Volume III; see also Section 10.2.10 of the BODR). As described in Section 14.1 of the
 BODR, the backfill volume for each SMA design was calculated by developing a backfill
 TIN surface model with AutoCAD Civil3D software, based on backfill design placement
 elevations and grades, as well as the final design dredge plan to be backfilled (back
 from the overdredge allowance surface). The backfill volume also accounts for a 6-inch
 vertical overplacement tolerance.
 - For costing purposes, backfill material is assumed to conform to a gravelly sand placed in sloped dredge surfaces and a medium-to-coarse-grained sand placed in flat dredge surfaces (see Section 10.6.1 of the BODR).
- Placement of Required RMC:
 - RMC is assumed to be placed over 100% of the dredge areas that do not receive backfill and in specific SMAs (as shown on the Drawings [Volume III]).
 - The RMC placement footprint includes dredge cut side-slopes areas (top to toe of dredge cut daylight).
 - For costing purposes, the following is assumed:
 - Over the dredge flat areas within the dredge SMAs, RMC is assumed to be placed at a 9-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 3-inch vertical placement tolerance.
 - On the dredge cut side-slopes (top to toe of dredge cut daylight), RMC is assumed to be placed at a 24-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 6-inch vertical placement tolerance (see Section 10.2.9 of the BODR).
 - Required RMC material is assumed to conform to a medium-to-coarse-grained sand (see Section 10.6.1 of the BODR).



• Placement of Inner and Outer Perimeter RMC:

- The perimeter RMC placement surrounding the dredge areas consists of two buffer areas: the inner and the outer perimeter RMC, which generally are each 20 feet wide in the upstream and cross-channel directions and 30 feet wide in the downstream direction.
- Inner perimeter RMC will be automatically placed surrounding the dredge area (from top of dredge cut daylight), without the need for perimeter sampling.
- Outer perimeter RMC consists of RMC placed within an assumed 25% of the perimeter outside and surrounding the inner RMC perimeter. Perimeter sediment sampling results within the outer perimeter will be performed to determine whether there is a need for RMC placement in the outer perimeter.
- For costing purposes, the following is assumed:
 - Both inner and outer perimeter RMCs are assumed to be placed at a 9-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 3-inch vertical placement tolerance.
 - Inner and outer perimeter RMC material is assumed to conform to a medium-to-coarse-grained sand.
- Placement of ENR:
 - ENR will be placed in specific SMAs (as shown on the Drawings [Volume III]). The ENR quantity assumes a placement footprint that includes a 10-foot buffer around the planned ENR placement area as shown on the Drawings.
 - For costing purposes, ENR is assumed to be placed at a 9-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 3-inch vertical placement tolerance.
 - For costing purposes, ENR material is assumed to conform to a medium-to-coarse-grained sand (see Section 10.6.1 of the BODR).

• Placement of Area-Specific Technology B – Amended Cover:

- Amended cover will be placed to limited portions of SMA 7, as shown on the Drawings [Volume III]). For costing purposes, the amended cover material is assumed to include amendment (uniform blending) with granular activated carbon [GAC] at a 1.5% dose (by dry weight, to achieve a minimum of 1.0% dosage; see Section 10.5.2 of the BODR and Appendix K).
- For costing purposes, amended cover is assumed to be placed at a 12-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 3-inch vertical placement tolerance.
- For costing purposes, amended cover material is assumed to conform to gravelly sand mixed with GAC (see Section 10.6.1 of the BODR).



• Placement of Engineered Cap A in SMA 5:

- As described in Section 10.3 of the BODR, an engineered cap is assumed to be placed within the shoreline slope of SMA 5 (as shown on the Drawings [Volume III]). For costing purposes, the cap is assumed to consist of three layers:
 - Isolation layer (conforming to gravelly sand material, with 12-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance)
 - Filter layer (conforming to gravel material, with 6-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance)
 - Erosion protection layer (conforming to quarry spalls, with a 12-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance)

• Placement of Engineered Cap B in SMA 12B:

- As described in Section 10.3 of the BODR, an engineered cap is assumed to be placed within SMA 12B (as shown on the Drawings [Volume III]). For costing purposes, the engineered cap is assumed to consist of three layers:
 - Isolation layer (conforming to gravelly sand material, with 12-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance)
 - Filter layer (conforming to gravel material, with 6-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance)
 - Erosion protection layer (conforming to quarry spalls, with a 12-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance)
- **Project Management:** This cost is assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and additional time needed before and after construction.
- **Engineering Support Services:** This cost is assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and additional time needed before and after construction.
- Construction QA:
 - Construction Management: This cost is assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and additional time needed before and after construction.
 - Environmental Compliance Monitoring: This includes costs for equipment, labor, analytical, and data validation for sediment and water quality sampling, as well as air/noise/light monitoring and inadvertent discovery monitoring, assumed on a monthly basis for the total dredging and placement duration.
- Site Access Agreements and Temporary Leases: This is assumed to include allowances for Tribal Usual and Accustomed fishing agreements and directed barge or vessel temporary relocations. It is not anticipated that special leases will be required.
- **EPA Construction Oversight:** This cost is assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and additional time needed before and after construction.

5 Dredge and Material Placement Quantities Summary

The Pre-Final (90%) RD dredging and material placement quantities for the LDW upper reach are summarized in Tables O5-1 and O5-2, respectively.

Table O5-1

Summary of Pre-Final (90%) RD Dredging Quantities

Required Dredge Volume (CY)	Overdredge Allowance Volume (CY)	Contingency Re-Dredging Volume (CY)	Total Dredge Volume ¹ (CY)
100,800	22,300	11,100	134,200

Notes:

Total dredge volume includes the following: 1) required dredge volume, including associated external side-slope and transition (between SMAs) volumes, as shown on the Drawings (Volume III); 2) 1-foot overdredge allowance on the entire dredge footprint; and 3) contingency re-dredging volume. Total dredge volume includes required dredge and excavation volumes.

1. Volumes are rounded to the nearest hundred. See the detailed Engineer's Cost Estimate workbook, Attachment O.1 (Attachment O.1.4), for detailed dredging quantities.

CY: cubic yard

RD: remedial design

SMA: sediment management area

Table O5-2Summary of Pre-Final (90%) RD Material Placement Quantities

Backfill Placement Volume (CY)	RMC + Inner/Outer Perimeter RMC Placement Volume (CY)	ENR Placement Volume (CY)	Amended Cover Placement Volume (CY)	Engineered Cap A (SMA 5) Placement Volume (CY)	Engineered Cap B (SMA 12B) Placement Volume (CY)	Total Placement Volume (CY)
57,500	25,500	500	200	4,500	8,000	96,200

Notes:

• Volumes are rounded to the nearest hundred. See the detailed Engineer's Cost Estimate workbook, Attachment O.1 (Attachment O.1.4), for detailed material placement guantities.

• Amended cover is to be placed in limited portions of SMA 7, as shown on the Drawings (Volume III).

• Engineered cap A for SMA 5 is to be placed along the shoreline bank portion of the SMA, as shown on the Drawings.

• Engineered cap B for SMA 12B is to be placed in a portion of the SMA, as shown on the Drawings.

CY: cubic yard

ENR: enhanced natural recovery

RD: remedial design

RMC: residuals management cover

SMA: sediment management area



6 Costs Summary

The Engineer's Cost Estimate for the Pre-Final (90%) RD for the upper reach is summarized in Table O6-1.

As described in Section 4.1, a contingency of 25% was applied at the Pre-Final (90%) RD level to the total direct construction, total indirect construction, and total additional construction oversight costs. This contingency is based on potential cost uncertainty associated with the level of information currently available and best professional judgment. It also accounts for scope unknowns, price uncertainty, and any other unforeseen circumstances that may impact the final project costs.

In addition, specific construction tasks are included in this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate but considered as allowances to cover potential costs incurred due to uncertainty in the associated scope. These construction elements will be refined as needed in the Final (100%) RD phase. Two types of allowances are included: 1) allowances for construction tasks considered reasonably likely to occur and their scope, which is pending further design (e.g., contingency re-dredging, debris quantity, and standby time); and 2) allowances for construction tasks considered highly unlikely to occur but are still presented pending further design (e.g., environmental controls).



Table O6-1Total Project Cost for LDW Upper Reach Implementation at Pre-Final (90%) RD

Task ID	Task Description	Probable Total Cost					
Direct Construction Costs							
1	Mobilization/Demobilization	\$3,869,100.00					
2	Site Preparation	\$264,000.00					
3	Surveys	\$1,226,599.00					
4	Structural Work	\$857,297.00					
5	Dredging, Excavation, Transloading, Upland Transportation, and Disposal	\$23,764,386.00					
6	Material Placement	\$7,088,365.00					
7	Environmental Controls	\$150,000.00					
	Direct Construction Costs Subtotal	\$37,219,747.00					
8	Direct Construction Contingency (25.0 %)	\$9,304,937.00					
	Direct Construction Costs Subtotal with Contingency	\$46,524,684.00					
9	Sales Tax (10.25%)	\$4,768,780.00					
	Total Direct Construction Costs (with Contingency and Sales Tax) – Rounded	\$51,294,000.00					
Indire	ct Construction Costs						
10	Project Management	\$1,650,000.00					
11	Engineering Support Services	\$525,000.00					
12	Construction QA	\$6,595,934.00					
13	Site Access Agreements and Temporary Leases	\$150,000.00					
	Indirect Construction Costs Subtotal	\$8,920,934.00					
14	Indirect Construction Contingency (25.0 %)	\$2,230,234.00					
	Total Indirect Construction Costs (with Contingency) – Rounded	\$11,152,000.00					
Addit	ional Construction Oversight Costs						
15	Additional Construction Oversight Costs	\$930,000.00					
16	Additional Construction Oversight Contingency (25.0 %)	\$232,500.00					
	Total Additional Construction Oversight Costs (with Contingency) – Rounded	\$1,163,000.00					
17	Total Project Costs – Rounded	\$63,609,000.00					

Notes:

• Costs are presented in present-day U.S. dollars (i.e., 2023).

• Sales tax is included at 10.25% to account for Washington State (6.5%) and the City of Seattle (3.75%) taxes.

• Attachment O.1 contains the detailed Engineer's Cost Estimate workbook for the Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate.

• Long-term monitoring costs are not included in this Engineer's Cost Estimate as assumptions for these activities will be developed consistent with the Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan.

LDW: Lower Duwamish Waterway QA: quality assurance

RD: remedial design



90% Remedial Design Basis of Design Report O-14 | July 2023

7 References

- Anchor QEA and Windward (Anchor QEA, LLC; Windward Environmental LLC), 2019. *Remedial Design Work Plan for the Lower Duwamish Waterway Upper Reach*. Final. Submitted to EPA December 16, 2019.
- EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2014. *Record of Decision*. Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site. November 2015.

Lower Duwamish Waterway Group Port of Seattle / City of Seattle / King County / The Boeing Company

Appendix O – Pre-Final (90%) Remedial Design Engineer's Cost Estimate

Attachment O.1 Detailed Engineer's Cost Estimate Workbook

Attachment O.1.1 Summary 90% RD Engineer's Cost Estimate

Task ID	Task Description	Probable Total Cost (\$)
DIRECT CO	DNSTRUCTION COSTS	
1	Mobilization/Demobilization	\$ 3,869,100.00
2	Site Preparation	\$ 264,000.00
3	Surveys	\$ 1,226,599.00
4	Structural Work	\$ 857,297.00
5	Dredging, Excavation, Transloading, Upland Transportation, and Disposal	\$ 23,764,386.00
6	Material Placement	\$ 7,088,365.00
7	Environmental Controls	\$ 150,000.00
	Direct Construction Costs Subtotal	\$ 37,219,747.00
8	Direct Construction Contingency (25.0%)	\$ 9,304,937.00
	Direct Construction Cost Subtotal with Contingency	\$ 46,524,684.00
9	Sales Tax (10.25%)	\$ 4,768,780.00
	Total Direct Construction Costs (with Contingency and Sales Tax) - Rounded	\$ 51,294,000.00
INDIRECT	CONSTRUCTION COSTS	
10	Project Management	\$ 1,650,000.00
11	Engineering Support Services	\$ 525,000.00
12	Construction Quality Assurance	\$ 6,595,934.00
13	Site Access Agreements and Temporary Leases	\$ 150,000.00
	Indirect Construction Costs Subtotal	\$ 8,920,934.00
14	Indirect Construction Contingency (25.0%)	\$ 2,230,234.00
	Indirect Construction Costs Subtotal with Contingency	\$ 11,151,170.00
	Total Indirect Construction Costs (with Contingency) - Rounded	\$ 11,152,000.00
	IAL CONSTRUCTION OVERSIGHT COSTS	
15	Additional Construction Oversight Costs	\$ 930,000.00
	Additional Construction Oversight Costs Subtotal - Rounded	\$ 930,000.00
16	Additional Construction Oversight Contingency (25.0%)	\$ 232,500.00
	Additional Construction Oversight Costs Subtotal with Contingency	\$ 1,162,500.00
	Total Additional Construction Oversight Costs (with Contingency) - Rounded	\$ 1,163,000.00
17	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$ 63,609,000.00

Notes:

1. In providing this Engineer's Cost Estimate (Opinion of Probable Cost), the Client understands that the Consultant (Anchor QEA, LLC) has no control over the cost or availability of labor, equipment, or materials, or over market condition or the Contractor's method of pricing, and the Consultant's Engineer's construction costs are made on the basis of the Consultant's professional judgment and experience. The Consultant makes no warranty, express or implied, that the bids or the negotiated cost of the work will not vary from the Consultant's Engineer's construction costs.

2. Costs are presented in present-day U.S. dollars (i.e., 2023).

3. Although the upper reach SMAs fall into both the Cities of Seattle and Tukwila and Unincorporated King County area jurisdictions, for the purposes of this engineer's cost estimate, sales tax is included at 10.25% (to account for Washington State [6.5%] and the City of Seattle [3.75%] taxes), as a conservative assumption for Pre-Final (90%) RD; City of Tukwila tax rate and Unincorporated King County areas is 10.1%.

4. A 25% contingency is applied to both total direct construction, total indirect construction costs and total additional construction oversight costs, based on consideration of potential cost uncertainty associated with the level of information currently available and engineering best professional judgement. Due to the nature of the project (i.e., environmental sediment remediation), additional factors that cannot be forecasted at this time—such as scope unknowns (i.e., significant changes in site conditions or quantities), price uncertainty (i.e., varying market conditions, increasing inflation, fuel and labor changes), or any other unforeseen circumstances (i.e., additional design requirements)—may influence contractor bidding prices and impact the final project costs outside, in excess, or below this contingency.

Attachment O.1.2 Detailed 90% RD Engineer's Cost Estimate

Task ID		Task Description	Quantity	Units	Probable Unit Cost (\$)	Probable Total Cost (\$)	
	UCTION	N COSTS					
1 Mobilization/Demobilization							
1 a	а	Mobilization/Demobilization for All Equipment (In-Water, Specialized, Upland)	3	LS	\$ 1,200,000.00	\$ 3,600,000.00	
1 k	b	Procedural Costs and Contractor Workplan Submittals	3	LS	\$ 89,700.00	\$ 269,100.00	
2		Site Preparation					
2 a	а	Shoreline/Upland Site Preparation (Removal, Handling, Disposal and/or Reuse)	1	AC	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 14,000.00	
2 k	b	Upland Staging Area Setup and Site Decommissioning	1	LS	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 250,000.00	
3		Surveys	-	-	-	-	
3 a	а	Contractor Progress Surveys	269	EA	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 807,499.17	
3 b	b	Pre-Construction Surveys (Bathy and Topo)	3	LS	\$ 23,300.00	\$ 69,900.00	
3 с	с	Post-Dredge Construction Survey (per SMA)	15	EA	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	
3 с	d	Post-Placement Construction Survey (per SMA)	17	EA	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 136,000.00	
З е	е	Post-Construction Survey (Bathy and Topo)	3	LS	\$ 23,300.00	\$ 69,900.00	
3 f	f	As-Built Surveys	1	LS	\$ 23,300.00	\$ 23,300.00	
4		Structural Work					
4 a	а	Remove Timber Piles, Including Dolphins	1	LS	\$ 108,917.25	\$ 108,917.25	
4 k	b	Replace Timber Piles with Steel Pipe Piles	1	LS	\$ 25,443.88	\$ 25,443.88	
4 c	с	Strengthening/ Reinforcing of Existing Bulkheads	1	LS	\$ 492,936.00	\$ 492,936.00	
4 c	d	Outfall Protection and Energy Dissipation	1	LS	\$ 230,000.00	\$ 230,000.00	
5		Dredging, Excavation, Transloading, Upland Transportation, and Disposal			•		
5 a	а	Required Dredging and In-Water Transportation (Open-Water)	89,737	CY	\$ 25.49	\$ 2,287,494.05	
5 k	b	Required Dredging and In-Water Transportation (Nearshore)	12,275	CY	\$ 41.61	\$ 510,712.35	
5 c	с	Required Dredging and In-Water Transportation (Restricted Access)	2,032	CY	\$ 53.91	\$ 109,561.61	
5 c	d	Contingency Re-Dredging - Allowance	11,075	CY	\$ 40.43	\$ 447,797.16	
5 e	е	Shoreline/Bank Excavation	19,010	CY	\$ 25.16	\$ 478,289.70	
5 f	f	Dredged/Excavated Material Transloading	204,252	TON	\$ 5.00	\$ 1,021,259.52	
5 g	g	Dredged/Excavated Material Upland Transportation and Disposal (Subtitle D)	203,472	TON	\$ 90.00	\$ 18,312,471.34	
5 r	h	Identified Debris Removal - Allowance	5	DAY	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	
5 i	i	Identified Debris Upland Transportation and Disposal (Subtitle D) - Allowance	780	TON	\$ 120.00	\$ 93,600.00	
5 i	i	Standby Time - Allowance	21	DAY	\$ 19,200.00	\$ 403,200.00	

Attachment O.1.2 Detailed 90% RD Engineer's Cost Estimate

Task ID	Task Description	Quantity	Units	Probable Unit Cost (\$)	Probable Total Cost (\$)
6	Material Placement				
6 a	Procure/Deliver Material Type 2 for RMC (Required+Inner+Outer Perimeter RMC), ENR and Backfill B	69,501	TON	\$ 32.13	\$ 2,232,704.05
6 b	Procure/Deliver Material Type 1 for Backfill A and Engineered Caps (A and B) Chemical Isolation Layer (SMAs 5 and 12B)	59,261	TON	\$ 25.25	\$ 1,496,347.56
6 c	Procure/Deliver Materail Type 4 for Engineered Caps (A and B) Filter Layer (SMAs 5 and 12B)	5,023	TON	\$ 36.25	\$ 182,067.15
6 d	Procure/Deliver Material Type 5 for Engineered Caps (A and B) Erosion Protection Layer (SMAs 5 and 12B)	6,977	TON	\$ 34.25	\$ 238,969.26
6 e	Procure/ Deliver/ Preparation Material Type 3 (Material Type 1 + GAC) for Amended Cover (SMA 7)	317	TON	\$ 3,249.00	\$ 1,028,780.51
6 f	Place Material Type 2 for RMC (Required+Inner+Outer Perimeter RMC) and ENR (Open-Water)	19,337	CY	\$ 18.93	\$ 366,112.09
6 g	Place Materila Type 2 for RMC (Required+Inner+Outer Perimeter RMC) and ENR (Nearshore)	4,199	CY	\$ 21.94	\$ 92,132.37
6 h	Place Material Type 2 for RMC (Required+Inner+Outer Perimeter RMC) and ENR (Restricted Access)	1,349	CY	\$ 32.55	\$ 43,906.47
6 i	Place Material Type 2 for RMC (Required+Inner+Outer Perimeter RMC) and ENR (Land-Based Equipment)	1,097	CY	\$ 16.11	\$ 17,680.29
6 ј	Place Material Types 1 and 2 for Backfills A and B (Open-Water)	30,267	CY	\$ 18.93	\$ 573,045.53
6 k	Place Material Types 1 and 2 for Backfills A and B (Nearshore)	11,193	CY	\$ 21.94	\$ 245,618.01
61	Place Mateiral Types 1 and 2 for Backfills A and B (Land-Based Equipment)	15,969	CY	\$ 16.11	\$ 257,258.89
6 m	Place Material Type 3 for Amended Cover (SMA 7; Land-Based Equipment)	203	CY	\$ 16.11	\$ 3,262.28
6 n	Place Material Type 1 for Engineered Cap B Chemical Isolation Layer (SMA 12B; Open-Water)	2,980	CY	\$ 26.45	\$ 78,819.12
6 o	Place Material Type 4 for Engineered Cap B Filter Layer (SMA 12B; Open-Water)	1,987	CY	\$ 27.14	\$ 53,908.39
6 p	Place Material Type 5 for Engineered Cap B Erosion Protection Layer (SMA 12B; Open-Water)	2,980	CY	\$ 26.24	\$ 78,196.35
6 q	Place Material Type 1 for Engineered Cap A Chemical Isolation Layer (SMA 5; Land-Based Equipment)	1,671	CY	\$ 22.03	\$ 36,815.86
6 r	Place Material Type 4 for Engineered Cap A Filter Layer (SMA 5; Land-Based Equipment)	1,114	CY	\$ 22.31	\$ 24,850.71
6 s	Place Material Type 5 for Engineered Cap A Erosion Protection Layer (SMA 5; Land-Based Equipment)	1,671	CY	\$ 22.67	\$ 37,889.66
7	Environmental Controls				
7 a	Environmental Controls - Allowance	3	LS	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 150,000.00
	Direct Construction Costs Subtotal				\$ 37,219,747.00
8	Direct Construction Contingency	25.00%	PERCENT		\$ 9,304,937.00
	Direct Construction Cost Subtotal with Contingency				\$ 46,524,684.00
9	Sales Tax	10.25%	PERCENT		\$ 4,768,780.00
	Total Direct Construction Costs (with Contingency and Sales Tax) - Rounded				\$ 51,294,000.00

Attachment 0.1.2 Detailed 90% RD Engineer's Cost Estimate

Task IE)	Task Description	Quantity	Units	Probable Unit Cost (\$)	Probable Total Cost (\$)
INDIRECT CONS	STRUCTIO	N COSTS		•		
10		Project Management	15.0	MO	\$ 110,000.00	\$ 1,650,000.00
11		Engineering Support Services	15.0	MO	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 525,000.00
12		Construction Quality Assurance	1	LS	\$ 6,595,934.00	\$ 6,595,934.00
12	а	Construction Management (Inspection and Oversight)	13.0	MO	\$ 250,800.00	\$ 3,260,400.00
12	b	Environmental Compliance Monitoring	1	LS	\$ 3,335,534.00	\$ 3,335,534.00
13		Site Access Agreements and Temporary Leases	1	LS	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00
		Indirect Construction Costs Subtotal				\$ 8,920,934.00
14		Indirect Construction Contingency	25.00%	PERCENT		\$ 2,230,234.00
		Indirect Construction Costs Subtotal with Contingency				\$ 11,151,168.00
		Total Indirect Construction Costs (with Contingency) - Rounded				\$ 11,152,000.00
ADDITIONAL C	ONSTRUC	TION OVERSIGHT COSTS		•		
15		Additional Construction Oversight Costs				
15	а	EPA Oversight	15.0	МО	\$62,000.00	\$ 930,000.00
		Additional Construction Oversight Costs Subtotal - Rounded				\$ 930,000.00
16		Additional Construction Oversight Contingency	25.00%	PERCENT		\$ 232,500.00
		Additional Construction Oversight Costs Subtotal with Contingency				\$ 1,162,500.00
		Total Additional Construction Oversight Costs (with Contingency) - Rounded				\$ 1,163,000.00
17		TOTAL PROJECT COSTS				\$63,609,000.00

Notes:

1. In providing this Engineer's Cost Estimate (Opinion of Probable Cost), the Client understands that the Consultant (Anchor QEA, LLC) has no control over the cost or availability of labor, equipment, or materials, or over market condition or the Contractor's method of pricing, and the Consultant's Engineer's construction costs are made on the basis of the Consultant's professional judgment and experience. The Consultant makes no warranty, express or implied, that the bids or the negotiated cost of the work will not vary from the Consultant's Engineer's construction costs.

2. Costs are presented in present-day U.S. dollars (i.e., 2023).

3. Although the upper reach SMAs fall into both the Cities of Seattle and Tukwila and Unincorporated King County area jurisdictions, for the purposes of this engineer's cost estimate, sales tax is included at 10.25% (to account for Washington State [6.5%] and the City of Seattle [3.75%] taxes), as a conservative assumption for Pre-Final (90%) RD; City of Tukwila tax rate and Unincorporated King County areas is 10.1%.

4. A 25% contingency is applied to both total direct construction, total indirect construction costs and total additional construction oversight costs, based on consideration of potential cost uncertainty associated with the level of information currently available and engineering best professional judgement. Due to the nature of the project (i.e., environmental sediment remediation), additional factors that cannot be forecasted at this time—such as scope unknowns (i.e., significant changes in site conditions or quantities), price uncertainty (i.e., varying market conditions, increasing inflation, fuel and labor changes), or any other unforeseen circumstances (i.e., additional design requirements)—may influence contractor bidding prices and impact the final project costs outside, in excess, or below this contingency.

AC: acre CY: cubic yard EA: each GAC: granular activated carbon LS: lump sum MO: month RD: remedial design SF: square foot SMA: sediment management area TON: U.S. ton

90% Remedial Design Basis of Design Report LDW Upper Reach

Attachment 0.1.3

Detailed Notes

Gener	al N	otes
1		The approach for developing the LDW upper reach Engineer's Cost Estimate was based on several sources of information, including the following: • Anchor QEA's best professional judgment and past experience with similar remedial actions and associated pricing • Review of contractor's bid costs and engineer's construction cost estimates for similar remediation projects completed (locally) in Seattle, Washington and (regionally) in the Pacific Northwest, as well as k identified during construction oversight. • Engineering cost guidance (RS Means). Anchor QEA performed a detailed internal review of the cost assumptions and unit prices to determine their relevance, anticipated accuracy, and cost variability.
2		Assumed CY to TON conversions: 1) sediment: 1.5 TON/CY (in situ); 2) sand/gravel mix: 1.6 TON/CY, 3) sand: 1.4 TON/CY; 4) for quarry spalls: 1.5 TON/CY.
3		Although the upper reach SMAs fall into both the Cities of Seattle and Tukwila and Unincorporated King County area jurisdictions, for the purposes of this engineer's cost estimate, sales tax is included at 1 the City of Seattle [3.75%] taxes), as a conservative assumption for Pre-Final (90%) RD; City of Tukwila tax rate and Unincorporated King County areas is 10.1%.
4		Estimated costs assume that construction could occur without interruptions from ongoing site operational uses, except as noted by stand-by time.
		otes by Task ID DNSTRUCTION COSTS
1	-	Mobilization/Demobilization
1	а	Mobilization/Demobilization cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement projects. Assumes three mobilization/demobilization events, which includes: 150-ton derrick/spud rig, 5- to 8-cy buckets, 2 tugs, up to 4 x 1,700-ton scows, work boat, front-end loader, and specialized equips shallow-water environments and under restricted conditions. Costs also includes costs for bond and insurance premiums (estimated to be 2% of the total direct construction costs). Includes three mobilization seasons (in-water work window is defined as October 1 through February 15).
1	b	Includes labor for procedural costs and contractor's development of required workplans and submittals. Costs estimated from Anchor QEA past project experience. Includes three sets of project workplans
2	1	Site Preparation
2		Includes shoreline preparation and remediation, estimated on a acre-basis, from Anchor QEA past project experience for similar projects of similar size.
2	l h	Includes preparation of an upland area at a designated location (TBD) for contractor use for on site trailer office and other temporary facilities, as well as staging of equipment. Also, site decommissioning c project experience for similar projects of similar size.
3	1	Surveys
3	а	Contractor progress bathymetric survey cost assumes multi-beam hydrographic survey equipment using: Real-Time Kinematic (RTK) GPS Positioning, Motion Platform, Multibeam Sonar, SV Profiler, eqQPS survey vessel and survey equipment, data processing and product generation, one boat operator, and one licensed surveyor. Cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of p Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on past project experience for similar projects. Contractor progress bathymetric survey costs assumed for the dredging and placement durations.
3	b	Includes costs for payment bathymetric and topographic surveys to be conducted prior to construction for the whole LDW upper reach site. Cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cos Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on past project experience for similar projects. Includes three sets of pre-construction bathy/topo surveys for three construction se
3		Includes costs for payment bathymetric survey to be conducted post-dredging for each SMA that requires dredging. Cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects in QEA's best professional judgement based on past project experience for similar projects.
3		Includes costs for payment bathymetric survey to be conducted post-backfill, Required RMC, Inner Perimeter RMC, Contingent Perimeter RMC, ENR, Amended Cover, Engineered Caps A and B placement for based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on past project experience
3		Includes costs for payment bathymetric and topographic surveys to be conducted post-construction for the whole LDW upper reach site. Cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost e Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on past project experience for similar projects. Includes three sets of post-construction bathy/topo surveys for three construction s

knowledge of construction activities and challenges

: 10.25% (to account for Washington State [6.5%] and

ent based on past project experience for similar equipment (i.e., various bucket sizes) for working in zation/demobilization events for three construction

ins and submittals for three construction seasons.

g costs are included. Estimated from Anchor QEA past

PS QINSy Software, mobilization/demobilization of of projects recently completed in Washington State, and

cost estimates of projects recently completed in a seasons.

ts recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor

t for each SMA that requires material placement. Cost ce for similar projects.

estimates of projects recently completed in a seasons.

ently completed in Washington State, and Anchor

Attachment 0.1.3 Detailed Notes

4		Structural Work					
4	а	Cost provided by Bright Engineering Inc. in July 2023. See Attachment O.1.6 for detailed assumptions for costing.					
4	b	Cost provided by Bright Engineering Inc. in July 2023. See Attachment O.1.6 for detailed assumptions for costing.					
4	с	Cost provided by Bright Engineering Inc. in July 2023. See Attachment O.1.6 for detailed assumptions for costing.					
4	d	Cost provided by Bright Engineering Inc. in July 2023. See Attachment O.1.6 for detailed assumptions for costing.					
5		Dredging, Excavation, Transloading, Upland Transportation, and Disposal					
5	а	Open-water dredging unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement ba Volume includes required dredge volume and daylight dredge volume for open-water dredging. Probable open-water dredge production rate estimated to be approximately 1,100 CY/10-hour shift.					
5	b	Nearshore dredging unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement bas Volume includes required dredge volume and daylight dredge volume for dredging conducted in nearshore shallow areas, slopes, and any slow dredging. Probable nearshore dredge production rate estim					
5	c	Restricted access dredging unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgeme projects. Volume includes required dredge volume and daylight dredge volume for dredging conducted under bridge, near structures or bulkhead. Probable restricted access dredge production rate estimates of projects.					
5	d	Contingency re-dredging unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgemen projects. Contingency re-dredging conducted over a portion of the total dredge area, applied to a 1-ft thickness to address generated residuals (15% of area; 1-ft thickness includes a 6-in overdredge allow inventory (20% of area; 2.5-ft thickness includes a 6-in overdredge allowance). Contingency re-dredging production rate estimated to be 700 CY/10-hour shift. These costs are considered an 'allowance' for					
5	e	Excavation unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on pas includes required excavated volume and daylight excavated volume in shorelines and riverbanks. Probable excavation production rate estimated to be approximately 500 CY/10-hour shift.					
5	f	Transloading unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on p Contractor's tonnage for transloading calculated from the contractor's dredge/excavated and incidental debris volume (converted with a 1.5 TON/CY factor), and anticipated identified debris tonnage (conv					
5	g	Transportation and disposal unit cost in a Subtitle D landfill facility based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QE project experience for similar projects. Tonnage for transportation and disposal calculated from the contractor's dredge/excavated, amended dredge and incidental debris volume (converted with a 1.5 TOI Landfill Waste.					
5	h	Identified debris removal unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement projects. Debris removal includes visible and potentially buried debris associated with concrete blocks, timber piling, steel I beams, rubble, cables, and other items. Identified debris dimensions/quantities es shoreline photograph inventory, and measurements derived ArcGIS LDW webmap imagery, of the LDW upper reach shorelines/riverbanks for larger debris items. Buried and smaller debris is considered inc transportation, and disposal are already accounted for in the contractor's dredge volume. These costs are considered an 'allowance' for this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate.					
5		Identifies debris transportation and disposal unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best prof experience for similar projects. Tonnage for transportation and disposal calculated for identified debris material is assumed to be designated as "Subtitle D" Landfill Waste. These costs are considered an 'all Estimate.					
5	j	In-water standby time is estimated to be 21 days of contractor's time, over three construction seasons. Unit cost assumed to include equipment and labor costs at 70% of the daily dredging/placement cost costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on past project experience for similar projects. Work stoppage assumed to relate to relocation of contractor construction equipment to accommodate emergencies, downtime due to inclement weather, and/or directed but unexpected operational needs (i.e., unforesee the upper reach, inclement weather). These costs are considered an 'allowance' for this Pre-Final (90%) RDEngineer's Cost Estimate.					

t based on past project experience for similar projects.
based on past project experience for similar projects. timated to be approximately 700 CY/10-hour shift.
ment based on past project experience for similar imated to be approximately 500 CY/10-hour shift.
nent based on past project experience for similar owance) and 2.5-ft thickness to remove missed for this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate.
past project experience for similar projects. Volume
n past project experience for similar projects. onverted with a 1.9 TON/CY factor).
QEA's best professional judgement based on past TON/CY factor) for material designated as "Subtitle D"
ent based on past project experience for similar s estimated based on visual aerial observations, incidental dredge debris and its removal,
rofessional judgement based on past project 'allowance' for this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost
costs; unit costs based on review of contractor's bid ge during dredging and/or placement activities is seen or unplanned vessel access or passage through

Attachment 0.1.3 Detailed Notes

6	;	Material Placement
6	а	Unit cost for Material Type 2 (for Required RMC [including inner perimeter placement] and Contingent Outer Perimeter RMC), ENR, and Backfill B based on discussion with local material supplier, review projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professional judgement based on past project experience for similar projects. Assumed Material Type 2 cost from a local supplier barge to the LDW upper reach site has also been accounted for in the unit cost. Material Type 2 is assumed to be used for placement of RMC at a 9-in targeted placement thickness (plus a 6-in vertical placement tolerance) on the side slope surface area, ENR at 9-in targeted placement thickness (plus a 3-in vertical placement tolerance), and Ba temporary steeper dredge cuts in all dredge areas located outside of the FNC above -10-ft mean lower low water). The RMC quantity also includes an additional automatic inner and contingent outer placement thickness plus 3-in vertical placement tolerance); the Contingent Outer Perimeter RMC consists of RMC placed within an assumed 25% of perimeter outside of and surrounding the in RMC are generally 20-ft wide in the upstream and cross channel directions and 30 -ft wide in the downstream direction.
6	b	Unit cost for Material Type 1 based on discussion with local material supplier, review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Ancho project experience for similar projects. Assumed Material Type 1 unit cost from a local supplier includes loading onto barge; delivery of material by barge to the LDW upper reach site has also been acco to be used for placement of Backfill A (to pre-construction elevations and to flatten temporary steeper dredge cuts in all dredge areas located outside of the FNC above -10-ft mean lower low water), ar layer at 1-ft minimum thickness (plus 6-in maximum overplacement allowance).
6	с	Unit cost for Material Type 4 based on discussion with local material supplier, review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Ancho project experience for similar projects. Assumed Material Type 4 unit cost from a local supplier includes loading onto barge; delivery of material by barge to the LDW upper reach site has also been acco to be used for placement of Engineered Caps (A and B) filter layer at 6-in minimum thickness (plus 6-in maximum overplacement allowance).
6	d	Unit cost for Material Type 5 based on discussion with local material supplier, review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Ancho project experience for similar projects. Assumed Material Type 5 unit cost from a local supplier includes loading onto barge; delivery of material by barge to the LDW upper reach site has also been acco to be used for placement of Engineered Caps (A and B) erosion protection layer at 1-ft minimum thickness (plus 6-in maximum overplacement allowance).
6	e	Unit cost for Material Type 3 for amended cover placement is based on discussion with local material supplier, review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed professional judgement based on past project experience for similar projects. Assumed GAC unit cost for amended cover includes delivery by truck (from Pacific Coast Carbon, Ridgefield, WA) to LDW up at 1.5% by weight with Material Type 1 to generate Material Type 3 and placed in SMA 7. Assumed unit cost includes material procurement (Material Type 1 and GAC) costs and equipment and labor cost
6	f	Material Type 2 open-water placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best profes similar projects. Material Type 2 open-water placement rate for RMC/ENR is estimated to be 1,100 CY/10-hour shift.
6	g	Material Type 2 nearshore placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best profession similar projects. Material Type 2 nearshore placement rate for RMC/ENR is estimated to be 1,000 CY/10-hour shift.
6	h	Material Type 2 restricted access placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best previence for similar projects. Material Type 2 restricted access placement rate for RMC/ENR is estimated to be 700 CY/10-hour shift.
6	i	Material Type 2 land-based equipment placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's experience for similar projects. Material Type 2 land-based equipment placement rate for RMC/ENR is estimated to be 800 CY/10-hour shift.
6	j	Material Type 1 open-water placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best profes similar projects. Material Type 1 open-water placement rate for backfill and is estimated to be 1,100 CY/10-hour shift.
6	k	Material Type 1 nearshore placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professi similar projects. Material Type 1 nearshore placement rate for backfill and is estimated to be 1,000 CY/10-hour shift.
6	ı	Material Type 1 restricted access placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best propertience for similar projects. Material Type 1 restricted access placement rate for backfill and is estimated to be 700 CY/10-hour shift.
6	I	Material Type 1 land-based equipment placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's experience for similar projects. Material Type 1 land-based equipment placement rate for backfill and is estimated to be 800 CY/10-hour shift.
6	m	Material Type 3 land-based equipment placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's experience for similar projects. Material Type 1 land-based equipment placement rate for amended cover and is estimated to be 800 CY/10-hour shift.

of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of er includes loading onto barge; delivery of material by acement tolerance) on the neatline dredge surface area ackfill B (to pre-construction elevations and to flatten lacement buffer surrounding the dredge area (at 9-in nner RMC perimeter. The Inner and Outer perimeter

or QEA's best professional judgement based on past ounted for in the unit cost. Material Type 1 is assumed nd for placement of Engineered Caps (A and B) isolation

r QEA's best professional judgement based on past unted for in the unit cost. Material Type 4 is assumed

r QEA's best professional judgement based on past unted for in the unit cost. Material Type 5 is assumed

I in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best pper reach site. GAC material is assumed to be blended sts required for mixing.

sional judgement based on past project experience for

onal judgement based on past project experience for

rofessional judgement based on past project

best professional judgement based on past project

sional judgement based on past project experience for

onal judgement based on past project experience for

rofessional judgement based on past project

best professional judgement based on past project

best professional judgement based on past project

Attachment 0.1.3 Detailed Notes

6		Material Type 1 open water placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professior similar projects. Material Type 1 open water placement rate for Engineered Cap B chemical isolation layer and is estimated to be 800 CY/10-hour shift.
6	0	Material Type 4 open water placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professior similar projects. Material Type 4 open water placement rate for Engineered Cap B filter layer and is estimated to be 800 CY/10-hour shift.
6	р	Material Type 5 open water placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's best professior similar projects. Material Type 5 open water placement rate for Engineered Cap B erosion protection layer and is estimated to be 800 CY/10-hour shift.
6	q	Material Type 1 land based equipment placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's bes experience for similar projects. Material Type 1 land-based equipment placement rate for Engineered Cap B chemical isolation layer and is estimated to be 600 CY/10-hour shift.
6	r	Material Type 4 land based equipment placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's bes experience for similar projects. Material Type 4 land-based placement rate for Engineered Cap A filter layer and is estimated to be 600 CY/10-hour shift.
6		Material Type 5 land based equipment placement unit cost based on review of contractor's bid costs, construction cost estimates of projects recently completed in Washington State, and Anchor QEA's bes experience for similar projects. Material Type 5 land-based placement rate for Engineered Cap A erosion protection layer and is estimated to be 600 CY/10-hour shift.
7		Environmental Controls
7	а	Costs assume general environmental controls during construction activities. These costs are considered an 'allowance' for this Pre-Final (90%) RD Engineer's Cost Estimate.
		Direct construction costs subtotal is the sum of costs from all direct construction tasks.
8		Direct construction contingency of 25% is applied to the direct construction costs subtotal.
		Direct construction costs subtotal with contingency is the sum of direct construction cost subtotal and contingency costs.
9		Although the upper reach SMAs fall into both the Cities of Seattle and Tukwila and Unincorporated King County area jurisdictions, for the purposes of this engineer's cost estimate, sales tax is included at 1 the City of Seattle [3.75%] taxes), as a conservative assumption for Pre-Final (90%) RD; City of Tukwila tax rate and Unincorporated King County areas is 10.1%.
		Total direct construction costs are the sum of direct construction cost subtotal, contingency costs, and sales tax.
NDIRE	СТ	CONSTRUCTION COSTS
10		Project management costs is assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and additional 4 months needed before and after construction.
11		Engineering support services cost is assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and additional 4 months needed before and after construction.
12		Construction Quality Assurance
12	а	Construction management (including inspection and oversight) costs include providing oversight of the contractor's implementation of the sediment remedy. Construction management costs typically refe and includes construction inspection, progress tracking and reporting, reviewing progress payment requests, reviewing contractor submittals and work plans, addressing contractor Requests for Informatio design changes, and communicating with the Owner and EPA. Construction management cost is assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and additional 2 months needed before an
12	b	Environmental compliance monitoring costs assumed equipment, labor, analytical, and data validation for confirmatory sediment and water quality sampling, as well as air/noise/light monitoring, and cultu
13		Site access agreements and temporary leases include costs for leases, if needed, and coordination costs associated with site access (e.g., directed barge or vessel temporary relocations, Tribal Usual and Acc that special leases will be required.
		Indirect construction costs subtotal is the sum of costs from all indirect construction tasks.
14		Indirect construction contingency of 25% is applied to the indirect construction cost subtotal.
		Indirect construction costs subtotal with contingency is the sum of indirect construction cost subtotal and contingency costs.

ional judgement based on past project experience for

ional judgement based on past project experience for

ional judgement based on past project experience for

est professional judgement based on past project

est professional judgement based on past project

est professional judgement based on past project

: 10.25% (to account for Washington State [6.5%] and

fers to in-field work to oversee the contractor's work ion and change order requests, leading adaptive and after construction.

tural resources and inadvertent discovery monitoring.

Accustomed Fishing agreements. It is not anticipated

Attachment 0.1.3

Detailed Notes

AC	DITIO	ON	AL CONSTRUCTION OVERSIGHT COSTS
1	5	а	EPA oversight costs include supervision activities by EPA during implementation of the sediment remedy. EPA oversight costs are assumed on a monthly basis for the total construction duration and addition addition and addition additi
			Additional construction oversight costs subtotal is the sum of costs from implementing oversight and EPA oversight tasks.
	16		Additional construction oversight contingency of 25% is applied to the additional construction oversight cost subtotal.
			Additional construction oversight costs subtotal with contingency is the sum of additional construction oversight cost subtotal and contingency costs.
			Total additional construction oversight costs are the sum of additional construction oversight cost subtotal and contingency costs.
	17		Total project cost is the sum of total direct construction costs, indirect construction costs, and additional construction oversight costs.

Notes:

CY: cubic yard

ENR: enhanced natural recovery EPA: Environmental Protection Agency GAC: Granular Activated Carbon

LDW: Lower Duwamish Waterway

LS: lump sum

MO: month

RD: remedial design

RMC: residuals management cover

SMA: sediment management area

TBD: to be determined

TON: U.S. ton

litional 4 months needed before and after construction.

Attachment 0.1.4 Detailed Quantities

Sediment Management Area	Remedial Action Area	Required Dredge Surface Area (No Side-Slopes) (SF)	Side-Slope	Required Dredge Volume (CY)	Dverdredge Allowance Volume (No Side-Slopes) (CY)	V Upper Reach Overdredge Allowance Volume for Side- Slopes Only (CY)	Total Payable Dredge Volume (No Contingency Re-Dredging Included) (CY)	Contingency Re-Dredge Volume (Generated Residuals and Missed Inventory)	RMC Placemer Required Surface Area (No Side Slopes) (SF)	Required Side- Slope Surface Area (SF)	Required Surface Area (Including Side Slopes) (SF)	Backfill Volume (Neatline+	Vertical Placement Tolerance Volume (CY)	es Total Backfill Volume
18 (1/2/3A and partial 1/2/3B), 17 (1/2/3b partial and 1/2/3C)	AREA 01/02/03	77,202	34,763	20,871	2,859	1,288	25,018	1,859	62,127	14,314	35,524	6,342	658	7,000
16 (partial 4/5/6A and 4/5/6B), 15A (partial 4/5/6A and partial 4/5/6C), 14D (4/5/6D)	AREA 04/05/06	144,635	56,208	31,061	5,357	2,082	38,500	3,482	125,789	33,251	41,803	7,933	774	8,707
15B	AREA 07				0	0	0						0	0
14C	AREA 08	900	256	38	33	9	81	22			1,156	81	21	102
14B	AREA 10				0	0	0						0	0
14A	AREA 12	900	570	43	33	21	97	22	900	570	0	0	0	0
13	AREA 13				0	0	0						0	0
12b	AREA 14/15/16	39,332	37,161	21,110	1,457	1,376	23,943	947		7,638	31,745	9,203	588	9,791
12a	AREA 17	3,658	839	151	135	31	318	88	3,658	839	0	0	0	0
11B	AREA 36				0	0							0	
11A	AREA 19/20	8,855	2,456	1,425	328	91	1,844	213			11,311	1,760	209	1,969
9	AREA 22	27,416	20,298	6,976	1,015	752	8,743	660	0	4,013	43,701	7,662	809	8,471
8	AREA 23				0	0	0						0	0
7	AREA 24/25/26				0	0	0						0	0
7	AREA 24/25/26	21,645	4,996	2,511	802	185	3,498	521	0	0	26,641	3,498	493	3,991
6	AREA 27	74,180	6,712	9,571	2,747	249	12,567	1,786	0	0	78,706	12,225	1,458	13,683
5	AREA 27 AREA 27	30,080		5,278	0	0	5,278 0	724			11,225	769	208 0	977 0
4	AREA 27 AREA 28	7,038	2,440	610	261	90	961	169	7,038	2,440			0	0
3	AREA 29	5,388	1,093	329	200	40	569	130	0	0	6,482	568	120	688
2B	AREA 30	1,304	426	84	48	16	148	31	0	0	1,730	148	32	180
2A	AREA 31	1,489	531	97	55	20	172	36	0	0	2,020	172	37	209
1B	AREA 32	2,578	621	107	95	23	225	62	0	0	3,199	225	59	284
1A	AREA 33/34/35 A				0	0	0						0	0
1A	AREA 33/34/35 B	13,424	1,841	527	497	68	1,092	323	0	0	15,265	1,093	283	1,376
				Total O	uantities Throu	ahout Site			RMC Placeme	nt Quantities		Backfill Place	ment Quantiti	es
						Overdredge	Total Payable Dredge	Contingency Re-Dredge			Required			Total Payable Dredge

		Total Q	uantities Throug	ghout Site		RMC Placemen		Backfill Placement Quantities				
					Total Payable						Total Payable	
				Overdredge	Dredge	Re-Dredge			Required			Dredge
			Overdredge	Allowance	Volume (No	Volume			Dredge		Overdredge	Volume (No
Required Dredge	Required	Required	Allowance	Volume for	Contingency	(Generated	Required Dredge	Required Side-	Surface Area	Required	Allowance	Contingency
Surface Area	Side-Slope	Dredge	Volume (No	Side- Slopes	Re-Dredging	Residuals and	Surface Area	Slope Surface	(No Side-	Dredge	Volume (No	Re-Dredging
(No Side-Slopes)	Surface Area	Volume	Side-Slopes)	Only	Included)	Missed	(No Side-Slopes)	Area	Slopes)	Volume	Side-Slopes)	Included)
(SF)	(SF)	(CY)	(CY)	(CY)	(CY)	Inventory)	(SF)	(SF)	(SF)	(CY)	(CY)	(CY)
460,025	171,210	100,789	15,924	6,341	123,054	11,075	199,512	63,066	310,508	51,679	5,750	57,429

Attachment O.1.4 Detailed Quantities

		% Vc	lume Assumption	ns for Production I	Rates	Dredge/	Excavation Vo	lume Distribu	ition (CY)
Sediment Management Area	Remedial Action Area	Open Water (%)	Nearshore/ Slope/ Slow (%)	Restricted Access (%)	Excavation (Shoreline/ Bank) (%)	Open Water Dredging (CY)	Nearshore / Slope/ Slow Dredging (CY)	Restricted Access Dredging (CY)	Excavation (Shoreline/B ank) (CY)
18 (1/2/3A and partial 1/2/3B), 17 (1/2/3b partial and 1/2/3C)	AREA 01/02/03	100%	0%	0%	0%	25,018	0	0	0
16 (partial 4/5/6A and 4/5/6B), 15A (partial 4/5/6A and partial 4/5/6C), 14D (4/5/6D)	AREA 04/05/06	85%	0%	15%	0%	36,467	0	2,032	0
15B	AREA 07	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
14C	AREA 08	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	81
14B	AREA 10	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0
14A	AREA 12	100%	0%	0%	0%	97	0	0	0
13	AREA 13	0%	0%	0%	100%				
12b	AREA 14/15/16	90%	10%	0%	0%	21,549	2,394	0	0
12a	AREA 17	100%	0%	0%	0%	318	0	0	0
11B	AREA 36	0%	0%	0%	100%				
11A	AREA 19/20	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	1,844	0	0
9	AREA 22	100%	0%	0%	0%	6,289	0	0	2,455
8	AREA 23	0%	0%	0%	100%	0	0	0	0
7	AREA 24/25/26	0%	0%	0%	100%				
7	AREA 24/25/26	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	3,499	0	0
6	AREA 27	0%	8%	0%	93%	0	1,370	0	11,197
5	AREA 27 AREA 27	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	100% 100%	0	0	0	5,278
4	AREA 27 AREA 28	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	961	0	0
3	AREA 29	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	569	0	0
2B	AREA 30	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	148	0	0
2A	AREA 31	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	172	0	0
1B	AREA 32	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	225	0	0
1A	AREA 33/34/35 A	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
1A	AREA 33/34/35 B	0%	100%	0%	0%	0	1,092	0	0

Open Water Dredging (CY)	Nearshore / Slope/ Slow Dredging (CY)	Restricted Access Dredging (CY)	Excavation (Shoreline/E ank) (CY)
89,737	12,275	2,032	19,010

ENR/ (CY)

RMC/ Backfill A/ Amended Cover/ Cap Volumes (CY)

ENR/ Backfill B Volumes

Attachment 0.1.4 Detailed Quantities

					Material Plac	ement Volume D	Distribution (CY)											
									Gravelly Sand Material Blended									
			Sand M	/laterial		Gra	avelly Sand Mate	erial	with Granular Activated Carbon		Capping Material	s						
Sediment Management Area	Remedial Action Area	RMC/ ENR (Open Water) (CY)	RMC/ ENR (Nearshore) (CY)	RMC/ ENR (Restricted Access) (CY)	RMC/ ENR (Land-Based Equipment) (CY)	Backfill (Open Water) (CY)	Backfill (Nearshore) (CY)	Backfill (Land-Based Equipment) (CY)	Amended Cover (Land- Based Equipment)	Gravelly Sand For Cap Chemical Isolation Layer (CY)	Gravel For Cap Filter Layer (CY)	Light Riprap Erosion/Protec tion Layer (CY)	RAAs	SMAs	Inner Dredge Perimeter RM Area (SF)	to be 25% of Outer		Outer Dredge Perimeter RMC Volume (CY)
18 (1/2/3A and partial 1/2/3B), 17 (1/2/3b partial and 1/2/3C)	AREA 01/02/03	3,626	0	0	0	7,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 01/02/03	18, 17	39,544	8,290	1,465	307
16 (partial 4/5/6A and 4/5/6B), 15A (partial 4/5/6A and partial 4/5/6C), 14D (4/5/6D)	AREA 04/05/06	6,865	0	872	0	8,707	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 04/05/06, 08	16, 15, 14E, 14D, 14C	71,342	14,956	2,642	554
15B	AREA 07	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 12	14A	4,990	1,497	185	55
14C	AREA 08	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	0	0	0	0	Area 14/15/16	12B	30,442	8,546	1,127	317
14B	AREA 10	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 17	12A	7,721	2,702	286	100
14A	AREA 12	86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 19/20	11	11,375	3,571	421	132
13	AREA 13	0	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 22	9	24,317	6,447	901	239
12b	AREA 14/15/16	637	71	0	0	8,812	979	0	0	2,980	1,987	2,980	Area 24/25/26	7	11,860	3,281	439	122
12a	AREA 17	213	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 27	6, 5	17,727	4,755	657	176
11B	AREA 36	0	0	0	75								Area 28	4	9,316	2,953	345	109
11A	AREA 19/20	0	0	0	0	0	1,969	0	0	0	0	0	Area 29	3	9,145	2,492	339	92
9	AREA 22	372	0	0	0	5,748	0	2,723	0	0	0	0	Area 30, 31	2A, 2B	9,254	3,436	343	127
8	AREA 23	0	0	0	101	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Area 32	1	6,840	2,086	253	77
7	AREA 24/25/26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	203	0	0	0	Area 33/34/35B	1	11,533	2,086	427	77
7	AREA 24/25/26	0	0	0	0	0	3,991	0	0	0	0	0		Total	265,407	67,096	9,830	2,485
6	AREA 27	0	0	0	0	0	1,516	12,167	0	0	0	0				268,384		
5	AREA 27	0	0	0	0	0	0	977	0	1,671 0	1,114	1,671						
4	AREA 27 AREA 28	0	487	0	21 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
3	AREA 20 AREA 29	0	0	0	0	0	688	0	0	0	0	0						
2B	AREA 30	0	0	0	0	0	180	0	0	0	0	0						
2A	AREA 31	0	0	0	0	0	209	0	0	0	0	0						
1B	AREA 32	0	0	0	0	0	284	0	0	0	0	0						
1A	AREA 33/34/35 A	0	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
1A	AREA 33/34/35 B	0	0	0	0	0	1,376	0	0	0	0	0						

RMC/ ENR (Open Water) (CY)	RMC/ ENR (Nearshore) (CY)	RMC/ ENR (Restricted Access) (CY)	RMC/ ENR (Land-Based Equipment) (CY)	Backfill (Open Water) (CY)	Backfill (Nearshore) (CY)	Backfill (Land-Based Equipment) (CY)	Amended Cover (Land- Based Equipment)	Gravelly Sand For Cap Chemical Isolation Layer (CY)	Gravel For Cap Filter Layer (CY)	Light Riprap Erosion/Protecti on Layer (CY)
11,799	557	872	0	30,267	1,667	0	203	4,651	3,101	4,651
0	130	0	309	0	9,526	15,969				

Attachment 0.1.4 **Detailed Quantities Notes**

Notes:

1. ENR material assumed to be a Material Type 2 (medium-to-coarse grained sand), applied at a 9-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 3-inch vertical placement tolerance intended in specific SMAs (as shown on the Pre-Final [90%] Drawings). 2. Total payable dredge volume includes the following: 1) required dredge volume (neatline), including associated external side-slope and transition (between SMAs) volumes, as shown on the Drawings; and 2) 1-foot overdredge allowance on the entire dredge footprint. It includes required dredge and excavation volumes.

3. Backfill material assumed to be Material Type 1 (gravelly sand) or Material Type 2 (medium-to-coarse grained sand), intended to pre-dredge elevations in specific SMAs, for areas outside the FNC and above -10-foot MLLW (as shown on the Drawings).

4. RMC material assumed to be a Material Type 2 (medium-to-coarse grained sand), applied at a 9-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 6-inch vertical placement tolerance on the side-slope surface area. The RMC quantity also includes an additional automatic inner and contingent outer placement buffer surrounding the dredge area (at 9-inch targeted placement plus 3-inch vertical placement tolerance).

5. Amended cover is assumed to be Material Type 3 (blend of gravelly sand [Material Type 1] and GAC [1.5% by weight]), applied at a 12-inch targeted placement thickness, plus a 3-inch vertical placement tolerance, for SMA 7 (as shown on the Drawings).

6. Only 25 % of the Outer Perimeter RMC surface area is considered for RMC placement for costing purposes (as shown on the Drawings).

7. For costing purposes, Engineered Caps A and B include the following assumptions: 1) Material Type 1 for isolation layer (conforming to gravel material, with a 6-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance); and 3) Material Type 5 for erosion protection layer (conforming to quarry spalls, with a 12-inch minimum thickness plus a 6-inch maximum overplacement allowance). 8. SMA 10 is not included in the Pre-Final (90%) RD.

CY: cubic yard ENR: enhanced natural recovery FNC: federal navigation channel GAC: granular activated carbon MLLW: mean lower low water RD: remedial design RMC: residuals management cover SF: square foot SMA: sediment management area

Attachment O.1.5 Production Rates and Durations

Summary Table

			Dred	ging/Excavation	on		Material Placement														
			Dredging (Nearshore/	Dredging		Excavation	Material Type 2 for			Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR			Material Type	Material Type 3 for Amended Cover	Material Type 1 for Cap Chemical	Material Type 4		•	Material Type 4 for Cap Filter	Material Type 5 for Cap Erosion/ Protection Layer	
		Dredging	Slope/ Slow	(Restricted	Contingency	(Shoreline/	RMC/ENR	RMC/ENR	(Restricted	(Land-Based	1 for Backfill	1 for Backfill	(Land-Based	(Land-Based	Isolation Layer	Layer (Open-	Protection Layer	(Land-Based	Layer (Land-Based	(Land-Based	
Item Description	Unit	(Open-Water)	Dredging)	Access)	Re-Dredging	Bank)	(Open-Water)	(Nearshore)	Access)	Equipment)	(Open-Water)	(Nearshore)	Equipment)	Equipment)	(Open-Water)	Water)	(Open-Water)	Equipment)	Equipment)	Equipment)	
Dredge Volume/Placement Volume	CY	89,737	12,275	2,032	11,075	19,010	19,337	4,199	1,349	1,097	30,267	11,193	15,969	203	2,980	1,987	2,980	1,671	1,114	1,671	
Cycle Time	min	2.03	2.13	2.53	2.07	2.53	2.23	2.40	2.67	2.43	2.23	2.40	2.43	2.43	2.70	2.77	2.87	2.40	2.43	2.47	
Daily Production Rate	CY/day	1,076	659	509	678	498	1,130	975	657	778	1,130	975	778	778	809	788	815	569	562	553	
Daily Production Rate	CY/day (rounded)	1,100	700	500	700	500	1,100	1,000	700	800	1,100	1,000	800	800	800	800	800	600	600	600	
Daily Cost per Unit Volume	\$/CY-day	\$25.49	\$41.61	\$53.91	\$40.43	\$25.16	\$18.93	\$21.94	\$32.55	\$16.11	\$18.93	\$21.94	\$16.11	\$16.11	\$26.45	\$27.14	\$26.24	\$22.03	\$22.31	\$22.67	
No. Dredge/Placement Work Days	Days	84	19	4	17	39	17	5	2	2	27	12	21	1	4	3	4	3	2	3	
No. Dredge/Placement Calendar Days	Days	98	22	5	20	46	20	6	2	2	32	14	25	1	5	4	5	4	2	4	

Cycle Times

			Dred	ging/Excavat	ion					Mater	ial Placement			-						
		Dredging	Dredging (Nearshore/ Slope/ Slow	Dredging	Contingency	Excavation	Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR	Type 2 for		Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR (Land-Based		Material Type	Material Type 1 for Backfill	Material Type 3 for Amended Cover (Land-Based	Material Type 1 for Cap Chemical Isolation Layer	Material Type 4 for Cap Filter	Material Type 5	Isolation Layer	Material Type 4	Material Type 5 for Cap Erosion/ Protection Layer (Land-Based
Item Description	Unit	(Open-Water)	Dredging)	Access)	Re-Dredging		(Open-Water)		-		(Open-Water)		Equipment)	Equipment)	(Open-Water)	Water)	(Open-Water)	Equipment)	Equipment)	Equipment)
Load Bucket	sec	22	24	30	24	30	20	22	22	20	20	22	20	20	30	30	32	26	26	26
Lift Load	sec	18	20	22	18	22	18	20	22	22	18	20	22	22	28	28	28	24	24	24
Swing Load	sec	16	16	22	16	22	20	20	22	20	20	20	20	20	18	18	20	16	16	18
Lower Load	sec	16	18	18	16	18	20	22	24	22	20	22	22	22	18	18	20	16	16	18
Dump/Place Load	sec	12	12	12	12	12	18	22	28	20	18	22	20	20	26	30	26	22	24	22
Return Swing	sec	12	12	16	12	16	12	12	12	16	12	12	16	16	12	12	12	12	12	12
Lower Bucket	sec	14	14	16	14	16	14	14	16	14	14	14	14	14	16	16	16	16	16	16
Lost Time (accelerating, positioning, stepping ahead, weather, hydraulics, bucket change, shifting anchors/silt curtains)	sec	12	12	16	12	16	12	12	14	12	12	12	12	12	14	14	18	12	12	12
Total Cycle Time	sec	122	128	152	124	152	134	144	160	146	134	144	146	146	162	166	172	144	146	148
	min	2.03	2.13	2.53	2.07	2.53	2.23	2.40	2.67	2.43	2.23	2.40	2.43	2.43	2.70	2.77	2.87	2.40	2.43	2.47

Daily Production Rate																				
			Dred	ging/Excavati	ion			Material Placement												
		Dradaina	Dredging (Nearshore/	Dredging (Bestricted	Contingongu	Excavation		Type 2 for		Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR	Material Type	Material Type	Material Type 1 for Backfill	for Amended Cover	Material Type 1 for Cap Chemical Isolation Layer	Material Type 4 for Cap Filter	Material Type 5 for Cap Erosion/	Isolation Layer	Material Type 4 for Cap Filter	Material Type 5 for Cap Erosion/ Protection Layer
Item Description	Unit	Dredging (Open-Water)	Slope/ Slow Dredging)	-	Contingency Re-Dredging	-	(Open-Water)	-	(Restricted Access)		1 for Backfill (Open-Water)		(Land-Based Equipment)	(Land-Based Equipment)	(Open-Water)	Layer (Open- Water)	Protection Layer (Open-Water)	(Land-Based Equipment)	Layer (Land-Based Equipment)	(Land-Based Equipment)
Cycle Time	min	2.03	2.13	2.53	2.07	2.53	2.23	2.40	2.67	2.43	2.23	2.40	2.43	2.43	2.70	2.77	2.87	2.40	2.43	2.47
Bucket Capacity	CY	8	6	6	6	5	8	8	6	6	8	8	6	6	8	8	8	5	5	5
Effective Bucket Capacity	%	70%	65%	65%	65%	70%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	75%	70%	70%	75%	70%	70%	70%
	CY	5.6	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.5	6	6	4.5	4.5	6	6	4.5	4.5	5.6	5.6	6	3.5	3.5	3.5
Shift Duration	hrs/day	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
No. of Shifts	No.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Work Days/Week	No.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Running Time Efficiency	%	65%	60%	55%	60%	60%	70%	65%	65%	70%	70%	65%	70%	70%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%
Daily Production Rate (per Shift)	cy/day	1,076	659	509	678	498	1,130	975	657	778	1,130	975	778	778	809	788	815	569	562	553

Attachment O.1.5 Production Rates and Durations

Specific Durations

			Durad							Matar	al Placement									
			Dred	lging/Excavati	on	-		1	1	Iviater	al Placement			1						
									Material					Material Type 3				Material Type 1		Material Type 5 for
			Dredging				Material Type			Material Type 2				for Amended	for Cap	••		•	Material Type 4	
			(Nearshore/			Excavation	2 for			for RMC/ENR					Chemical	•	•	-	•	Protection Layer
		Dredging	Slope/ Slow	(Restricted	Contingency	-	_	RMC/ENR	-		1 for Backfill		(Land-Based	(Land-Based	Isolation Layer	Layer (Open-	Protection Layer	(Land-Based	Layer (Land-Based	
Item Description	Unit	(Open-Water)	Dredging)	Access)	Re-Dredging	Bank)	(Open-Water)	(Nearshore)	Access)	Equipment)	(Open-Water)	(Nearshore)	Equipment)	Equipment)	(Open-Water)	Water)	(Open-Water)	Equipment)	Equipment)	Equipment)
Total Dredge Volume/Placement Volume	CY	89,737	12,275	2,032	11,075	19,010	19,337	4,199	1,349	1,097	30,267	11,193	15,969	203	2,980	1,987	2,980	1,671	1,114	1,671
No. Dredge/Placement Work Days	Days	84	19	4	17	39	17	5	2	2	27	12	21	1	4	3	4	3	2	3
No. Dredge/Placement Work Hours	hrs	840	190	40	170	390	171	50	21	20	270	120	210	10	40	30	40	30	20	30
Total Dredge/Placement Duration (Work Days)	Days			163										106						
No. Dredge/Placement Calendar Days	Days	98	22	5	20	46	20	6	2	2	32	14	25	1	5	4	5	4	2	4
Total Dredge/Placement Duration (Calendar Days)	Days			190										124						

Daily Unit Costs

			Dredg	ing/Excavatio	n					Materi	al Placement									
Item Description	Unit	Dredging (Open-Water)	Dredging (Nearshore/ Slope/ Slow Dredging)	-	Contingency Re-Dredging	Excavation (Shoreline/ Bank)	Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR (Open-Water)	Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR (Nearshore)	Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR (Restricted Access)	Material Type 2 for RMC/ENR (Land-Based Equipment)	Material Type 1 for Backfill (Open-Water)	Material Type 1 for Backfill (Nearshore)		Material Type 3 for Amended Cover (Land-Based Equipment)	Material Type 1 for Cap Chemical Isolation Layer (Open-Water)	Material Type 4 for Cap Filter Layer (Open- Water)	Material Type 5 f	Material Type 1 or Cap Chemical Isolation Layer (Land-Based Equipment)	Material Type 4 for Cap Filter Layer (Land-Based Equipment)	Material Type 5 for Cap Erosion/ Protection Layer (Land-Based Equipment)
Dredge/Excavator	\$/day	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000		\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	-		\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	
Tug (2 for dredging and 1 for material placement)	\$/day	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000		\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000		\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000			\$ 2,000		\$ 2,000			
Barge (2 for dredging and 1 for material placement)	\$/day	\$ 4,000		\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000		\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000			\$ 2,000				\$ 2,000					
Work Boat	\$/day	\$ 600		\$ 600	\$ 600		\$ 600	\$ 600			\$ 600				\$ 600					
Front-end loader	\$/day	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 500
Daily Subtotal Cost for Equipment	\$/day	\$ 16,100	\$ 16,100	\$ 16,100	\$ 16,100	\$ 7,500	\$ 12,100	\$ 12,100	\$ 12,100	\$ 7,500	\$ 12,100	\$ 12,100	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500	\$ 12,100	\$ 12,100	\$ 12,100			
FOG (15%)	\$/day	\$ 2,415	\$ 2,415	\$ 2,415	\$ 2,415	\$ 1,125	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,125	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,125	\$ 1,125	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,815	\$ 1,125	\$ 1,125	\$ 1,125
Della Tatal Cast for Environment	\$/day	\$ 18,515	\$ 18,515	\$ 18,515	\$ 18,515	\$ 8,625	\$ 13,915	\$ 13,915	\$ 13,915	\$ 8,625	\$ 13,915	\$ 13,915	\$ 8,625	\$ 8,625	\$ 13,915	\$ 13,915	\$ 13,915	\$ 8,625	\$ 8,625	\$ 8,625
Daily Total Cost for Equipment	\$/cy	\$ 17.21	\$ 28.09	\$ 36.40	\$ 27.30	\$ 17.32	\$ 12.31	\$ 14.27	\$ 21.17	\$ 11.09	\$ 12.31	\$ 14.27	\$ 11.09	\$ 11.09	\$ 17.20	\$ 17.65	\$ 17.07	\$ 15.16	\$ 15.35	\$ 15.61
Superintendent	\$/day	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800
Operator Foreman	\$/day	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650
Dredge/Excavator Operator	\$/day	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650
Deck Hands for Dredge (assumed 3)	\$/day	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950		\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950		\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950			\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950	\$ 1,950			
Tug Operator (2 for dredging and 1 for material placement)	\$/day	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300		\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650		\$ 650	\$ 650			\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650			
Deck Hands for Tug (2 for dredging and 1 for material placement)	\$/day	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300		\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650		\$ 650	\$ 650			\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650			
Front-loader Operator	\$/day	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650	\$ 650
Health and Safety and Quality Assurance	\$/day	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800	\$ 800			\$ 800	
Daily Subtotal Cost for Labor	\$/day	\$ 8,100	\$ 8,100	\$ 8,100	\$ 8,100	\$ 3,550	\$ 6,800	\$ 6,800	\$ 6,800	\$ 3,550	\$ 6,800	\$ 6,800	\$ 3,550	\$ 3,550	\$ 6,800	\$ 6,800	\$ 6,800	\$ 3,550	\$ 3,550	\$ 3,550
Travel / Per Diem Allowance (10%)	\$/day	\$ 810	\$ 810	\$ 810	\$ 810	\$ 355	\$ 680	\$ 680	\$ 680	\$ 355	\$ 680	\$ 680	\$ 355	\$ 355	\$ 680	\$ 680	\$ 680	\$ 355	\$ 355	
Daily Total Cost for Labor	\$/day	\$ 8,910		\$ 8,910	\$ 8,910	-,			, ,											
	\$/cy	\$ 8.28		\$ 17.52	\$ 13.14															
Daily Total Cost for Equipment and Labor	\$/day	\$ 27,425		\$ 27,425	\$ 27,425		\$ 21,395	\$ 21,395				\$ 21,395								
	\$/cy	\$ 25.49	\$ 41.61	\$ 53.91	\$ 40.43	\$ 25.16	\$ 18.93	\$ 21.94	\$ 32.55	\$ 16.11	\$ 18.93	\$ 21.94	\$ 16.11	\$ 16.11	\$ 26.45	\$ 27.14	\$ 26.24	\$ 22.03	\$ 22.31	\$ 22.67

Attachment O.1.5 Production Rates and Durations

Project Quantities and Durations

Item Description	Totals
Dredge Volume (CY)	104,044
Contingency Re-Dredging Volume (CY)	11,075
Excavation Volume (CY)	19,010
Material Placement Volume (CY)	96,017
Total Dredge Duration (Work Days)	163
Total Material Placement Duration (Work Days)	106
Total Mob/Demob + Dredge/Material Placement Durations + Structural Work (Work Days)	320
Total Duration (Work Months)	10.5
Total Duration (Work Months) - Rounded	11.0
Total Dredge Duration (Calendar Days)	190
Total Material Placement Duration (Calendar Days)	124
Total Mob/Demob + Dredge/Material Placement Durations + Structural Work (Calendar Days)	373
Total Duration (Calendar Months)	12.3
Total Duration (Calendar Months) - Rounded	13.0

Notes:

CY/day: cubic yard per day CY: cubic yard \$/CY-day: dollars per cubic yard per day ENR: enhanced natural recovery GAC: granular activated carbon hrs/day: hours per day min: minute RMC: residuals management cover sec: second SF: square foot

Attachment 0.1.6

Detailed Structural Work Costs (Provided by Bright Engineering, July 14, 2023)

		LDWG - Structures and Outfalls								
		Sediment Cleanup of Upper Reach of Lower Duwamish Waterway								
		Probable Construction Cost Estimate								
		90% Design - Phase 3, Revised July 14, 2023								
Bid Item No.	Specs Section No.	Item	Quantity	Unit	Min Unit Cost	Max Unit Cost	Bracketed Avg Unit Cost ¹	Probable Unit Cost ²	Min Total Cost	

SCHEDULE A - Structures OVER AND IN MATER STRUCTURES

OVER AND IN-WATER STRUCTURES								
Remove Timber Piles, Incl Dolphins								
Remove Creosote and Untreated Timber Piles (6 per day)	32	Ea	\$ 50.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 2,729.46	\$ 3,138.88	\$ 1,60	0 \$
Timber Pile Disposal (12" Dia Avg x 40ft @ 58.37pcf)	29.34	Ton	\$ 100.99	\$ 650.00	\$ 251.12	\$ 288.79	\$ 2,96	3 \$
Sub Total							\$ 4,56	3 \$
Replace Timber Piles with Steel Pipe Piles								
New Coated Steel Pipe Piles - Material and Driving	2	EA	\$ 5,500.00	\$ 13,712.67	\$ 11,062.56	\$ 12,721.94	\$ 11,00	0 \$
Sub Total							\$ 11,00	0 \$
	(
Strengthening/Reinforcing of Existing Bulkheads								
Wall 1 Bulkhead - Wall Reinforcement and Strengthening at Debris Piles (95 LF @ 12 ft per day)	5,700	SF	\$ 67.26	\$ 83.38	\$ 75.20	\$ 86.48	\$ 383,40	0 \$
Sub Total							\$ 383,40	0 \$
			Total				\$ 398,96	6\$
CHEDULE B - Outfalls								
Outfall Protection and Energy Dissipation								
Pipe Protection (2 days total)	4	EA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 23,000.00	\$ 80,00	0\$
Energy Dissipators (3 days Each)	2	EA	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 69,000.00	\$ 120,00	0 \$
Sub Total				•			\$ 200,00	
			Total				\$ 200,00	0\$
¹ Bracketed Average is calculated average with outliers omitted.								
² Probable Cost is Bracketed Average plus 15% bump.								

				Sti	ruct	ure	s (ST	•	eads, Single Pile Fields, G Structural Drawings and Structural D			-		tory		
			Riv	verbar	nk St	atio	ning					# Piles			Dalakia	
Structure II	RAA	RM	Sta	art ST	A E	End S	6TA	LF	Adjacent Property Owner	Description	Creosote and Untreated Single/Gro	Steel Piles Bemoval	Steel Pile Replaceme nt	Doibhin	Replaceme	Cleat Replacen nt
ST02	8	3.	_						South Park Bridge	Fenders						
	9	3.								Bascule Pier						
	10	3.	3							South Abutment						
ST03		3.	5 28	34	10	289	70	560	Star Forge (Jorgensen Forge)							
		3.	7 28	39 .	70	291	60	190	Star Forge (Jorgensen Forge)	Bulkhead - New Sheet Piles						
		3.	7 2	91 (50	294	80		Boeing (Boeing Vacant Land)	Bulkhead - H-Piles						
		3.	7 29	94 8	30	295	20	40	Boeing (Thompson Site)	Bulkhead - H-Piles						
	18A	3.	7 29	95 3	20	295	90	70	Boeing (Thompson Site)	Wall 3 Bulkhead - H- Piles						
1	8A,CD and E	3.	8 29	95 :	90	297	80		Boeing (Thompson Site)	Wall 2 Bulkhead - Newer Sheet Piles						
										Bulkhead - Stub						

Piles Wain Duikneau Older Sheet Piles

370 Boeing (Thompson Site)

3.8

297

50

Max T	otal Cost	Bracketed A Cos			Probable Total Cost ²	Duration (days)	
S	112,000		87,343		100,444	6	
s s	19,071 131,071		7,368 94,711	<u> </u>	8,473	6	
<u> </u>	101,011	*	34,711	*	100,011	0	
S	27,425	S	22,125	S	25,444	1	
- S	27,425		22,125		25,444	1	
S	475,250	s	428,622	\$	492,936	8	
\$	475,250	S	428,622	\$	492,936	8	
\$	633,746	\$	545,458	\$	627,297	15	
S	80,000	S	80,000	\$	92,000	2	
S	120,000	S	120,000	\$	138,000	6	
S	200,000	S	200,000	\$	230,000	8	
\$	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	230,000	\$8	
		TOTAL		\$	857,297	23	
eat aceme nt	Stub Pile Bulkhead Removal	Buiknead Replacem ent w/ Steel Sheet Piles, SF (Exnosed)	Bulkhea Shorin or Suppor LF	g	Comm	ents	
							_
		Ð			Assumed per tota oo & 15ft tall walls	ILF, Piles @	8
					D		
		0		0	Repair with Steell and Tiebacks		_
		0		0	Assumed 15 LF x ⁻ Repair Assumed stub pile	_	
	0	0			long. 5ft exposed		
					Assumed total LF	x 60ft tall Ste	ee

Attachment O.1.6 Detailed Structural Work Costs (Provided by Bright Engineering, July 14, 2023)

	22	3.9	301	50	301	85	35	Centerpoint Properties	(North End)								2400	40	Sheet Piles
									Older Sheet Piles										Assumed total LF x 60ft tall Steel
	24/25B	3.9					50	Centerpoint Properties	(South End)								3300		Sheet Piles
									Wall1Bulkhead-										Assumed per total LF, 15ft tall
		3.9	0	0	306	20	30620	Centerpoint Properties	Older Sheet Piles								0	0	wall s
ST04	27A, 27B, 27	4.0-4.1	309	60	315	80	620	Container Properties, LLC	Piles	3		2	3						Replace Fishing Pole #38 and
	27								Dolphins										
ST07	29	4.6	361	70	362	90	120	Boeing Developmental Center	Wharf										
ST07	32	4.8	371	20	371	40	20	Boeing Developmental Center	Piles	7									
ST07	33	4.8	371	60	372	00		Boeing Developmental Center	Groin?										
ST07	34	4.8	372	40	373	00	60	Boeing Developmental Center	Piles	10		0							
ST10	30	4.7	410	70	410	90	20	Port of Seattle	Piles	9									Demolish Piles, not replaced
ST20	13	3.5	492	20	492	60	40	South Park Marina	Guide Piles										
									Totals	29	0	2	3	0	0	0	5700	95	

Structures (ST) - Outfall Work Inventory

(See Structural Drawings and Structural Decision Table in BODR for Quantities Indicated)

			River	bank									
Structure ID	RAA	RM	<u>Statio</u> ST		Adjacent Property Owner	Description	Plug and Abandon	Temporary Support	Temporary Diversion	Protect in Place ¹	Extension	Energy Dissipation	Comments
2214	13	3.5	492	75	South Park Marina	15"Dia PVC				0		0	Pipe Material and Dia from Survey
17th Ave SD	13	3.5	492	20	South Park Marina	15"Dia PVC							Pipe Material and Dia from Survey
2062	18C	3.7	296	10	Jorgensen Forge (Boeing Thompson Site)	48"Dia CMP				0		0	
2061	18C	3.7	296	30	Jorgensen Forge (Boeing Thompson Site)	24"Dia Steel				0			With Duckbill Valve
2075	22	3.9	301		Insurance Auto Auction	32"Dia Steel Riser				1			
2073	26	3.9	307	10	Insurance Auto Auction	18"Dia Concrete				1		1	
2093	35	4.9	375	00	Boeing	24"Dia Concrete				1		1	
2094	35	4.9	375	10	Boeing	12"Dia Concrete	0						
2077	22	3.8	301	10	Boeing	20"Dia Steel				1			With Duckbill Valve
2076	22	3.9	301	70	Insurance Auto Auction	30"Dia Steel							Not Observed, No Action
2092	North of 32	4.8	370	90	Boeing								Outside Dredge Prism, No Action
2097	32	4.8	371	50	Boeing	8"Dia Steel							Not Observed, No Action
DC16	33	4.8			Boeing	6"Dia Ductile Iron							No Action
2096	34	4.8	373	50	Boeing	6"Dia Cast Iron							Outside Dredge Prism
2074	24/25B	3.9	304	70	Insurance Auto Auction	8"Dia CMP							Already Abandoned
						Totals	0	0	0	4	0	2	