

Figure E-1. Comparison of daily-average and peak flow rates in the Green River during high-flow conditions (flow greater than 2-year high-flow event).



Figure E-2. Ratio of peak flow to daily-average flow as a function of daily-average flow rate during high-flow conditions (flow greater than 2-year high-flow event).



Figure E-3. Cumulative frequency distribution of ratio of peak flow to daily-average flow during high-flow conditions (flow greater than 2-year high-flow event).



Figure E-4. Time variable river flow rate and tidal elevation used as boundary conditions for 2-year high-flow event.



Figure E-5. Time variable river flow rate and tidal elevation used as boundary conditions for 10-year high-flow event.



FC- \\Gis_station\d_drive\Private\RETIdw\GRID\RETIdw_model_grid_bed_shear.mxd











Figure E-11. Sediment mass balances for 2, 10, and 100-year high-flow events.

Sediment mass in metric tons.

The arrow on the left going into the box represents the total in-coming sediment load. The arrow on the right going out of the box represents the total out-going sediment mass. Inside the box, the upward arrow represents total erosion mass. The downward arrow represents the total deposition mass. The middle downward arrow under the box represents the net deposition mass.



Figure E-12. Sediment mass balances for 2, 10, and 100-year high-flow events for each sediment class.

Sediment mass in metric tons. labels that next to each arrow from top to bottom are sediment mass for class 1A, 1B, 2 and 3. The arrow on the left going into the box represents the total in-coming sediment load. The arrow on the right going out of the box represents the total out-going sediment mass. Inside the box, the upward arrow represents total erosion mass. The downward arrow represents the

total deposition mass. The middle downward arrow under the box represents the net deposition mass.

Total Sediment Mass Balance during 2-Year Event Overall Trapping Efficiency = 43%



Sediment mass units = metric tons Mass balance results rounded to nearest 100 MT

Figure E-13. Total sediment mass balances for 2-year high-flow event.

Total Sediment Mass Balance during 10-Year Event Overall Trapping Efficiency = 39%



Sediment mass units = metric tons Mass balance results rounded to nearest 100 MT

Figure E-14. Total sediment mass balances for 10-year high-flow event.

Total Sediment Mass Balance during 100-Year Event Overall Trapping Efficiency = 38%



Sediment mass units = metric tons Mass balance results rounded to nearest 100 MT

Figure E-15. Total sediment mass balances for 100-year high-flow event.







Figure E-17. Comparison of 2, 10, and 100-year high-flow events: normalized net erosional area. Normalization area is 70 acres.



FC/AC - \\Fanghui\D_DRIVE\RETIdw\Map\sensitivity_plot.mxd



Figure E-19. Time histories of selected variables at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85



Figure E-20. Time histories of selected variables at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.96



Figure E-21. Time histories of selected variables at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.10



Figure E-22. Time histories of selected variables at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.60



Figure E-23. Time histories of selected variables at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.80



Figure E-24. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85



Figure E-25. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.96





Figure E-27. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.60



Figure E-28. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.80





Figure E-30. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (lower-bound erosion parameters). Dotted line represents the base case results.





Figure E-32. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.10 (lower-bound erosion parameters). Dotted line represents the base case results.





Figure E-34. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.80 (lower-bound erosion parameters). Dotted line represents the base case results.





Figure E-36. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (upper-bound erosion parameters). Dotted line represents the base case results.


Figure E-37. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.96 (upper-bound erosion parameters). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-38. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.10 (upper-bound erosion parameters). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-39. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.60 (upper-bound erosion parameters). Dotted line represents the base case results.









Figure E-43. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (lower-bound upstream sediment load). Dotted line represents the base case results.









Figure E-47. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.80 (lower-bound upstream sediment load). Dotted line represents the base case results.







Figure E-50. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (upper-bound upstream sediment load). Dotted line represents the base case results.







Figure E-52. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.10 (upper-bound upstream sediment load). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-53. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.60 (upper-bound upstream sediment load). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-54. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.80 (upper-bound upstream sediment load). Dotted line represents the base case results.







Figure E-57. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (lower-bound effective bed roughness). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-58. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.96 (lower-bound effective bed roughness). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-59. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.10 (lower-bound effective bed roughness). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-60. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.60 (lower-bound effective bed roughness). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-61. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.80 (lower-bound effective bed roughness). Dotted line represents the base case results.







Figure E-64. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (upper-bound effective bed roughness). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-65. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.96 (upper-bound effective bed roughness). Dotted line represents the base case results.













Figure E-71. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (lower-bound settling speed). Dotted line represents the base case results.




























Figure E-85. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (particle shielding factor removed). Dotted line represents the base case results.





Figure E-87. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.10 (particle shielding factor removed). Dotted line represents the base case results.







Figure E-90. Time variable river flow rate and tidal elevation used as boundary conditions for 100-year high-flow event (neap tide).







Figure E-93. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.85 (particle shielding factor removed). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-94. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 2.96 (particle shielding factor removed). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-95. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.10 (particle shielding factor removed). Dotted line represents the base case results.





Figure E-97. Time histories of bed shear stress, bed elevation, and erosion/deposition fluxes at a specific grid cell during 100-year high-flow event: RM 3.80 (particle shielding factor removed). Dotted line represents the base case results.



Figure E-98. Time variable river flow rate and tidal elevation used as boundary conditions for peak-flow-duration sensitivity simulation.

















Figure E-106. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound erosion parameters.



Figure E-107. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound erosion parameters.



Figure E-108. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound erosion parameters.


Figure E-109. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound erosion parameters.



Figure E-110. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound upstream sediment load.



Figure E-111. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound upstream sediment load.



Figure E-112. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound upstream sediment load.



Figure E-113. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound upstream sediment load.



Figure E-114. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound effective bed roughness.



Figure E-115. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound effective bed roughness.



Figure E-116. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound effective bed roughness.



Figure E-117. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound effective bed roughness.



Figure E-118. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound settling speed.



Figure E-119. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: lower-bound settling speed.



Figure E-120. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound settling speed.



Figure E-121. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: upper-bound settling speed.



Figure E-122. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: effect of particle-shielding factor removed.



Figure E-123. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: effect of particle-shielding factor removed.



Figure E-124. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: neap tide.



Figure E-125. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: neap tide.



Figure E-126. One-to-one comparison of predicted net erosion during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: 8-day peak flow.



Figure E-127. One-to-one comparison of predicted maximum bed scour depth during 100-year high-flow event for base case and sensitivity simulations: 8-day peak flow.

Class 1A Sediment Mass Balance during 100-Year Event Overall Trapping Efficiency = 0.05%



Sediment mass units = metric tons Mass balance results rounded to nearest 100 MT

Figure E-128. Class 1A sediment mass balances for 100-year high-flow events. Trapping efficiency is percentage of incoming sediment load that is deposited within a reach.

Class 1B Sediment Mass Balance during 100-Year Event Overall Trapping Efficiency = 42%



Sediment mass units = metric tons Mass balance results rounded to nearest 100 MT

Figure E-129. Class 1B sediment mass balances for 100-year high-flow events. Trapping efficiency is percentage of incoming sediment load that is deposited within a reach.

Class 2 Sediment Mass Balance during 100-Year Event Overall Trapping Efficiency = 98%



Sediment mass units = metric tons Mass balance results rounded to nearest 100 MT

Figure E-130. Class 2 sediment mass balances for 100-year high-flow events. Trapping efficiency is percentage of incoming sediment load that is deposited within a reach.

Class 3 Sediment Mass Balance during 100-Year Event Overall Trapping Efficiency = 100%



Sediment mass units = metric tons Mass balance results rounded to nearest 100 MT

Figure E-131. Class 3 sediment mass balances for 100-year high-flow events. Trapping efficiency is percentage of incoming sediment load that is deposited within a reach.



Figure E-132: Comparison of base-case and sensitivity results for 100-year high-flow event: normalized net erosional area (RM 0 to 4.3).



Upper-bound



Figure E-134. Relationship between maximum bed shear stress within cohesive bed area and peak flow rate during high-flow events.



Figure E-135. Relationship between maximum bed scour depth within cohesive bed area and peak flow rate during high-flow events.