Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Port of Seattle / City of Seattle / King County / The Boeing Company

2007 Fish/Crab/Clam Data Report–Appendices

Appendix D. Data Management

D.1 LABORATORY REPLICATE SAMPLES

Chemical concentrations obtained from the analysis of laboratory duplicate or replicate samples (two or more analyses on the same sample) are averaged for a closer representation of the "true" concentration as compared to the results of a single analysis. Averaging rules are dependent on whether the individual results are detected concentrations or reporting limits (RLs) for undetected analytes. If all concentrations are detected for a given parameter, the values are simply averaged arithmetically. If all concentrations are undetected for a given parameter, the minimum RL is reported. If the concentrations are a mixture of detected concentrations and RLs, any two or more detected concentrations are averaged arithmetically and RLs are ignored. If there is a single detected concentration and one or more RLs, the detected concentration is reported. The latter two rules are applied regardless of whether the RLs are higher or lower than the detected concentration.

D.2 LOCATION AVERAGING

Chemical concentrations for a single location where more than one sample is obtained are calculated as the average concentration of a surface sediment sample and the associated field duplicate sample. These types of samples are also called field splits (PSEP 1997), and are collected at a single location using the same homogenized sediment. The sample and the field duplicate sample are submitted to the laboratory as individual samples, and they are analyzed separately. The averaging rules used for location averaging are the same as for laboratory replicate samples described above. A sampling location with averaged chemical concentrations is presented as a single "sample" in the data report text and data tables.

D.3 SIGNIFICANT FIGURES AND ROUNDING

The laboratories report results with different numbers of significant figures depending on the instrument, parameter, and the concentration relative to the RL. The reported (or assessed) precision of each observation is explicitly stored in the project database as a record of the number of significant figures assigned by the laboratory. The tracking of significant figures becomes important when calculating averages and performing other data summaries.

When a calculation involves addition, such as totaling polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), the calculation can only be as precise as the least precise number that went into the calculation. For example (assuming two significant figures):



Port of Seattle / City of Seattle / King County / The Boeing Company

2007 Fish/Crab/Clam Data Report FINAL Appendix D March 5, 2009 210 + 19 = 229, to be reported as 230 because the trailing zero in the number 210 is not significant

When a calculation involves multiplication or division, such as when organic carbon normalizing is used, all significant figures are carried through the calculation, and then the total result is rounded at the end of the calculation to reflect the value used in the calculation with the fewest significant figures. For example:

59.9 x 1.2 = 71.88, to be reported as 72 because there are two significant figures in the number 1.2

When rounding, if the number following the last significant figure is less than 5, the digit is left unchanged. If the number following the last significant figure is equal to or greater than 5, the digit is increased by 1.

D.4 DILUTIONS

All analyte concentrations within the calibration range of the instrument in the lowest analytical dilution are selected as the final result. Any analyte concentrations that exceed the calibration range and are qualified as estimated by the laboratory as an exceedance (E-qualified) are rejected by the data validator. The values for these analytes are selected from the analysis of the sample dilution in which the analyte concentration is within the calibration range of the instrument. In cases where the result from the lowest analytical dilution is qualified by the laboratory or the validator, the validator uses best professional judgment to determine whether or not the qualification warrants the selection of the result from another analytical dilution as the final result.

D.5 MULTIPLE RESULTS FOR THE SAME ANALYTE USING ONE ANALYTICAL METHOD

Multiple analyses of a sample for a group of analytes can occur as a result of laboratory quality assurance (QA) issues that may only affect a subset of the analyte group. In these cases, there may be multiple results for certain analytes. The data validator uses the following rules to select a single value when multiple results are reported by the laboratory for a single analyte in a single sample using the same method.

- If all results are detected without qualification as an estimated value (i.e., J- or E-qualifier), then the result from the lowest analytical dilution is selected. If multiple, unqualified results from the same analytical dilution are available, the highest concentration is selected as a health-protective approach.
- If a mixture of estimated (i.e., J-qualified) and unqualified detected results are reported, then the unqualified detected result is selected.
- If all results are reported as detected with estimated qualification, the "best result" is selected using best professional, technical judgment.



- If both undetected and detected results are reported, then the detected result is selected.
- If all results are reported as undetected, then the lowest RL is selected.

D.6 CALCULATING TOTALS

Concentrations for analyte sums are calculated as follows:

- **Total PCBs** are calculated, in accordance with the methods of the Washington State Sediment Management Standards (SMS), by summing only detected concentrations for seven Aroclor mixtures.¹ For individual samples in which none of the seven Aroclor mixtures is detected, total PCBs are given a value equal to the highest RL of the seven Aroclors and assigned a U-qualifier indicating the lack of detected concentrations.
- Total PCB congeners are calculated by summing only detected concentrations for the 209 individual congeners. For individual samples in which none of the 209 congeners are detected, total PCB congeners are given a value equal to the highest RL of any individual congener and assigned a U-qualifier indicating the lack of detected concentrations. PCB congeners that do not meet minimum method requirements for qualitative determination (i.e., estimated maximum possible concentrations) are treated as non-detected values when calculating the total PCB congener sums.

D.7 CALCULATION OF PCB CONGENER TEQS

PCB congener toxic equivalents (TEQs) are calculated using the World Health Organization (WHO) consensus toxic equivalency factor (TEF) values (Van den Berg et al. 2006) for mammals as presented in Table D-1. The TEQ is calculated as the sum of each detected congener concentration multiplied by the corresponding TEF value. When the congener concentration is reported as non-detect, then the TEF is multiplied by half the RL.

PCB Congener Number	TEF VALUE (UNITLESS)
77	0.0001
81	0.0003
105	0.00003
114	0.00003
118	0.00003
123	0.00003
126	0.1

Table D-1. PCB congener TEF values for mammals

¹ Aroclors 1016, 1221, 1232, 1242, 1248, 1254, and 1260.



Port of Seattle / City of Seattle / King County / The Boeing Company

156	0.00003
157	0.00003
167	0.00003
169	0.03
189	0.00003

Source: Van den Berg et al. (2006)

PCB – polychlorinated biphenyl

TEF – toxic equivalency factor

D.8 REFERENCES

- PSEP. 1997. Recommended guidelines for sampling marine sediment, water column, and tissue in Puget Sound. Final report. Prepared for the US Environmental Protection Agency, Seattle, WA. Puget Sound Water Quality Action Team, Olympia, WA.
- Van den Berg M, Birnbaum LS, Denison M, De Vito M, Farland W, Feeley M, Fiedler H, Hakansson H, Hanberg A, Haws L, Rose M, Safe S, Schrenk D, Tohyama C, Tritscher A, Tuomisto J, Tysklind M, Walker N, Peterson RE. 2006. The 2005 World Health Organization reevaluation of human and mammalian toxic equivalency factors for dioxins and dioxin-like compounds. Tox Sci 93(2):223-241.



2007 Fish/Crab/Clam Data Report FINAL Appendix D March 5, 2009

Port of Seattle / City of Seattle / King County / The Boeing Company