



LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

LDC #13204/13234/13298/13315/14000

September 9, 2005

Windward Environmental, LLC
200 West Mercer Street, Suite 401
Seattle, WA 98119
ATTN: Ms. Marina Mitchell

SUBJECT: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group Sediment Sample Data Validation

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

Enclosed is our revised EPA Level II and Level IV data validation of analytical chemistry results generated in support of the Lower Duwamish Waterway Group project. The primary analyses were performed by Analytical Resources, Inc. Samples were analyzed for GC/MS Semivolatiles by EPA SW 846 Methods 8270C and 8270C-SIM, GC Butyltins by the Krone Method and EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM, GC Chlorinated Pesticides by EPA SW 846 Method 8081A, GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls by EPA SW 846 Method 8082, Metals by EPA SW 846 Methods 6010B/200.8/7471, Total Solids by EPA Method 160.3, Ammonia as Nitrogen by modified EPA Method 350.1, Sulfide by EPA Method 376.2, Grain Size by PSEP Method, and Total Organic Carbon by Plumb Method. Samples are referenced under the following Sample Delivery Groups: HP67, HP70, HP90, HQ69, HQ17, HQ04, HQ48, HQ27, HQ28, HR48, HQ56, HQ57, HQ93, HT37, HU13, HV08, HT56 and IL52. See the Sample Analysis Table (Attachment 1) for the number of samples reviewed.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella S. Cuenco
Project Manager/Senior Chemist

Attachment 1

LDC #13234 (Windward Environmental, LLC - Seattle WA / Lower Duwamish Waterway Group)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	DATE DUE	SVOA (8270C)		SVOA (8270C -SIM)		Pest. (8081A)		PCBs (8082)		Metals & Hg (SW846)		Butyltin (Krone/8270C-SIM)		NH3 (350.1)		S= (376.2)		Total Solids (160.3)		TOC (Plumb)		Grain Size														
				T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T						
Matrix: Tissue/Sediment																																						
A	HQ48	03/08/05	03/29/05	0	21	0	12	0	13	0	22	0	21	0	5	0	21	0	21	0	21	0	21	0	21	0	21	0	21	0	21	0	21	0				
B	HQ27 & HQ28	03/08/05	03/29/05	0	12	0	3	0	4	0	12	0	11	0	3	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0	12	0				
C	HR48	03/08/05	03/29/05	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
E	HQ56 & HQ57	03/08/05	03/29/05	0	12	0	5	0	3	0	17	0	11	0	2	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11			
Total	B			0	45	0	21	0	20	0	51	0	43	0	11	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	0	44	

Shaded cells indicate Level IV validation. (all other cells are Level II validation). These sample counts do not include Rinsate Blanks, MS/MSD, and DUPs

LDC #13315 (Windward Environmental, LLC - Seattle WA / Lower Duwamish Waterway Group)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	DATE DUE	PCBs (8382)	As (20.8)			Total Solids (160.3)			TOC (Plumb)			Grain Size	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S		
					T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T																S	T
					T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S	T	S																
B	HT56	03/28/05	04/18/05	-	0	9	0	9	0	9	-	-	0	9																
								0	0	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	

PDF LDC #14000 (Windward Environmental, LLC - Seattle WA / Lower Duwamish Waterway Group)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	SVOA (8270C)		SVOA (8270C -SIM)		W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
				W	S	W	S																
Matrix: Water/Sediment																							
A	IL52	09/08/05	09/12/05	0	1	0	1																
Total								0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Shaded cells indicate Level IV validation (all other cells are Level II validation). These sample counts do not include MS/MSD, and DUPs

CHEMICAL DATA QUALITY REVIEW FOR PHASE 1 SURFACE SEDIMENT SAMPLES

Lower Duwamish Waterway Group *LDC# 13204, 13234, 13298, 13315 and 14000

*This report details the findings of an EPA Level II and Level IV data validation of analytical chemistry results generated in support of the Lower Duwamish Waterway Group project. The primary analyses were performed by Analytical Resources, Inc. Samples were analyzed for GC/MS Semivolatiles by EPA SW 846 Methods 8270C and 8270C-SIM, GC Butyltins by the Krone Method and EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM, GC Chlorinated Pesticides by EPA SW 846 Method 8081A, GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls by EPA SW 846 Method 8082, Metals by EPA SW 846 Methods 6010B/200.8/7471, Total Solids by EPA Method 160.3, Ammonia as Nitrogen by modified EPA Method 350.1, Sulfide by EPA Method 376.2, Grain Size by PSEP Method, and Total Organic Carbon by Plumb Method. Samples are referenced under the following Sample Delivery Groups: HP67, HP70, HP90, HQ69, HQ17, HQ04, HQ48, HQ27, HQ28, HR48, HQ56, HQ57, HQ93, HT37, HU13, HV08, HT56 and IL52. See the Sample Analysis Table (Attachment 1) for the number of samples reviewed and the Sample Validation Table (Attachment 2) for the sample identifications and analyses.

*Added LDC 14000 and SDG IL52 in the report.

The QC guidelines used for data qualification are those specified in the National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) and the National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (July 2002). Specific QC criteria used follows the Surface Sediment Sampling for Chemical Analyses and Toxicity Testing of the Lower Duwamish Waterway Quality Assurance Project Plan (January 14, 2005). Where specific guidance is not available, the data has been evaluated in a conservative manner using professional experience.

The following items were evaluated during the review:

- Holding Times
- Sample Preservation
- Cooler Temperatures
- Instrument Calibration
- Blanks
- Surrogates
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates
- Internal Standards
- Laboratory Control Samples
- Target Compound Identifications*
- Compound Quantitation and CRQLs*
- System Performance
- Field Duplicates

*Data were not reviewed for Level II.

Only issues which require comment or action are discussed in this report. Data deficiencies are arranged by method. Potential effects of data anomalies have been described where possible.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

Overall Data Assessment

I. Method Compliance

Antimony, arsenic and thallium were analyzed using EPA Method 200.8 instead of EPA SW 846 Method 6020 as required by the QAPP.

*II. Usability

Technical holding time exceedances, QC exceedances, compound quantitation, instrument calibration and method blank contamination problems have warranted the qualification of a portion of the data set.

Low LCS recoveries have warranted the qualification of detected results as estimated (J) and non-detected results as unusable (R) for butyltin trichloride in the butyltin analyses in SDGs HP67, HP70, HQ27, HQ48, HQ57 and HR48.

Technical holding time problems have warranted the qualification of detected results as estimated (J-) for one sample in the sulfide analyses in SDG HP90 and for several samples in the total solids analyses in SDG HT56.

Compound quantitation problems have warranted the qualification of detected results as estimated (J) for one sample in the semivolatile analyses for SDG HP70 and several samples in the PCB analyses for SDGs HQ17, HQ48, HQ56, HQ57, HQ67, HP70 and HP90.

Instrument calibration problems have warranted the qualification of detected results as estimated (J) and non-detected results as estimated (UJ) for several compounds in the semivolatile analyses for SDGs HP67 and HP70.

*Method blank contamination have warranted the qualification of bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and di-n-butylphthalate as non-detected (U) for several samples in the semivolatile analyses for SDGs HP67, HP70 and IL52. Diethylphthalate was qualified as non-detected (U) for several samples in the semivolatile-SIM analyses for SDGs HU13 and IL52.

*Other QC accuracy and precision exceedances have warranted the qualification of detected results as estimated (J) and non-detected results as estimated (UJ) in the semivolatile, semivolatile-SIM, pesticide, PCB, sulfide, metals and butyltin analyses for SDGs HP67, HP70, HP90, HQ69, HQ17, HQ27, HQ28, HQ48, HQ56, HQ57, HU13, HV08 and IL52.

The required frequency of method blank and LCS were not met for the ammonia as nitrogen analyses.

The required frequency of SRM was not met for the sulfide analyses.

No action was taken when the SRM results were outside the limit of Mean \pm Standard Deviation for the organic analyses since the SRM standards were outdated and there were no certified QC limits established.

III. Additional Review

The calibration and internal standard summary forms and the ICP interference check sample analysis data that were associated to all the Level II samples were evaluated per client request. Instrument calibration and internal standard recovery problems did not warrant the qualification of the associated data as unusable (R).

The quality control criteria reviewed, other than those discussed above, were met and are considered acceptable. Sample results that were found to be estimated (J) are usable for limited purposes only. Sample results that were found to be unusable (R) for all purposes. Based upon the data validation all other results are considered valid and usable for all purposes.

GC/MS Semivolatiles by EPA SW 846 Method 8270C

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals. All ion abundance requirements were met.

Instrument performance check data were not reviewed for Level II.

III. Initial Calibration

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 15.0% for each individual compound and less than or equal to 30.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

In the case where %RSD was greater than 15.0%, the laboratory used a calibration curve to evaluate the compound. All coefficients of determination (r^2) were greater than or equal to 0.990 .

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 30.0% (%RSD) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

Percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were within the method criteria of less than or equal to 20.0% for calibration check compounds (CCCs).

For the purposes of technical evaluation, all compounds were evaluated against the 25.0% (%D) National Functional Guideline criteria. Unless noted above, all compounds were within the validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
HP6/ HP70	2/1/05	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	28.53297	LDW-SS 1-010** LDW-SS4-010** LDW-SS5-010** LDW-SS10-010** LDW-SS12-010** LDW-SS14-010** LDW-SS15-010** LDW-SS22-010** LDW-SS13-010** LDW-SS23-010** LDW-SS26-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS32-010** LDW-SS37-010**	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	2/2/05	Benzyl alcohol 2,4-Dinitrophenol 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	57.44199 38.07925 41.76197	LDW-SS38-010** LDW-SS40-010** LDW-SS48-010** LDW-SS51-010**	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	2/3/05	Benzyl alcohol 2,4-Dinitrophenol 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	46.26238 34.77659 37.90387 38.57960 40.04920	LDW-SS48-010DL**	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within validation criteria.

*V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No semivolatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
HP67 HP70	MB012405	1/24/05	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	15 ug/Kg	All samples in SDGs HP67 and HP70
*IL52	MB082605	8/26/05	Di-n-butylphthalate Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	72 ug/Kg 11 ug/Kg	All samples in SDG IL52

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
HP67	LDW-SS1-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	07 ug/Kg	07U ug/Kg
HP67	LDW-SS4-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	83 ug/Kg	83U ug/Kg
HP67	LDW-SS10-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	82 ug/Kg	82U ug/Kg
HP67	LDW-SS15-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	64 ug/Kg	64U ug/Kg
HP67	LDW-SS13-010DL** (5x)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	180 ug/Kg	180U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS26-010DL** (5x)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	190 ug/Kg	190U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS27-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	30 ug/Kg	30U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS200-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	88 ug/Kg	88U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS32-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	93 ug/Kg	93U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS37-010DL** (5x)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	650 ug/Kg	650U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS38-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	100 ug/Kg	100U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS48-010DL** (5x)	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	660 ug/Kg	660U ug/Kg
HP70	LDW-SS51-010**	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	120 ug/Kg	120U ug/Kg
*IL52	LDW-SS117-010	Di-n-butylphthalate	26 ug/Kg	26U ug/Kg

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
HQ17	LDW-SS-118-010	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	31.6 (40-130)	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

*VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HQ17	LDW-SS116-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS116-010)	Pyrene	-	-	78.0 (≤ 50)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ56	LDW-SS92-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS92-010)	Pyrene	-	-	94.6 (≤ 50)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Although the MSD analysis was not performed in SDG HP90, the laboratory performed sample LDW-SS-201-010 in duplicate to assess precision. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

*Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were performed in SDG IL52. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	DUP ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
*IL52	LDW-SS-117-010DUP (LDW-SS-117-010)	Di-n-butylphthalate	166 (≤ 50)	J (all detects)	A

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

Standard reference material was performed at the required frequencies.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Internal Standards	Area (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP67	LDW-SS22-010**	Perylene-d12	73931 (116217-464868)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Associated SDG	Sample	Internal Standards	Area (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP67	LDW-SS 13-010**	Perylene-d12	102009 (110217-404868)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	LDW-SS26-010**	Perylene-d12	91698 (116217-464868)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	LDW-SS27-010**	Perylene-d12	110608 (116217-464868)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	LDW-SS200-010**	Perylene-d12	94243 (116217-464868)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	LDW-SS32-010**	Perylene-d12	93870 (116217-464868)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	LDW-SS37-010**	Perylene-d12	59280 (116217-464868)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

Target compound identifications data were not reviewed for Level II.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
HP70	LDW-SS48-010**	Phenanthrene Fluoranthene Pyrene Chrysene Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects) J (all detects)	A

Compound quantitation and CRQLs data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory for Level IV.

Tentatively identified compounds data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

System performance data were not reviewed for Level II.

*XV. Overall Assessment

The overall assessment of data was acceptable. In the case where more than one result was reported for an individual sample, the least technically acceptable results were rejected as follows:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP67 HP70 HQ56	LDW-SS22-010** LDW-SS13-010** LDW-SS26-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS32-010** LDW-SS37-010** LDW-SS88-010	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	R R R R R R R	A
HP67 HP70 HQ56	LDW-SS22-010DL** LDW-SS13-010DL** LDW-SS26-010DL** LDW-SS27-010DL** LDW-SS200-010DL** LDW-SS32-010DL** LDW-SS37-010DL** LDW-SS88-010DL	All TCL compounds except Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	R	A
HP70	LDW-SS48-010**	Phenanthrene Fluoranthene Pyrene Chrysene Benzo(b)fluoranthene	R R R R R	A

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP70	LDW-SS48-010DL**	All TCL compounds except Phenanthrene Fluoranthene Pyrene Chrysene Benzo(b)fluoranthene	R	A
*HQ17	LDW-SS117-010	All TCL compounds except Aniline	R	A

For sample LDW-SS-88-010DL, several compounds were considered most usable. The area count of the associated internal standard was outside the QC limits in sample LDW-SS-88-010.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples LDW-SS27-010** and LDW-SS200-010**, samples LDW-SS27-010DL** and LDW-SS200-010DL** (SDG HP70), samples LDW-SS-89-010 and LDW-SS-201-010 (SDG HP90), samples LDW-SS50-010 and LDW-SS202-010, and samples LDW-SS123-010 and LDW-SS203-010 (SDG HQ48) were identified as field duplicates. No semivolatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS27-010**	LDW-SS200-010**	
HP70	Phenanthrene	120	130	8 (≤ 50)
HP70	Anthracene	28	57	68 (≤ 50)
HP70	Fluoranthene	390	390	0 (≤ 50)
HP70	Pyrene	270	300	11 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(a)anthracene	120	160	29 (≤ 50)
HP70	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	30	88	98 (≤ 50)
HP70	Chrysene	240	370	43 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	230	180	21 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	140	170	19 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(a)pyrene	140	140	0 (≤ 50)
HP70	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	41	46	11 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS27-010**	LDW-SS200-010**	
HP70	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	36	43	18 (≤ 50)
HP70	Carbazole	20U	21	Not calculable
HP70	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	20U	22	Not calculable

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS27-010DL**	LDW-SS200-010DL**	
HP70	Phenanthrene	110	120	9 (≤ 50)
HP70	Fluoranthene	330	360	9 (≤ 50)
HP70	Pyrene	220	230	4 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(a)anthracene	120	160	29 (≤ 50)
HP70	Chrysene	240	390	48 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	170	140	19 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	100	120	18 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(a)pyrene	140	140	0 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS-89-010	LDW-SS-201-010	
HP90	Phenanthrene	32	42	27 (≤ 50)
HP90	Fluoranthene	90	170	62 (≤ 50)
HP90	Pyrene	100	160	46 (≤ 50)
HP90	Benzo(a)anthracene	42	59	34 (≤ 50)
HP90	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	25	36	36 (≤ 50)
HP90	Chrysene	78	120	42 (≤ 50)
HP90	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	62	72	15 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS-89-010	LDW-SS-201-010	
HP90	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	38	49	25 (≤ 50)
HP90	Benzo(a)pyrene	47	54	14 (≤ 50)
HP90	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	20	22	10 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS50-010	LDW-SS202-010	
HQ48	Phenanthrene	160	430	92 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Anthracene	110	150	31 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Fluoranthene	270	470	54 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Pyrene	670	890	28 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(a)anthracene	170	240	34 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	560	560	0 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Chrysene	300	460	42 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	400	370	8 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	350	410	16 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(a)pyrene	260	300	14 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	73	80	9 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	61	87	35 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS123-010	LDW-SS203-010	
HQ48	4-Methylphenol	15	30	67 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phenanthrene	21	39	60 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Fluoranthene	48	78	48 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS123-010	LDW-SS203-010	
HQ48	Pyrene	57	89	44 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(a)anthracene	14	58U	Not calculable
HQ48	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	31	36	15 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Chrysene	22	36	48 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	24	32	29 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	27	31	14 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(a)pyrene	15	58U	Not calculable

XVII. Field Blanks

Samples LDW-SS38-RB (SDG HP70), LDW-SS64-RB (SDG HQ48), LDW-SS43-RB (SDG HQ27), and LDW-SS110-RB (SDG HQ56), were identified as rinsate blanks. No semivolatile contaminants were found in these blanks.

GC/MS Semivolatiles by EPA SW 846 Method 8270C using Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM).

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals. All ion abundance requirements were met.

Instrument performance check data were not reviewed for Level II.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for all compounds with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Date	Compound	%RSD	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
HP67 HP70	1/29/05	Benzoic acid	39.2	LDW-SS4-010** LDW-SS10-010** LDW-SS14-010** LDW-SS22-010** LDW-SS23-010** LDW-SS26-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS37-010** LDW-SS37-010DL** LDW-SS38-010**	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Average relative response factors (RRF) for all target compounds and system monitoring compounds were within validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 25.0% with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
HP67 HP70	1/31/05	Benzoic acid	39.3	LDW-SS10-010** LDW-SS14-010** LDW-SS22-010** LDW-SS23-010** LDW-SS26-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS37-010** LDW-SS38-010**	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP67	2/1/05	Benzoic acid	37.2	LDW-SS4-010**	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP70	2/3/05	Benzoic acid	79.3	LDW-SS37-010DL**	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for all compounds.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within validation criteria.

*V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
HR48	MB-020905	2/9/05	Diethylphthalate	13 ug/Kg	LDW-SS18-010
HU13	MB-030305	3/3/05	Diethylphthalate	16 ug/Kg	LDW-SS1-010 LDW-SS5-010 LDW-SS12-010 LDW-SS13-010 LDW-SS51-010 LDW-SS116-010 LDW-SS76-010 LDW-SS44-010 LDW-SS87-010 LDW-SS94-010 LDW-SS99-010 LDW-SS67-010 LDW-SS54-010 LDW-SS42-010 LDW-SS128-010 LDW-SS52-010 LDW-SS33-010
*IL52	MB082605	8/26/05	Diethylphthalate	9.3 ug/Kg	LDW-SS117-010

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in

the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
HU13	LDW-SS1-010	Diethylphthalate	17 ug/Kg	17U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS5-010	Diethylphthalate	14 ug/Kg	14U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS12-010	Diethylphthalate	14 ug/Kg	14U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS13-010	Diethylphthalate	13 ug/Kg	13U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS51-010	Diethylphthalate	6.6 ug/Kg	6.6U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS116-010	Diethylphthalate	7.3 ug/Kg	7.3U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS76-010	Diethylphthalate	14 ug/Kg	14U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS44-010	Diethylphthalate	11 ug/Kg	11U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS87-010	Diethylphthalate	9.2 ug/Kg	9.2U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS94-010	Diethylphthalate	14 ug/Kg	14U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS96-010	Diethylphthalate	12 ug/Kg	12U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS67-010	Diethylphthalate	12 ug/Kg	12U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS54-010	Diethylphthalate	15 ug/Kg	15U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS42-010	Diethylphthalate	14 ug/Kg	14U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS128-010	Diethylphthalate	14 ug/Kg	14U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS52-010	Diethylphthalate	7.8 ug/Kg	7.8U ug/Kg
HU13	LDW-SS33-010	Diethylphthalate	36 ug/Kg	36U ug/Kg
*IL52	LDW-SS117-010	Diethylphthalate	11 ug/Kg	11U ug/Kg

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP90	LDW-33-99-010	2-Fluorophenol Phenol-d5 2-Chlorophenol-d4 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Nitrobenzene-d5	35.7 (40-130) 39.5 (40-130) 39.2 (40-130) 32.4 (40-130) 36.8 (40-130)	All TCL compounds	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

*VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

MS/MSD analyses were not performed for SDG HQ56 and HQ57.

*Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were performed in SDG IL52. Relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

*VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HU13	LCS-030305 (LDW-SS1-010 LDW-SS5-010 LDW-SS12-010 LDW-SS13-010 LDW-SS51-010 LDW-SS116-010 LDW-SS76-010 LDW-SS44-010 LDW-SS87-010 LDW-SS94-010 LDW-SS96-010 LDW-SS67-010 LDW-SS54-010 LDW-SS42-010 LDW-SS128-010 LDW-SS52-010 LDW-SS33-010)	Pentachlorophenol	28.8 (40-130)	-	-	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
*IL52	LCS082605 (LDW-SS117-010)	Pentachlorophenol N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	28.0 (40-130) 18.0 (40-130)	- -	- -	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

Standard reference material was performed at the required frequencies.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

Target compound identifications data were not reviewed for Level II.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria.

Compound quantitation and CRQLs data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory for Level IV.

Tentatively identified compounds data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

System performance data were not reviewed for Level II.

***XV. Overall Assessment**

The overall assessment of data was acceptable. In the case where more than one result was reported for an individual sample, the least technically acceptable results were rejected as follows:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP70	LDW-SS37-010**	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	R	A
HP70	LDW-SS37-010DL**	All TCL compounds except Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	R	A
*HQ17	LDW-SS117-010	All TCL compounds	R	A

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples LDW-SS27-010** and LDW-SS200-010** (SDG HP70), samples LDW-SS-89-010 and LDW-SS-201-010 (SDG HP90), samples LDW-SS50-010 and LDW-SS202-010, and samples LDW-SS123-010 and LDW-SS203-010 (SDG HQ48) were identified as field duplicates. No polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS27-010**	LDW-SS200-010**	
HP70	Benzo(a)anthracene	310	150	70 (≤ 50)
HP70	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	400	270	39 ≤ 50
HP70	Benzo(a)pyrene	290	220	27 (≤ 50)
HP70	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	150	180	18 (≤ 50)
HP70	Butylbenzylphthalate	42	17	85 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS-89-010	LDW-SS-201-010	
HP90	Benzo(a)anthracene	48	20	82 (≤ 50)
HP90	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	38	24	45 (≤ 50)
HP90	Benzo(a)pyrene	31	20	43 (≤ 50)
HP90	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	11	11	0 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS50-010	LDW-SS202-010	
HQ48	Benzo(a)anthracene	23	280	170 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	25	420	178 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(a)pyrene	21	300	174 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	10	140	173 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS123-010	LDW-SS203-010	
HQ48	Benzo(a)anthracene	15	9.6	44 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	16	12	29 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Benzo(a)pyrene	11	9.6	14 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	11	6.4U	Not calculable
HQ48	Dimethylphthalate	18	6.4U	Not calculable
HQ48	Butylbenzylphthalate	7.6	6.4U	Not calculable

XVII. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

GC Chlorinated Pesticides by EPA SW 846 Method 8081A

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

Instrument performance check data were not reviewed for Level II.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of multicomponent compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Retention time windows were evaluated and considered technically acceptable.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 15.0% for all compounds.

Retention times (RT) of all compounds in the calibration standards were within QC limits.

Continuing calibration data were not reviewed for Level II.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No chlorinated pesticide contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

MS/MSD analyses were not performed for SDG HV08.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HP67 HP70	LCS-012405 (LDW-SS13-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS32-010**)	gamma-BHC Endrin aldehyde Hexachlorobutadiene	46 (50-150) 19.4 (50-150) 48.4 (50-150)	- - -	- - -	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP90 HQ17 HQ27	LCS012805 (LDW-SS-99-010 LDW-SS113B-010 LDW-SS125-010 LDW-SS126-010 LDW-SS116-010 LDW-SS127-010 LDW-SS129-010 LDW-SS84-010 LDW-SS87-010 LDW-SS96-010 LDW-SS63-010 LDW-SS70-010)	Endrin aldehyde	31.0 (50-150)	-	-	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HQ17 HQ48 HQ56	LCS-020105 (LDW-SS76-010 LDW-SS50-010 LDW-SS55-010 LDW-SS72-010 LDW-SS79-010 LDW-SS54-010 LDW-SS202-010 LDW-SS128-010 LDW-SS64-010 LDW-SS36-010 LDW-SS68-010 LDW-SS28-010 LDW-SS134-010 LDW-SS92-010 LDW-SS104-010 LDW-SS115-010)	Endrin aldehyde	36.8 (50-150)	-	-	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

Associated SDG	LCS ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HQ48	LCS-020405 (LDW-SS42-010)	Endrin aldehyde	43.5 (50-150)	-	-	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HV08	LCS-031105 (LDW-SS5-010 LDW-SS67-010)	Endrin aldehyde	36.5 (50-150)	-	-	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

Standard reference material was performed at the required frequencies.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Although florisil cleanup was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory for EPA Level IV.

Florisil cartridge check data were not reviewed for Level II.

b. GPC Calibration

Although GPC cleanup was not required by the method, GPC cleanup was performed by the laboratory for EPA Level IV.

GPC cleanup data were not reviewed for Level II.

XI. Target Compound Identification

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which EPA Level IV review was performed.

Target compound identification data were not reviewed for Level II.

XII. Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which EPA Level IV review was performed.

Compound quantitation and CRQLs data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

The overall assessment of data was acceptable.

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples LDW-SS27-010** and LDW-SS200-010** (SDG HP70) and samples LDW-SS50-010 and LDW-SS202-010 (SDG HQ48) were identified as field duplicates. No chlorinated pesticides were detected in any of the samples.

XV. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls by EPA SW 846 Method 8082

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was acceptable unless noted otherwise under initial calibration and continuing calibration sections.

Instrument performance check data were not reviewed for Level II.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration of multicomponent compounds was performed for the primary (quantitation) column as required by the method.

The percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

Retention time windows were evaluated and considered technically acceptable.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at required frequencies.

The percent differences (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were within the 15.0% QC limits.

The percent difference (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 15.0% for all compounds.

Retention times (RT) of all compounds in the calibration standards were within QC limits.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated biphenyl contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Column	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP67	LDW-SS4-010**	ZB5	Decachlorobiphenyl	234 (50-150)	All TCL compounds	J (all detects)	P
HQ48	LDW-SS56-010	Not specified	Decachlorobiphenyl	151 (50-150)	All TCL compounds	J (all detects)	P

Surrogate recoveries (%R) were not within QC limits for samples LDW-SS-89-010 in SDG HP90 and LDW-SS143-010DL in SDG HQ57. Since these samples were diluted out, no data were qualified.

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Internal Standard	Area (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP67	LDW SS1 010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	65555358 (66506087-266024348)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP67	LDW-SS4-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	55076972 (66506087-266024348)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP67	LDW-SS5-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	66177898 (66506087-266024348)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP67	LDW-SS12-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	54440457 (66506087-266024348)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP67	LDW-SS14-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	59559589 (66506087-266024348)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP67	LDW-SS15-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	57122450 (66506087-266024348)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP67	LDW-SS22-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	53377065 (66506087-266024348)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

Associated SDG	Sample	Internal Standard	Area (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
HP67	LDW-SS13-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	54405003 (005000087-200024340)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP70	LDW-SS200-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	52977551 (55673917-212695668)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP70	LDW-SS32-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	52779345 (55673917-212695668)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP70	LDW-SS40-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	54738954 (55673917-212695668)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP70	LDW-SS48-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	47839147 (55673917-212695668)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
HP70	LDW-SS51-010**	Heptachlorobiphenyl	50253001 (55673917-212695668)	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 Aroclor-1232 Aroclor-1242 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Compound	Flag	A or P
HP90	LDW-SS-60-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS-60-010)	Aroclor-1260	35.2 (50-150)	31.0 (50-150)	-	Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ17	LDW-SS116-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS116-010)	Aroclor-1260	49.8 (50-150)	-	-	Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260 Aroclor-1248	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were not within QC limits in SDG HQ56. Since the sample concentration was greater than the spiked

concentration, no data were qualified.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

Standard reference material was performed at the required frequencies.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Pesticide Cleanup Checks

a. Florisil Cartridge Check

Although florisil cleanup was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory for EPA Level IV.

Florisil cartridge check data were not reviewed for Level II.

b. GPC Calibration

Although GPC cleanup was not required by the method, GPC cleanup was performed by the laboratory for EPA Level IV.

Although sulfuric acid cleanup was not required by the method, sulfuric acid cleanup was performed by the laboratory for EPA Level IV.

GPC cleanup data were not reviewed for Level II.

XI. Target Compound Identification

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

Target compound identifications data were not reviewed for Level II.

XII. Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound	Finding	Criteria	Flag	A or P
HQ17	LDW-SS84-010	Aroclor-1248	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects)	A
HQ48	LDW-SS50-010	Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects) J (all detects)	A
HQ56	LDW-SS92-010 LDW-SS121-010 LDW-SS88-010	Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects) J (all detects)	A
HQ56	LDW-SS110-010 LDW-SS109-010	Aroclor-1254	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects) J (all detects)	A
HQ57	LDW-SS143-010	Aroclor-1242	Sample result exceeded calibration range.	Reported result should be within calibration range.	J (all detects)	A

The sample results for detected compounds from the two columns were within 40.0% relative percent differences (RPD) with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound	RPD	Flag	A or P
HP67 HQ17	LDW-SS15-010** LDW-SS116-010	Aroclor-1260	41	J (all detects)	A
HP67	LDW-SS22-010**	Aroclor-1242	46	J (all detects)	A
HP70	LDW-SS40-010**	Aroclor-1242	44	J (all detects)	A
HP90	LDW-SS-111-010	Aroclor-1260	80	J (all detects)	A
HP90 HQ48 HQ56	LDW-SS-119-010 LDW-SS56-010 LDW-SS121-010DL	Aroclor-1260	44	J (all detects)	A
HP90	LDW-SS-120-010	Aroclor-1260	63	J (all detects)	A
HQ17	LDW-SS117-010	Aroclor-1260	50	J (all detects)	A
HQ48	LDW-SS142-010	Aroclor-1260	42	J (all detects)	A

Compound quantitation and CRQLs data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

The overall assessment of data was acceptable. In the case where more than one result was reported for an individual sample, the least technically acceptable results were

rejected as follows:

Associated SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
HQ17 HQ48	LDW-SS84-010 LDW-SS50-010	Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	R R R	A
HQ17 HQ48	LDW-SS84-010DL LDW-SS50-010DL	All TCL compounds except Aroclor-1248 Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	R	A
HQ56	LDW-SS92-010 LDW-SS121-010 LDW-SS88-010	Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	R R	A
HQ56	LDW-SS92-010DL LDW-SS121-010DL LDW-SS88-010DL	All TCL compounds except Aroclor-1254 Aroclor-1260	R	A
HQ56	LDW-SS110-010 LDW-SS109-010	Aroclor-1254	R	A
HQ56	LDW-SS110-010DL LDW-SS109-010DL	All TCL compounds except Aroclor-1254	R	A
HQ57	LDW-SS143-010	Aroclor-1242	R	A
HQ57	LDW-SS143-010DL	All TCL compounds except Aroclor-1242	R	A

XIV. Field Duplicates

Samples LDW-SS27-010** and LDW-SS200-010** (SDG HP70), samples LDW-SS-89-010 and LDW-SS-201-010 (SDG HP90), samples LDW-SS50-010 and LDW-SS202-010 and samples LDW-SS50-010DL and LDW-SS202-010 (SDG HQ48) were identified as field duplicates. No polychlorinated biphenyls were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
	LDW-SS27-010**	LDW-SS200-010**	
Aroclor-1242	21	23	9 (≤ 50)
Aroclor-1254	32	37	14 (≤ 50)
Aroclor-1260	20U	40	Not calculable

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
	LDW-SS-89-010	LDW-SS-201-010	
Aroclor-1254	2300	46	192 (≤ 50)
Aroclor-1260	1200	39	187 (≤ 50)

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
	LDW-SS50-010	LDW-SS202-010	
Aroclor-1248	300	160	61 (≤ 50)
Aroclor-1254	280	150	60 (≤ 50)
Aroclor-1260	110	59	60 (≤ 50)

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
	LDW-SS50-010DL	LDW-SS202-010	
Aroclor-1248	330	160	69 (≤ 50)
Aroclor-1254	320	150	72 (≤ 50)
Aroclor-1260	140	59	81 (≤ 50)

XV. Field Blanks

Samples LDW-SS38-RB (SDG HP70), LDW-SS64-RB (SDG HQ48), LDW-SS43-RB (SDG HQ27), and LDW-SS110-RB (SDG HQ56), were identified as rinsate blanks. No polychlorinated biphenyl contaminants were found in these blanks.

Metals by EPA SW 846 Methods 6010B/200.8/7000

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
HQ69 HP90 HQ17	PB (prep blank)	Copper	0.2 mg/Kg	LDW-SS-111-010 LDW-SS-112-010 LDW-SS-119-010 LDW-SS-120-010 LDW-SS-60-010 LDW-SS-99-010 LDW-SS-89-010 LDW-SS-201-010 LDW-SS114-010 LDW-SS117-010 LDW-SS13B-010 LDW-SS125-010 LDW-SS126-010 LDW-SS116-010 LDW-SS127-010 LDW-SS130-010 LDW-SS129-010 LDW-SS118-010 LDW-SS76-010 LDW-SS84-010

Associated SDG	Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
HQ48	PB (prep blank)	Zinc	0.6 mg/Kg	LDW-SS17-010 LDW-SS50-010 LDW-SS55-010 LDW-SS72-010 LDW-SS79-010 LDW-SS54-010 LDW-SS202-010 LDW-SS42-010 LDW-SS102-010 LDW-SS128-010 LDW-SS142-010 LDW-SS123-010 LDW-SS203-010 LDW-SS64-010 LDW-SS83-010 LDW-SS36-010 LDW-SS58-010 LDW-SS57-010 LDW-SS56-010 LDW-SS28-010

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	ICS ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
HP67 HP70	ICSA	Selenium	-67.2	LDW-SS4-010** LDW-SS14-010** LDW-SS22-010** LDW-SS32-010** LDW-SS37-010** LDW-SS40-010** LDW-SS51-010**	UJ (all non-detects)	P

Samples were qualified as estimated (J) if the interferent concentrations in the samples were greater than ninety percent of the spiked interferent concentrations in the ICSAB.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HP67 HP70	LDW-SS-23-01MS (LDW-SS1-010** LDW-SS4-010** LDW-SS5-010** LDW-SS10-010** LDW-SS12-010** LDW-SS14-010** LDW-SS15-010** LDW-SS22-010** LDW-SS13-010** LDW-SS23-010** LDW-SS26-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS32-010** LDW-SS37-010** LDW-SS38-010** LDW-SS40-010** LDW-SS48-010** LDW-SS51-010**)	Antimony	3.7 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ69 HP90	LDW-SS-119-010MS (LDW-SS-111-010 LDW-SS-112-010 LDW-SS-119-010 LDW-SS-120-010 LDW-SS-60-010 LDW-SS-99-010 LDW-SS-89-010 LDW-SS-201-010)	Antimony	3.3 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ17	LDW-SS114-010MS (LDW-SS114-010 LDW-SS117-010 LDW-SS113B-010 LDW-SS125-010 LDW-SS126-010 LDW-SS116-010 LDW-SS127-010 LDW-SS130-010 LDW-SS129-010 LDW-SS118-010 LDW-SS76-010 LDW-SS84-010)	Antimony	4.3 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ48	LDW-SS17-010MS (LDW-SS17-010 LDW-SS50-010 LDW-SS55-010 LDW-SS72-010 LDW-SS79-010 LDW-SS54-010 LDW-G9202-010 LDW-SS42-010 LDW-SS102-010 LDW-SS128-010 LDW-SS142-010 LDW-SS123-010 LDW-SS203-010 LDW-SS64-010 LDW-SS83-010 LDW-SS36-010 LDW-SS58-010 LDW-SS57-010 LDW-SS56-010 LDW-SS28-010)	Antimony	2.0 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Associated SDG	Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HQ48	LDW-SS134-010MS (LDW-SS134-010)	Antimony	3.1 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ27 HQ28	LDW-SS43-010MS (LDW-SS43-010 LDW-SS44-010 LDW-SS87-010 LDW-SS94-010 LDW-SS96-010 LDW-SS97-010 LDW-SS31-010 LDW-SS67-010 LDW-SS63-010 LDW-SS70-010 LDW-SS75-010 LDW-SS101-010)	Antimony	2.4 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ56 HQ57	LDW-SS52-010MS (LDW-SS52-010 LDW-SS92-010 LDW-SS104-010 LDW-SS110-010 LDW-SS109-010 LDW-SS115-010 LDW-SS121-010 LDW-SS88-010 LDW-SS33-010 LDW-SS49-010 LDW-SS143-010)	Antimony	2.2 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ93	LDW-SSCR20-010MS (LDW-SSCR20-010 LDW-SSCR23-010 LDW-MSMP43-010)	Antimony	1.6 (70-130)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Although antimony percent recoveries were severely low (<30%), all the results in the associated samples were qualified as estimated (j/UJ) since the post spike recoveries for antimony were greater than 75%.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	DUP ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HQ17	LDW-SS114-010DUP (LDW-SS114-010 LDW-SS117-010 LDW-SS113B-010 LDW-SS125-010 LDW-SS126-010 LDW-SS116-010 LDW-SS127-010 LDW-SS130-010 LDW-SS129-010 LDW-SS118-010 LDW-SS76-010 LDW-SS84-010)	Cadmium Chromium	- 65.9 (≤ 30)	0.9 mg/Kg (≤ 0.6 mg/Kg) -	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects) J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

Standard reference material was performed at the required frequencies.

VIII. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution was not performed by the laboratory.

XI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications met validation criteria.

Sample result verification data were not reviewed for Level II.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

The overall assessment of data was acceptable.

XIII. Field Duplicates

Samples LDW-SS89-010 and LDW-SS201-010 (SDG HP90), samples LDW-SS27-010** and LDW-SS200-010** (SDG HP70), samples LDW-SS50-010 and LDW-SS202-010, and samples LDW-SS123-010 and LDW-SS203-010 (SDG HQ48) were identified as field duplicates. No metals were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

*Indicates change as the result of report review.

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS89-010	LDW-SS201-010	
HP90	Arsenic	4.2	4.2	0 (≤ 50)
HP90	Chromium	14.8	14.0	6 (≤ 50)
HP90	Cobalt	4.9	4.8	2 (≤ 50)
HP90	Copper	18.6	18.5	1 (≤ 50)
HP90	Lead	10	9	11 (≤ 50)
HP90	Nickel	10	9	11 (≤ 50)
HP90	Vanadium	43.9	43.3	1 (≤ 50)
HP90	Zinc	38.4	39.7	3 (≤ 50)
HP90	Molybdenum	0.7	0.7	0 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS27-010**	LDW-SS200-010	
HP70	Arsenic	13.7	10.6	26 (≤ 50)
HP70	Chromium	24	22.9	5 (≤ 50)
HP70	Cobalt	6.4	6.3	2 (≤ 50)
HP70	Copper	52.5	52.3	0 (≤ 50)
HP70	Lead	28	30	7 (≤ 50)
HP70	Mercury	0.11	0.12	9 (≤ 50)
HP70	Nickel	14	14	0 (≤ 50)
HP70	Vanadium	49.8	49.7	0 (≤ 50)
HP70	Zinc	87	88	1 (≤ 50)
HP70	Molybdenum	3	2.7	11 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS50-010	LDW-SS202-010	
HQ48	Arsenic	16.8	15.8	6 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Cadmium	1.2	1.3	8 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS50-010	LDW-SS202-010	
HQ48	Chromium	44	45.5	3 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Cobalt	8.7	9.2	6 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Copper	89.4	88.6	1 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Lead	87	92	6 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Mercury	0.41	0.40	2 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Nickel	26	26	0 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Silver	1.2	1.4	15 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Vanadium	68.3	69.6	2 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Zinc	181	179	1 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Molybdenum	3	3.3	10 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS123-010	LDW-SS203-010	
HQ48	Arsenic	7.2	7.5	4 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Cadmium	0.3	0.3	0 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Chromium	20.9	18.8	11 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Cobalt	7.0	6.6	6 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Copper	28.0	27.6	1 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Lead	18	17	6 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Mercury	0.08	0.08	0 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Nickel	14	13	7 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Vanadium	55.6	54.5	2 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Zinc	60.9	58.7	4 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Molybdenum	1.4	1.3	7 (≤ 50)

XIV. Field Blanks

Samples LDW-SS38-RB (SDG HP70), LDW-SS64-RB (SDG HQ48), LDW-SS43-RB (SDG HQ27), and LDW-SS110-RB (SDG HQ56) were identified as rinsate blanks. No metal contaminants were found in these blanks with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Rinsate Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (mg/L)	Associated Samples
HQ48	LDW-SS64-RB	Zinc	0.006	All samples in SDG HQ48

Total Solids by EPA Method 160.3, Ammonia as Nitrogen by modified EPA Method 350.1, Sulfide by EPA Method 376.2, Grain Size by PSEP Method, & Total Organic Carbon by Plumb Method

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Sample	Analyte	Total Days From Sample Collection Until Analysis	Required Holding Time (in Days) From Sample Collection Until Analysis	Flag	A or P
HP90	LDW-SS-111-010	Sulfide	9	7	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P
HT50	DR-GG5-010 DR-SS6-010 DR-SS7-010 DR-SS9-010	Total solids	27	7	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P
HT56	DR-SS10-010 DR-SS11-010 DR-SS13-010 DR-SS14-010 DR-SS15-010	Total solids	19	7	J- (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P

Since the results above were detected in the affected samples, these findings did not warrant rejection (R) of the data.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration of each method were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

Twenty-one samples were associated to the method blank for ammonia as nitrogen in SDG HQ48. No more than twenty samples should be associated to a method blank.

Method blanks were not required for particle size.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HP70	LDW-SS48-010MS (LDW-SS23-010** LDW-SS26-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS32-010** LDW-SS37-010** LDW-SS38-010** LDW-SS40-010** LDW-SS48-010** LDW-SS51-010**)	Sulfide	71.1 (75-125)	-	-	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HP90	LDW-SS-101-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS-111-010 LDW-SS-112-010 LDW-SS-119-010 LDW-SS-120-010 LDW-SS-60-010 LDW-SS-99-010 LDW-SS-89-010 LDW-SS-201-010)	Sulfide	60.2 (75-125)	54.8 (75-125)	-	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ17	LDW-SS76-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS114-010 LDW-SS117-010 LDW-SS113B-010 LDW-SS125-010 LDW-SS126-010 LDW-SS116-010 LDW-SS127-010 LDW-SS130-010 LDW-SS129-010 LDW-SS118-010 LDW-SS76-010 LDW-SS84-010)	Sulfide	65.7 (75-125)	60.7 (75-125)	-	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ27	LDW-SS75-010MS (LDW-SS43-010 LDW-SS44-010 LDW-SS87-010 LDW-SS94-010 LDW-SS96-010 LDW-SS97-010 LDW-SS31-010 LDW-SS67-010 LDW-SS63-010 LDW-SS70-010 LDW-SS75-010)	Sulfide	65.7 (75-125)	-	-	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A
HQ27	LDW-SS101-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS101-010)	Sulfide	60.2 (75-125)	54.8 (75-125)	-	J- (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

Matrix spike (MS) analyses were not required for particle size.

V. Duplicates/Triplicates

Duplicate (DUP) and triplicate (TRP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Relative percent differences (RPD) and relative standard deviation (RSD) were within QC limits.

Relative standard deviation (RSD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	TRP/DUP ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HQ27	LDW-SS75-010DUP/TRP (LDW-SS43-010 LDW-SS44-010 LDW-SS87-010 LDW-SS94-010 LDW-SS96-010 LDW-SS97-010 LDW-SS31-010 LDW-SS67-010 LDW-SS63-010 LDW-SS70-010 LDW-SS75-010)	Sulfide	40.2 (± 20)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

Twenty-one samples were associated to the standard reference material (SRM) for ammonia as nitrogen in SDG HQ48. No more than twenty samples should be associated to a laboratory control sample.

Standard reference material was performed for all analyses except sulfide.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications met validation criteria.

Sample result verification data were not reviewed for Level II.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

The overall assessment of data was acceptable.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples LDW-SS27-010** and LDW-SS200-010** (SDG HP70), samples LDW-SS89-010 and LDW-SS201-010 (SDG HP90), samples LDW-SS50-010 and LDW-SS202-010, and samples LDW-SS123-010 and LDW-SS203-010 (SDG HQ48) were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the

following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS850-010	LDW-SS202-010	
HP70	Total solids	47.30 %	48.50 %	3 (≤ 50)
HP70	Ammonia as N	5.59 mg/Kg	5.25 mg/Kg	6 (≤ 50)
HP70	Sulfide	310 mg/Kg	220 mg/Kg	34 (≤ 50)
HP70	Total organic carbon	1.60%	1.68%	5 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS-89-010	LDW-SS-201-010	
HP90	Total Solids	71.70%	69.30%	3 (≤ 50)
HP90	Ammonia as N	1.30 mg/Kg	1.4 mg/Kg	7 (≤ 50)
HP90	Total organic carbon	1.01%	1.02%	1 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size -2	99.9 % Finer	100 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size -1	99.5 % Finer	99.9 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 0	97.8 % Finer	97.7 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 1	76.6 % Finer	76.5 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 2	42.9 % Finer	43.4 % Finer	1 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 3	31.0 % Finer	31.1 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 4	16.3 % Finer	16.4 % Finer	1 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 5	10.9 % Finer	10.8 % Finer	1 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 6	7.7 % Finer	7.7 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 7	5.2 % Finer	5.1 % Finer	2 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 8	3.4 % Finer	3.5 % Finer	3 (≤ 50)
HP90	Phi size 9	2.4 % Finer	2.4 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS-89-010	LDW-SS-201-010	
HP90	Phi size 10	1.6 % Finer	1.7 % Finer	6 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS50-010	LDW-SS202-010	
HQ48	Total solids	50.90%	51.50%	1 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Ammonia as N	6.22 mg/Kg	8.03 mg/Kg	2 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Sulfide	110 mg/Kg	770 mg/Kg	150 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Total organic carbon	1.94%	1.90%	2 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size -2	100 % Finer	99.8 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size -1	99.8 % Finer	99.3 % Finer	1 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 0	99.0 % Finer	98.7 % Finer	0 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 1	92.4 % Finer	95.0 % Finer	3 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 2	78.7 % Finer	84.1 % Finer	7 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 3	73.6 % Finer	76.1 % Finer	3 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 4	62.9 % Finer	67.8 % Finer	7 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 5	49.5 % Finer	52.7 % Finer	6 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 6	35.5 % Finer	38.2 % Finer	7 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 7	23.7 % Finer	19.8 % Finer	18 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 8	15.6 % Finer	13.6 % Finer	14 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 9	11.2 % Finer	10.0 % Finer	11 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 10	7.6 % Finer	6.8 % Finer	11 (≤ 50)

Associated SDG	Analyte	Concentration		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS123-010	LDW-SS203-010	
HQ48	Total solids	69.00 %	68.90 %	0 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Ammonia as N	3.49 mg/Kg	5.05 mg/Kg	37 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Total organic carbon	1.77%	1.81%	2 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size -2	100.0 % Finer	97.1 % Finer	3 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size -1	99.5 % Finer	96.0 % Finer	4 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 0	95.2 % Finer	92.0 % Finer	3 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 1	69.2 % Finer	66.5 % Finer	4 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 2	37.2 % Finer	34.6 % Finer	7 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 3	31.5 % Finer	29.4 % Finer	7 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 4	27.0 % Finer	25.0 % Finer	8 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 5	22.9 % Finer	21.3 % Finer	7 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 6	16.1 % Finer	15.7 % Finer	3 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 7	10.9 % Finer	10.7 % Finer	2 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 8	7.5 % Finer	7.2 % Finer	4 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 9	5.5 % Finer	5.2 % Finer	6 (≤ 50)
HQ48	Phi size 10	3.8 % Finer	3.7 % Finer	3 (≤ 50)

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

GC/MS Butyltins By Krone Method & EPA SW 846 Method 8270C using Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM).

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals. All ion abundance requirements were met.

Instrument performance check data were not reviewed for Level II.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 30.0% for butyltins.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for butyltins were within validation criteria.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

The continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 25.0%.

The percent differences (%D) of the second source calibration standard were less than or equal to 25.0% for butyltins.

All of the continuing calibration RRF values were within validation criteria.

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No butyltin contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Compound	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
HQ27	LDW-SS67-010MS/MSD (LDW-SS67-010)	Butyltin trichloride	-	-	82.2 (≤ 50)	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	A

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	LCS ID	Compound	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
HP67 HP70	LCS-012205	Butyltin trichloride	3.4 (20-130)	LDW-SS4-010** LDW-SS14-010** LDW-SS15-010** LDW-SS27-010** LDW-SS200-010** LDW-SS32-010** LDW-SS38-010** LDW-SS51-010**	J (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P
HQ27 HQ48 HQ57	LCS-012905	Butyltin trichloride	2.0 (20-130)	LDW-SS43-010 LDW-SS31-010 LDW-SS67-010 LDW-SS55-010 LDW-SS79-010 LDW-SS58-010 LDW-SS56-010 LDW-SS28-010 LDW-SS33-010 LDW-SS49-010	J (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P
HR48	LCS-020805	Butyltin trichloride	2.0 (20-130)	LDW-SS20-010	J (all detects) R (all non-detects)	P

Standard reference material was performed at the required frequencies.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria.

Target compound identifications data were not reviewed for Level II.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria

Compound quantitation and CRQLs data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory for Level IV.

Tentatively identified compounds data were not reviewed for Level II.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was acceptable.

System performance data were not reviewed for Level II.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

The overall assessment of data was acceptable.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples LDW-SS27-010** and LDW-SS200-010** (SDG HP70) were identified as field duplicates. No butyltins were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Associated SDG	Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (Limits)
		LDW-SS27-010**	LDW-SS200-010**	
HP70	Tributyltin chloride	38	24	45 (≤50)
HP70	Dibutyltin dichloride	12	5.8U	Not calculable

XVII. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

Attachment 1

Attachment 2

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Watenway Group Parameters/Analytical Method Project #04-08-06-21

SDG#: HP67	Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	PAHs (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyl-tin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
	LDW-SS1-010	HP67A	sediment	01/17/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS4-010	HP67B	sediment	01/17/05	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS5-010	HP67C	sediment	01/17/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS10-010	HP67D	sediment	01/17/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS12-010	HP67E	sediment	01/17/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS14-010	HP67F	sediment	01/17/05	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS15-010	HP67G	sediment	01/17/05	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS22-010	HP67I	sediment	01/17/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS22-010DL	HP67IDL	sediment	01/17/05	X										
	LDW-SS13-010	HP67J	sediment	01/17/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
	LDW-SS13-010DL	HP67JDL	sediment	01/17/05	X										
	LDW-SS1-010MS	HP67AMS	sediment	01/17/05							X				
	LDW-SS1-010DUP	HP67ADUP	sediment	01/17/05							X		X		
	LDW-SS1-010TRP	HP67ATRP	sediment	01/17/05									X		
	LDW-SS4-010MS	HP67BMS	sediment	01/17/05										X	X
	LDW-SS4-010DUP	HP67BDUP	sediment	01/17/05										X	X
	LDW-SS4-010TRP	HP67BTRP	sediment	01/17/05											X
	LDW-SS5-010MS	HP67CMS	sediment	01/17/05				X							
	LDW-SS5-010MSD	HP67CMSD	sediment	01/17/05				X							
	LDW-SS10-10MS	HP67DMS	sediment	01/17/05		X									
	LDW-SS10-10MSD	HP67DMSD	sediment	01/17/05		X									
	LDW-SS14-010MS	HP67FMS	sediment	01/17/05	X					X					
	LDW-SS14-010MSD	HP67FMSD	sediment	01/17/05	X					X					
	LDW-SS13-010MS	HP67JMS	sediment	01/17/05			X					X			
	LDW-SS13-010MSD	HP67JMSD	sediment	01/17/05			X					X			

Note: X = Validation was performed.

SDG#: HP67

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13204A

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	PAHs (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyl-tin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDM-SS13-010DIJP	HP67.IDIJP	sediment	01/17/05								X			

Note: X = Validation was performed.

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

Project #04-08-06-21

SDG#: HP70

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	PAHs (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyl-tin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS38-RB	HP70A	sediment	01/18/05	X			X	X						
LDW-SS23-010	HP70B	sediment	01/18/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS26-010	HP70C	sediment	01/18/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS26-010DL	HP70CDL	sediment	01/18/05	X										
LDW-SS27-010	HP70D	sediment	01/18/05	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS27-010DL	HP70DDL	sediment	01/18/05	X										
LDW-SS200-010	HP70E	sediment	01/18/05	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS200-010DL	HP70EDL	sediment	01/18/05	X										
LDW-SS32-010	HP70F	sediment	01/18/05	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS32-010DL	HP70FDL	sediment	01/18/05	X										
LDW-SS37-010	HP70G	sediment	01/18/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS37-010DL	HP70GDL	sediment	01/18/05	X	X									
LDW-SS38-010	HP70H	sediment	01/18/05	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS40-010	HP70I	sediment	01/18/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS48-010	HP70J	sediment	01/18/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS48-010DL	HP70JDL	sediment	01/18/05	X										
LDW-SS51-010	HP70K	sediment	01/18/05	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS23-010MS	HP70BMS	sediment	01/18/05					X						
LDW-SS23-010DUP	HP70BDUP	sediment	01/18/05					X						
LDW-SS48-010MS	HP70JMS	sediment	01/18/05								X			
LDW-SS48-010DUP	HP70JDUP	sediment	01/18/05								X			
LDW-SS51-010MS	HP70KMS	sediment	01/18/05							X				
LDW-SS51-010DUP	HP70KDUP	sediment	01/18/05							X				

Note: X = Validation was performed.

LDC#: 13204C

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

Project #04-08-06-21

Parameters/Analytical Method

Matrix

Date Collected

SVOA (8270C)

PAHs (8270C -SIM)

Pest. (8081A)

PCBs (8082)

Metals (SW846)

Butyltin (Krone)

NH₃ (350.1)

S= (376.2)

Total Solids (160.3)

TOC (PUMB)

Grain Size

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	PAHs (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyltin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (PUMB)	Grain Size
LDW-SS-111-010	HP90A	sediment	01/19/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	
LDW-SS-112-010	HP90B	sediment	01/19/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS-119-010	HP90C	sediment	01/19/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS-120-010	HP90D	sediment	01/19/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS-60-010	HP90E	sediment	01/19/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS-99-010	HP90F	sediment	01/19/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS-89-010	HP90H	sediment	01/19/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS-201-010	HP90I	sediment	01/19/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS-111-010	HQ69A	sediment	01/19/05					X						
LDW-SS-111-010MS	HP90AMS	sediment	01/19/05							X			X	
LDW-SS-111-010DUP	HP90ADUP	sediment	01/19/05							X			X	
LDW-SS-111-010TRP	HP90ATRP	sediment	01/19/05										X	
LDW-SS-112-010MS	HP90BMS	sediment	01/19/05							X				
LDW-SS-112-010DUP	HP90BDUP	sediment	01/19/05							X				
LDW-SS-119-010MS	HP90CMS	sediment	01/19/05					X						
LDW-SS-119-010DUP	HP90CDUP	sediment	01/19/05					X						X
LDW-SS-119-010TRP	HP90CTRP	sediment	01/19/05					X						X
LDW-SS-60-010MS	HP90EMS	sediment	01/19/05				X							
LDW-SS-60-010MSD	HP90EMSD	sediment	01/19/05				X							
LDW-SS-99-010MS	HP90FMS	sediment	01/19/05			X								
LDW-SS-99-010MSD	HP90FMSD	sediment	01/19/05			X								
LDW-SS-201-010MS	HP90IMS	sediment	01/19/05	X	X									
LDW-SS-201-010MSD	HP90IMSD	sediment	01/19/05		X									
LDW-SS-201-010DUP	HP90IDUP	sediment	01/19/05	X										

Note: X = Validation was performed.

Attachment 2

SDG#: HQ17 & HQ04 **VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE** **LDC#: 13204D**

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group **Parameters/Analytical Method** **Project #04-08-06-21**

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	PAHs (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyl-tin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS114-010	HQ17A	sediment	01/20/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS117-010	HQ17B	sediment	01/20/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS113B-010	HQ17C	sediment	01/20/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS125-010	HQ17D	sediment	01/20/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS126-010	HQ17E	sediment	01/20/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS116-010	HQ17F	sediment	01/20/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS127-010	HQ17G	sediment	01/20/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS130-010	HQ17H	sediment	01/20/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS129-010	HQ17I	sediment	01/20/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS118-010	HQ17J	sediment	01/20/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS76-010	HQ17K	sediment	01/20/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS84-010	HQ17L	sediment	01/19/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS84-010DL	HQ17LDL	sediment	01/19/05				X							
LDW-B9a-S	HQ04A	sediment	08/27/04		X									
LDW-SS114-010MS	HQ17AMS	sediment	01/20/05					X		X			X	
LDW-SS114-010DUP	HQ17ADUP	sediment	01/20/05					X		X		X	X	
LDW-SS114-010TRP	HQ17ATRP	sediment	01/20/05											X
LDW-SS116-010MS	HQ17FMS	sediment	01/20/05	X			X							
LDW-SS116-010MSD	HQ17FMSD	sediment	01/20/05	X			X							
LDW-SS76-010MS	HQ17KMS	sediment	01/20/05								X			
LDW-SS76-010MSD	HQ17KMSD	sediment	01/20/05								X			
LDW-SS76-010DUP	HQ17KDUP	sediment	01/20/05								X			
LDW-SS76-010TRP	HQ17KTRP	sediment	01/20/05								X			

Note: X = Validation was performed.

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group Parameters/Analytical Method Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butylin (Krone/8270C-SIM)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS17-010	HQ48A	sediment	01/24/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS50-010	HQ48B	sediment	01/24/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS50-010DL	HQ48BDL	sediment	01/24/05				X							
LDW-SS55-010	HQ48C	sediment	01/24/05	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS72-010	HQ48D	sediment	01/24/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS79-010	HQ48E	sediment	01/24/05	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS54-010	HQ48F	sediment	01/24/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS202-010	HQ48G	sediment	01/24/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS42-010	HQ48H	sediment	01/24/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS102-010	HQ48I	sediment	01/24/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS128-010	HQ48J	sediment	01/24/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS142-010	HQ48K	sediment	01/24/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS123-010	HQ48L	sediment	01/24/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS203-010	HQ48M	sediment	01/24/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS64-RB	HQ48N	water	01/24/05	X			X	X						
LDW-SS64-010	HQ48O	sediment	01/24/05	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS83-010	HQ48P	sediment	01/24/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS36-010	HQ48Q	sediment	01/24/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS58-010	HQ48R	sediment	01/24/05	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS57-010	HQ48S	sediment	01/24/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS56-010	HQ48T	sediment	01/24/05	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS28-010	HQ48U	sediment	01/24/05	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS134-010	HQ48V	sediment	01/24/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS17-010MS	HQ48AMS	sediment	01/24/05				X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS17-010DUP	HQ48ADUP	sediment	01/24/05					X		X	X	X	X	X

Note: X = Validation was performed.

SDG#: HQ48

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13234A

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyltin (Krone/8270C-SIM)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS17-010TRP	HQ48ATRP	sediment	01/24/05											X
LDW-SS79-010MS	HQ48EMS	sediment	01/24/05								X			
LDW-SS79-010MSD	HQ48EMSD	sediment	01/24/05								X			
LDW-SS79-010DUP	HQ48EDUP	sediment	01/24/05								X			
LDW-SS102-010MS	HQ48IMS	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS102-010MSD	HQ48IMSD	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS123-010MS	HQ48LMS	sediment	01/24/05	X										
LDW-SS123-010MSD	HQ48LMSD	sediment	01/24/05	X										
LDW-SS203-010MS	HQ48MMS	sediment	01/24/05				X							
LDW-SS203-010MSD	HQ48MMSD	sediment	01/24/05				X							
LDW-SS57-010DUP	HQ48SDUP	sediment	01/24/05								X			
LDW-SS28-010MS	HQ48UMS	sediment	01/24/05							X			X	
LDW-SS28-010DUP	HQ48UDUP	sediment	01/24/05									X	X	
LDW-SS28-010TRP	HQ48UTRP	sediment	01/24/05									X		
LDW-SS134-010MS	HQ48VMS	sediment	01/24/05			X								
LDW-SS134-010MSD	HQ48VMSD	sediment	01/24/05			X								
LDW-SS134-010DUP	HQ48VDUP	sediment	01/24/05							X				
LDW-SS134-010TRP	HQ48VTRP	sediment	01/24/05											X

Note: X = Validation was performed.

13234VALA.wpd

SDG#: HQ27 & HQ28

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13234-B

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Watenway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C-SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyl-tin (Krone/8270C-SIM)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS43-010	HQ27A	sediment	01/21/05	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS44-010	HQ27B	sediment	01/21/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS87-010	HQ27C	sediment	01/21/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS94-010	HQ27D	sediment	01/21/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS96-010	HQ27E	sediment	01/21/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS97-010	HQ27F	sediment	01/21/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS31-010	HQ27G	sediment	01/21/05	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS67-010	HQ27H	sediment	01/21/05	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS63-010	HQ27I	sediment	01/21/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS70-010	HQ27J	sediment	01/21/05	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS75-010	HQ27K	sediment	01/21/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS43-RB	HQ27L	water	01/21/05	X			X	X						
LDW-SS101-010	HQ28A	sediment	01/20/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS43-010MS	HQ27AMS	sediment	01/21/05					X		X		X		
LDW-SS43-010DUP	HQ27ADUP	sediment	01/21/05					X		X		X		
LDW-SS43-010TRP	HQ27ATRP	sediment	01/21/05							X		X		
LDW-SS87-010DUP	HQ27CDUP	sediment	01/21/05											X
LDW-SS87-010TRP	HQ27CTRP	sediment	01/21/05											X
LDW-SS97-010MS	HQ27FMS	sediment	01/21/05				X							
LDW-SS97-010MSD	HQ27FMSD	sediment	01/21/05				X							
LDW-SS67-010MS	HQ27HMS	sediment	01/21/05	X					X					
LDW-SS67-010MSD	HQ27HMSD	sediment	01/21/05	X					X					
LDW-SS75-010MS	HQ27KMS	sediment	01/21/05								X			
LDW-SS75-010DUP	HQ27KDUP	sediment	01/21/05								X			

Note: X = Validation was performed.

SDG#: HQ27 & HQ28

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13234B

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyl-tin (Krone/8270C-SIM)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS75-010TRP	HQ27KTRP	sediment	01/21/05								X			
LDW-SS101-010MS	HQ28AMS	sediment	01/20/05								X			
LDW-SS101-010MSD	HQ28AMSD	sediment	01/20/05								X			
LDW-SS101-010DUP	HQ28ADUP	sediment	01/20/05								X	X		
LDW-SS101-010TRP	HQ28ATRP	sediment	01/20/05								X	X		

Note: X = Validation was performed.

SDG#: HR48

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13234C

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	Butyltin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS18-010	HR48A	sediment	02/01/05		X									
LDW-SS20-010	HR48B	sediment	02/02/05						X					
LDW-SS18-010MS	HR48AMS	sediment	02/01/05		X									
LDW-SS18-010MSD	HR48AMSD	sediment	02/01/05		X									
LDW-SS20-010MS	HR48BMS	sediment	02/02/05						X					
LDW-SS20-010MSD	HR48BMSD	sediment	02/02/05						X					

Note: X = Validation was performed.

SDG#: HQ56 & HQ57 **VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE** **LDC#: 13234E**

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group		Parameters/Analytical Method											Project #04-08-06-21		
Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	PCP (8041)	Butyl-tin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS110-RB	HQ56A	water	01/25/05	X			X	X							
LDW-SS52-010	HQ56B	sediment	01/25/05	X			X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS92-010	HQ56C	sediment	01/25/05	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS92-010DL	HQ56CDL	sediment	01/25/05				X								
LDW-SS104-010	HQ56D	sediment	01/25/05	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS110-010	HQ56E	sediment	01/25/05	X	X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS110-010DL	HQ56EDL	sediment	01/25/05				X								
LDW-SS109-010	HQ56F	sediment	01/25/05	X			X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS109-010DL	HQ56FDL	sediment	01/25/05				X								
LDW-SS115-010	HQ56G	sediment	01/25/05	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS121-010	HQ56H	sediment	01/25/05	X			X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS121-010DL	HQ56HDL	sediment	01/25/05				X								
LDW-SS88-010	HQ56I	sediment	01/25/05	X	X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS88-010DL	HQ56IDL	sediment	01/25/05	X			X								
LDW-SS33-010	HQ57A	sediment	01/26/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS49-010	HQ57B	sediment	01/26/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS143-010	HQ57C	sediment	01/26/05	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SS143-010DL	HQ57CDL	sediment	01/26/05				X								
LDW-SS52-010MS	HQ56BMS	sediment	01/25/05					X			X				
LDW-SS52-010DUP	HQ56BDUP	sediment	01/25/05					X			X				
LDW-SS52-010TRP	HQ56BTRP	sediment	01/25/05										X		
LDW-SS92-010MS	HQ56CMS	sediment	01/25/05	X			X								
LDW-SS92-010MSD	HQ56CMSD	sediment	01/25/05	X			X								
LDW-SS109-010MS	HQ56FMS	sediment	01/25/05									X			
LDW-SS109-010DUP	HQ56FDUP	sediment	01/25/05									X			

Note: X = Validation was performed.

SDG#: HQ56 & HQ57

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13234E

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	PCP (8041)	Butyl-tin (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (150.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS109-010TRP	HQ56FTRP	sediment	01/25/05									X			
LDW-SS49-010MS	HQ57BMS	sediment	01/26/05											X	
LDW-SS49-010DUP	HQ57BDUP	sediment	01/26/05											X	
LDW-SS49-010TRP	HQ57BTRP	sediment	01/26/05											X	

Note: X = Validation was performed.

13234VALE.wpd

SDG#: HQ93

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13298A

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Project #04-08-06-21

Parameters/Analytical Method														
Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	TBT (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SSCR20-010	HQ93A	sediment	01/28/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SSCR23-010	HQ93B	sediment	01/28/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-MSMP43-010	HQ93C	sediment	01/28/05	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X
LDW-SSCR20-010MS	HQ93AMS	sediment	01/28/05					X		X			X	
LDW-SSCR20-010DUP	HQ93ADUP	sediment	01/28/05					X		X		X	X	
LDW-SSCR20-010TRP	HQ93ATRP	sediment	01/28/05									X		
LDW-MSMP43-010MS	HQ93CMS	sediment	01/28/05				X				X			
LDW-MSMP43-010MSD	HQ93CMSD	sediment	01/28/05				X							
LDW-MSMP43-010DUP	HQ93CDUP	sediment	01/28/05								X			
LDW-MSMP43-010TRP	HQ93CTRP	sediment	01/28/05								X			

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	TBT (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS118-010	HT37A	sediment	01/20/05		X									
LDW-SS101-010	HT37B	sediment	01/20/05		X									
LDW-SS55-010	HT37C	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS36-010	HT37D	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS55-010MS	H ⁻ 37CMS	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS55-010MSD	H ⁻ 37CMSD	sediment	01/24/05		X									

Note: X = Validation was performed.

Attachment 2

SDG#: HU13

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13296C

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group

Project #04-08-06-21

Parameters/Analytical Method

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	TBT (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS1-010	HU13A	sediment	01/17/05		X									
LDW-SS5-010	HU13B	sediment	01/17/05		X									
LDW-SS12-010	HU13C	sediment	01/17/05		X									
LDW-SS13-010	HU13D	sediment	01/17/05		X									
LDW-SS51-010	HU13E	sediment	01/18/05		X									
LDW-SS116-010	HU13F	sediment	01/20/05		X									
LDW-SS130-010	HU13G	sediment	01/20/05			X								
LDW-SS76-010	HU13H	sediment	01/20/05		X									
LDW-SS44-010	HU13I	sediment	01/21/05		X									
LDW-SS87-010	HU13J	sediment	01/21/05		X									
LDW-SS94-010	HU13K	sediment	01/21/05		X									
LDW-SS96-010	HU13L	sediment	01/21/05		X									
LDW-SS67-010	HU13M	sediment	01/21/05		X									
LDW-SS54-010	HU13N	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS42-010	HU13O	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS128-010	HU13P	sediment	01/24/05		X									
LDW-SS52-010	HU13Q	sediment	01/25/05		X									
LDW-SS33-010	HU13R	sediment	01/26/05		X									
LDW-SS1-010MS	HU13AMS	sediment	01/17/05		X									
LDW-SS1-010MSD	HU13AMSD	sediment	01/17/05		X									
LDW-SS130-010MS	HU13GMS	sediment	01/20/05			X								
LDW-SS130-010MSD	HU13GMSD	sediment	01/20/05			X								

Note: X = Validation was performed.

13298VALC.wpd

Attachment 2

SDG#: HV08

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13298D

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Waterway Group Parameters/Analytical Method Project #04-08-06-21

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collectec	SVOA (8270C)	SVOA (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	Metals (SW846)	TBT (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size
LDW-SS5-010	HV08A	sediment	01/17/05			X								
LDW-SS67-010	HV08B	sediment	01/21/05			X								
LDW-SS5-010DUP	HV08ADUP	sediment	01/17/05			X								

Attachment 2

SDG#: HT56

VALIDATION SAMPLE TABLE

LDC#: 13315B

Project Name: Lower Duwamish Working Group

Parameters/Analytical Method

Project #04-08-06-24

Client ID #	Lab ID #	Matrix	Date Collected	SVOA (8270C)	PAHs (8270C -SIM)	Pest. (8081A)	PCBs (8082)	As (200.8)	PCP (8041)	TBT (Krone)	NH ₃ (350.1)	S= (376.2)	Total Solids (160.3)	TOC (Plumb)	Grain Size	
DR-SS5-010	HT56A	sediment	02/01/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS6-010	HT56B	sediment	02/01/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS7-010	HT56C	sediment	02/01/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS9-010	HT56D	sediment	02/01/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS10-010	HT56E	sediment	02/09/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS11-010	HT56F	sediment	02/09/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS13-010	HT56G	sediment	02/09/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS14-010	HT56H	sediment	02/09/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS15-010	H ⁺ 56I	sediment	02/09/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS5-010MS	H ⁺ 56AMS	sediment	02/01/05					X								
DR-SS5-010DUP	H ⁺ 56ADUP	sediment	02/01/05					X					X		X	
DR-SS5-010TRP	H ⁺ 56ATRP	sediment	02/01/05										X		X	

Note: X = Validation was performed.

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/17/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>70 RSD, Y²</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LOS, SRM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	SW	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

Mixed

1 ²	LDW-SS1-010	11 ¹	LDW-SS13-010DL	21 ²	<u>MB-012405</u>	31	
2 ²	LDW-SS4-010	12 ²	LDW-SS14-010MS	22		32	
3 ²	LDW-SS5-010	13 ²	LDW-SS14-010MSD	23		33	
4 ²	LDW-SS10-010	14		24		34	
5 ²	LDW-SS12-010	15		25		35	
6 ²	LDW-SS14-010	16		26		36	
7 ²	LDW-SS15-010	17		27		37	
8 ²	LDW-SS22-010	18		28		38	
9 ¹	LDW-SS22-010DL	19		29		39	
10 ²	LDW-SS13-010	20		30		40	

LDC #: 13204A=4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq 30\%$ and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05 ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq 25\%$ and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05 ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204829
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: _____

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204A2A
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OC. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QG. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: B20182a
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?

N N/A Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?

N N/A Were all %D and RRFs within the validation criteria of ≤ 25 %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer:

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	9/1/05	CC0201	X	28.53 \geq 297		1-8, 10, 12-13 Bk	N/A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 1/24/05 Blank analysis date: 1/1/05

Conc. units: ug/g Associated Samples: NA

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
EEE	MB-012405 15	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		67/11	83/11	82/11	180	180	160	64	1100	900	180

Blank extraction date: 1/24/05 Blank analysis date: 1/1/05

Conc. units: ug/g Associated Samples: NA

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
EEE	MB-012405 15	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		11 (5x)									
		180/11									

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 13204629
 SDG #: HPT

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Internal Standards

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were all internal standard area counts within -50 to +100 of the associated calibration standard?

Y N N/A Were the retention times of the internal standards within +/- 30 seconds of the retention times of the associated calibration standard?

#	Date	Sample ID	Internal Standard	Area (Limits)	RT (Limits)	Qualifications
		13 (MSD)	PRY	115555(116217-464868)		No qual.
		8	PRY	739310		N/A
		10	PRY	102609		(999 → 111)

* QC limits are advisory
 IS1 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 IS2 (NPT) = Naphthalene-d8
 IS3 (ANT) = Acenaphthene-d10
 IS4 (PHN) = Phenanthrene-d10
 IS5 (CRY) = Chrysene-d12
 IS6 (PRY) = Perylene-d12

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET I
Overall Assessment of Data

LDC #: 13224629
 SDG #: HPE7

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y **N** **N/A** Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	8, 10		RF → LL	8, 10	R/A
	9, 11		M except RF → LL	9, 11	↓

Comments: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_s)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_s)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_s = Area of compound,
 C_s = Concentration of compound,
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs,
 A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (>5 std)	RRF (>5 std)	RRF (>5 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	10A	1/29/15	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.15562	2.15562	2.15562	2.1869	2.1869	10.64%	10.64%	205
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (1st internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.39735	1.39735	1.47233	1.47233	1.47233	11.45%	11.45%	1159
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.14851	1.14851	1.17510	1.17510	1.17510	9.84%	9.84%	112
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.58814	0.58814	0.59056	0.59056	0.59056	5.04%	5.04%	156
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 130048-a
 SDG#: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Naphthalene
 Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.07050	0.00497
			0.25	0.33156	0.10993
			0.50	0.62253	0.38754
			1.25	1.37134	1.88057
			2.00	2.13061	4.53952
			3.00	2.89979	8.40879
			4.00	3.65117	13.33104

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0257
 R Squared 0.9997540
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.7597
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0191

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9998770
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9997540

LDC #: 1300462a
 SDG#: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Fluorene
 Order of regression: Quac

DATE	GC/MS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.95	0.08005	0.00641
			0.25	0.37229	0.13860
			0.30	0.70479	0.49673
			1.25	1.51081	2.28254
			2.90	2.35067	5.52564
			3.90	3.12574	9.77028
			4.90	3.97161	15.77370

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0425
 R Squared 0.9993286
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.6770
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0289

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9996643
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9993286

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

LDC #: 1320402a
 SDG#: HP67

Page: of
 Reviewer:
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Phenanthrene

Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(r)	(X)	(X ²)
			CCNC RATIO	AREA RATIO	AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.08072	0.00652
			0.25	0.35484	0.12591
			0.50	0.65729	0.43202
			1.25	1.44590	2.09064
			2.00	2.23477	4.99422
			3.00	3.01402	9.08432
			4.00	3.85558	14.86552

Regression Output:

Constan: 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0372
 R Squared 0.9994857
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.7347
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0260

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9997428
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9994857

LDC #: 13204A20
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
A_s = Area of associated internal standard
C_s = Concentration of internal standard
% Difference = $100 * (ave. RRF - RRF) / ave. RRF$
RRF = $(A_s)(C_x) / (A_x)(C_s)$
A_x = Area of compound
C_x = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	EC031	1/3/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.10036	3.958	2.10036	3.958
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.06	3.85	24.06	3.85
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)		24.72	1.6	24.72	1.12
			Phenanthrene (4th internal standard)		24.06	3.8	24.06	3.76
			Benzofluorene (5th internal standard)		1.38989	5.59	1.38989	5.59
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)		1.14073	2.925	1.14073	2.925
			Phenanthrene (1st internal standard)		0.58563	0.834	0.58563	0.834
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
3	EC020	2/1/05	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	0.59056	0.58979	0.13989	0.58979	0.13
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
			Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.09375	4.26044	2.09375	4.26023
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.14209	3.432	24.14	3.44
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)		24.82932	0.683	24.83	0.68
			Phenanthrene (4th internal standard)		24.10492	3.580	24.10	3.60
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)		1.40347	4.67053	1.40347	4.6706
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)		1.17510	3.93808	1.17883	3.9338
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A-9
 SDG #: HPST

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	25	18.3417	73.2	73.4	0.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	20.7956	83.2	83.2	0
Terphenyl-d14	↓	19.8425	79.2	79.4	0.2
Phenol-d5	37.5	28.9920	77.3	77.3	0
2-Fluorophenol	↓	18.2782	48.8	48.7	0.1
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	38.6869	103	103	0
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	30.8607	82.4	82.3	0.1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	25	18.9443	75.6	75.8	0.2

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * |MS - MSD| / (MS + MSD)$ MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 12/13

Compound	Spike Added (µg/g)		Sample Concentration (µg/g)	Spiked Sample Concentration (µg/g)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol	729	731	ND	587	571	80.5	80.5	78.1	78.1	2.8	2.8
2-Chlorophenol	↓	↓		594	585	81.5	81.5	80.0	80.0	1.5	1.5
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	486	487		356	359	73.3	73.3	73.7	73.7	0.4	0.4
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	↓	↓		337	334	69.3	69.3	68.6	68.6	0.7	0.7
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	↓	↓		413	406	85.0	85.0	83.4	83.4	1.6	1.6
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	729	731		705	686	96.7	96.7	93.8	93.8	2.9	2.9
Acenaphthene	486	487		483	451	99.4	99.4	92.6	92.6	6.8	6.8
4-Nitrophenol	729	731		814	813	112	112	111	111	1	1
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	486	487		442	426	90.9	90.9	87.5	87.5	3.4	3.4
Pentachlorophenol	729	731	↓	762	786	105	105	108	108	3	3
Pyrene	486	487	106	678	586	118	118	98.6	98.6	14.6	14.6

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204829
 SDG #: HR67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: 9
 2nd Reviewer: AK

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$

Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * \frac{|LCS - LCSD|}{LCS + LCSD}$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery
 LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-012405

Compound	Spike Added (<u>496</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>145</u>)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol	750	NA	496	NA	66.1	66.1								
2-Chlorophenol	↓		518		69.1	69.1								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	500		349		69.8	69.8								
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	↓		323		64.6	64.6								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene			389		77.8	77.8								
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	750		585		78.0	78.0								
Acenaphthene	500		384		76.8	76.8								
4-Nitrophenol	750		670		89.5	89.5								
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	500		383		76.6	76.6								
Pentachlorophenol	750		733		97.7	97.7								
Pyrene	500	↓	482	↓	96.4	96.4								

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204829
 SDG #: HP6T

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_c = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 2, 111:

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{11495 \times 20 \times 500}{226068 \times 1.17510 \times 25.6 \times 1} = 65.4 \text{ mg/g}$$

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>7 PSD. 1²</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>109. SRM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	SW	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>D=5+7. 6+8.</u>
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	<u>RB = LDW-SS38-RB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

All seeds

1	LDW-SS38-RB	11	LDW-SS37-010	21	<u>MB-012405</u>	31
2	LDW-SS23-010	12	LDW-SS37-010DL	22		32
3	LDW-SS20-010	13	LDW-SS38-010	23		33
4	LDW-SS26-010DL	14	LDW-SS40-010	24		34
5	LDW-SS27-010	15	LDW-SS48-010	25		35
6	LDW-SS27-010DL	16	LDW-SS48-010DL	26		36
7	LDW-SS200-010	17	LDW-SS51-010	27		37
8	LDW-SS200-010DL	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS32-010	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS32-010DL	20		30		40

LDC #: 1320AB29
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204B2a
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?		/		
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	/			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	/			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	/			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	/			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	/			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			/	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?			/	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?		/		
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			

LDC #: 13004 B2A
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: X

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

 N N/A N N/A N N/A

Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?

Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?

Were all %D and RRF's within the validation criteria of ≤ 25 %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	2/1/05	CC0201	X	28.53297		2-3.5.T.9.11 Bk	✓ N/A
	2/2/05	CC0202	RRR HH PP	57.44199 38.07925 41.76197		13-15.17	✓ N/A ↓
	2/3/05	CC0203	RRR HH PP HHK LLL	46.26238 34.77659 37.90387 38.57960 40.04920		16	✓ N/A ↓

LDC #: 13204 B2A
 SDG #: H70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: A
 2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 1/24/05 **Blank analysis date:** 2/1/05

Conc. units: ug/kg **Associated Samples:** M

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
		3	5	7	9	11	12(5)	13	14		
EEE	MB-012405 15	3 (200)	5 190/U	7 88/U	9 93/U	11 (760)	12(5) 650/U	13 100/U	14 (370)		

Blank extraction date: same **Blank analysis date:** _____

Conc. units: _____ **Associated Samples:** _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
		15	17								
EEE	MB-012405 15	15 (770)	17 660/U								

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:

Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 6 of 7
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nc Reviewer: [Signature]

SDG #: HP70
METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		4	TBP	34.9 (40-130)	No Qual
		8	TBP	32.1	
		10	TBP	36.4	
		12	TBP	34.5	

* QC limits are advisory

S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5	23-120	QC Limits (Soil)	25-121	QC Limits (Water)	21-100
S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	30-115		19-122		10-123
S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14	18-137		20-130*		33-110*
S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5	24-113		20-130*		16-110*
S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol					
S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4					
S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 13204 B29
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Internal Standards

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N / N/A Were all internal standard area counts within -50 to +100 of the associated calibration standard?
 Y N / N/A Were the retention times of the internal standards within +/- 30 seconds of the retention times of the associated calibration standard?

#	Date	Sample ID	Internal Standard	Area (Limits)	RT (Limits)	Qualifications
		3	PRY	91698 (116217-464868)		N/A
		5	PRY	110608 ()		
		7	PRY	94243 ()		
		8 9	PRY	93876 ()		
		1	PRY	59280 ()		(5999 → 444)

* QC limits are advisory
 IS1 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 IS2 (NPT) = Naphthalene-d8
 IS3 (ANT) = Acenaphthene-d10
 IS4 (PHN) = Phenanthrene-d10
 IS5 (CRY) = Chrysenes-d12
 IS6 (PRY) = Perylene-d12

INTST.2S

Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

Y N N/A
 Y N N/A

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		15	ul. XX. ZZ. DD. FFFF - calc range	15	bleets / A

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET I
Overall Assessment of Data

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

N / N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		15	114, 117, 22, 000, 555	15	R/A
		16	All except above	16	[Signature]
		3, 5, 7, 9, 11	555 → 111 (FS out)	3, 5, 7, 9, 11	R/A
		4, 6, 8, 10, 12	All except 555 → 111	4, 6, 8, 10, 12	R/A

Comments: _____

DC#: 13204A2a
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	TK 50
	5	7		
UU	120	130	8	
VV	28	57	68	
YY	390	390	0	
ZZ	270	300	11	
CCC	120	160	29	
EEE	30	88	98	
DDD	240	370	43	
GGG	230	180	24	
HHH	140	170	19	
III	140	140	0	
JJJ	41	46	11	
LLL	36	43	18	
WW	20U	21	200	NC
KKK	20U	22	200	NC

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD
	56	8	
UU	110	120	9
YY	330	360	9
ZZ	220	230	4
CCC	120	160	29
DDD	240	390	48
GGG	170	140	19
HHH	100	120	18
III	140	140	0

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_s)/(A_s)(C_x)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (25 std)	RRF (25 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD
1	10AC	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.15562	2.15562	2.1869	2.1869	10.64255	10.64255		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.39795	1.39795	1.47223	1.47223	11.459	11.459		
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.14851	1.14851	1.17510	1.17510	9.84111	9.84112		
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.58814	0.58814	0.59056	0.59056	5.0447	5.0448		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B2a
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: RC

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Phenanthrene
 Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.08072	0.00652
			0.25	0.35484	0.12591
			0.50	0.65729	0.43202
			1.25	1.44590	2.09064
			2.00	2.23477	4.99422
			3.00	3.01402	9.08432
			4.00	3.85558	14.86552

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0372
 R Squared 0.9994857
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.7347
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0260

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9997428
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9994857

LDC #: 13204 B29
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ST
 2nd Reviewer: VC

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Naphthalene
 Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.07050	0.00497
			0.25	0.33156	0.10993
			0.50	0.62253	0.38754
			1.25	1.37134	1.88057
			2.00	2.13061	4.53952
			3.00	2.89979	8.40879
			4.00	3.65117	13.33104

Regression Output:

Constant: 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est: 0.0257
 R Squared: 0.9997540
 No. of Observations: 7
 Degrees of Freedom: 5

X Coefficient (s): 0.7597
 Std Err of Coef.: 0.0191

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9998770
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9997540

LDC #: 13204B3A
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: a

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Fluorene

Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.08005	0.00641
			0.25	0.37229	0.13860
			0.50	0.70479	0.49673
			1.25	1.51081	2.28254
			2.00	2.35067	5.52564
			3.00	3.12574	9.77028
			4.00	3.97161	15.77370

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0425
 R Squared 0.9993286
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5
 X Coefficient (s) 0.6770
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0289
 Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9996643
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9993286

LDC #: 13204B29
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 $\text{RRF} = (A_s)(C_s) / (A_u)(C_u)$ A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 A_u = Area of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard
 C_u = Concentration of compound.

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	ec0B1	1/31/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.10036	3.958	2.10036	3.958
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.06	3.85	24.06	3.85
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	↓	24.72	1.12	24.72	1.12
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	↓	24.06	3.76	24.06	3.76
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.4723	1.38989	5.59	1.38989	5.59
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.14073	2.935	1.14073	2.935
2	ec020	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.59056	0.58563	0.834	0.58563	0.835
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0				
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	0.59056	0.58979	0.131	0.58979	0.131
3	ec020	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.09375	4.26044	2.09375	4.26023
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.14209	3.432	24.14	3.44
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	↓	24.80932	0.683	24.83	0.68
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	↓	24.10492	3.580	24.10	3.60
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.4723	1.40347	4.67053	1.40347	4.6706
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.12883	3.93808	1.12883	3.938

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 B20
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 $\text{RRF} = (A_x)(C_b) / (A_b)(C_x)$
 A_x = Area of associated internal standard
 A_b = Area of compound
 C_x = Concentration of compound
 C_b = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CC0203	2/3/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	1.94681	19.1205	13.5692	13.569
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25	24.261	24.26	2.95599	2.96
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	✓	24.67368	24.67	1.30529	1.32
			Perchlorophenol (4th internal standard)	✓	24.03533	24.04	3.85866	3.858
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.47233	1.40362	1.40362	4.66007	4.660
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.11272	1.11272	5.30845	5.308
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.59056	0.57522	0.57522	2.59731	2.598
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Perchlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	0.59056	0.58255	0.58255	1.35484	1.356
3	CC0002	2/3/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	1.94681	1.94681	10.97943	10.979
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25	24.17483	24.17	3.30067	3.32
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	✓	24.9548	24.95	0.18079	0.183
			Perchlorophenol (4th internal standard)	✓	24.06056	24.06	3.75778	3.76
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.47233	1.40565	1.40565	4.52219	4.522
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	0.59056	1.11340	1.11340	5.25057	5.250

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13201B20
 SDG #: HPTO

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 2

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	25	12.5279	50.0	50.1	0.1
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	13.8496	55.2	55.4	0.2
Terphenyl-d14	↓	13.7256	54.8	54.9	0.1
Phenol-d5	37.5	15.9087	42.4	42.4	0
2-Fluorophenol	↓	17.3542	46.4	46.3	0.1
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	26.9605 / 2.1922	72.0	72.0	0
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	20.6899	55.2	55.2	0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	25	12.1922	48.8	48.8	0

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 1320429
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 2

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * (LCS - LCSD) / (LCS + LCSD)$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-012405

Compound	Spike Added (<u>14905</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>14905</u>)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol	750	NA	496	NA	66.1	66.1								
2-Chlorophenol	↓		518		69.1	69.1								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	500		349		69.8	69.8								
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	↓		323		64.6	64.6								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene			389		77.8	77.8								
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	750		585		78.0	78.0								
Acenaphthene	500		384		76.8	76.8								
4-Nitrophenol	750		670		89.5	89.5								
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	500		383		76.6	76.6								
Pentachlorophenol	750		733		97.7	97.7								
Pyrene	500	↓	482	↓	96.4	96.4								

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13201329
SDG #: HP71

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

- Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(V_j)(\%S)}$

A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
V_j = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
Df = Dilution Factor.
%S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:
Sample I.D. 3 . EEF:
Conc. = $\frac{(122088)(20)(500)(1)(1)}{(395977)(0.5956)(48.7)(1)(0.53)}$
= 201.9 ug/kg

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13204C2a **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/19/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	W	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates <u>/DUP</u>	A/A	LDW-SS-67-010 MS only &
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LC5, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	W	D=6+7
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

Mixed

1	LDW-SS-112-010	11	MB-012/05	21		31	
2	LDW-SS-119-010	12	LDW-SS-111-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS-120-010	13	MB-013/05	23		33	
4	LDW-SS-60-010	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS-99-010	15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS-89-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS-201-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS-201-010MS LDW-SS-201-010MS	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS-201-01MSD <u>DUP</u>	19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(k)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

SDG #: HP 90
 METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
 If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Y (N) N/A
 Y (N) N/A
 Y (N) N/A

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		1	TBP	39.7 (40-130)	No Qual.
		2	TBP	37.5 ()	↓
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory

S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-120	QC Limits (Soil) 35-114	QC Limits (Water)	21-100
S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-115	43-116	S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol	25-121
S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137	33-141	S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	19-122
S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-113	10-94	S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4	20-130*
		S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	20-130*

DC#: 13204C2a
SDG#: HP90&HQ60

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (≤ 50)	
	6	7		
UU	32	42	27	
YY	90	170	62	
ZZ	100	160	46	
CCC	42	59	34	
EEE	25	36	36	
DDD	78	120	42	
GGG	62	72	15	
HHH	38	49	25	
III	47	54	14	
JJJ	20	22	10	

LDC #: 13204D2a **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ17 & HQ04 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 2/19/05
 Page: / of /
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/19-20/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	ICS/D SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

M seeds

1	LDW-SS114-010 -	11	LDW-SS76-010	21	MB-012805	31
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22		32
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS116-010MS	23		33
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS116-010MSD	24		34
5	LDW-SS126-010	15		25		35
6	LDW-SS116-010	16		26		36
7	LDW-SS127-010	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS130-010	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS129-010	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS118-010	20		30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

SDG #: H217
 METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 827C)
 Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N / N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
 Y N / N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y N / N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		2	TBP	45 (40-130)	No Eval
		10	DCB	31.6 ()	N/A / A (NDMA only)
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory
 S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-12C
 S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-115
 S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137
 S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-113
 QC Limits (Soil)
 35-114
 43-116
 33-141
 10-94
 S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol
 S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol
 S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4
 S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 QC Limits (Water)
 21-100
 10-123
 33-110*
 16-110*
 QC Limits (Soil)
 25-121
 19-122
 20-130*
 20-130*
 20-130*

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

Were the MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>BNA</u>	<u>ZZ</u>	<u>357 (40-130)</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>78.0 (≤ 57)</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>* Natural for EA (SX)</u>

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-113%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	35-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-103%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	36-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LDC #: 13204D2A
SDG #: HR17

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: R
2nd Reviewer: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y **N** **N/A** Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>2</u>	<u>see qdr except Aprilie</u>		<u>R/A</u>

Comments: _____

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/24/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	<u>LDW-SS67-010 (HQ27)</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	<u>CCS, SKM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>D = 2+7, 12+13</u>
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	<u>LDW-SS64-FB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS17-010	<u>SW</u> 11	LDW-SS142-010	<u>SW</u> 21	LDW-SS28-010	<u>SW</u> 31	<u>MB-020105</u>
2	LDW-SS50-010	12	LDW-SS123-010	22	LDW-SS134-010	32	<u>MB-013105</u>
3	LDW-SS55-010	13	LDW-SS203-010	23	LDW-SS123-010MS	33	<u>MB-012905</u>
4	LDW-SS72-010	14	LDW-SS64-RB	<u>SW</u> 24	LDW-SS123-010MSD	34	
5	LDW-SS79-010	15	LDW-SS64-010	<u>SW</u> 25		35	
6	LDW-SS54-010	16	LDW-SS83-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS202-010	17	LDW-SS36-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS42-010	18	LDW-SS58-010	28		38	
9	LDW-SS102-010	19	LDW-SS57-010	29		39	
10	LDW-SS128-010	20	LDW-SS56-010	30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Surrogate Recovery

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y/N N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
 Y/N N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y/N N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		2	DCB	38.8 (46-130)	No anal.
		12	DCB	39.9 ()	

- * QC limits are advisory
- S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-12C QC Limits (Soil) 25-121
- S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-11E QC Limits (Water) 21-100
- S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137 QC Limits (Water) 10-123
- S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-11Z QC Limits (Water) 33-110*
- S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol QC Limits (Soil) 20-130*
- S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol QC Limits (Water) 16-110*
- S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4 QC Limits (Soil) 25-121
- S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 QC Limits (Water) 10-123

DC#: 13234A2a
 SDG#: HQ48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer:
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	2	7		
UU	160	430	92	
VV	110	150	31	
YY	270	470	54	
ZZ	670	890	28	
CCC	170	240	34	
EEE	560	560	0	
DDD	300	460	42	
GGG	400	370	8	
HHH	350	410	16	
III	260	300	14	
JJJ	73	80	9	
LLL	61	87	35	

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	12	13		
I	15	30	67	
UU	21	39	60	
YY	48	78	48	
ZZ	57	89	44	
CCC	14	58U	200 N/C	
EEE	31	36	15	
DDD	22	36	48	
GGG	24	32	29	
HHH	27	31	14	
III	15	58U	200 N/C	

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05 - 1/21/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	CCS/D, SRH
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	RB=20W-SS43-FB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75-010	S	21	MB-012805	31
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS43-RB	W	22	MB-013105	32
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS101-010	S	23	MB-012805	33
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS67-010MS	↓	24		34
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS67-010MSD	↓	25		35
6	LDW-SS97-010	16			26		36
7	LDW-SS31-010	17			27		37
8	LDW-SS67-010	18			28		38
9	LDW-SS63-010	19			29		39
10	LDW-SS70-010	20			30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

DDG #: 17-24-24-12-24
 SDG #: H&T & H&S

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A
 Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
 Y N N/A
 If 2 or more base neutra or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y N N/A
 If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		10	PHL	33.2 (40-130)	No Qual
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

- * QC limits are advisory
- | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------|--|-------------------|---------|
| S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 | 23-120 | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | 21-100 |
| S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 30-115 | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | 10-123 |
| S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 | 18-137 | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | 33-110* |
| S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 | 24-115 | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | 16-110* |
| S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol | 25-121 | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | |
| S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 19-122 | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | |
| S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4 | 20-130* | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | |
| S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 | 20-130* | QC Limits (Soil) | | QC Limits (Water) | |

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>LDN 88116-010</u>	<u>ZZ</u>	<u>357 (40-130)</u>	<u>() ()</u>	<u>78.0 (≤ 50)</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>No Anal</u>

	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A.	Phenol	25-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenacethene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-118%	≤ 31%
C.	2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E.	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	26-104%	≤ 27%	36-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J.	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-100%	≤ 50%
R.	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	36-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V.	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LDC #: 13234E2a **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/25 - 26/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	<u>CCS. SRM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	RB <u>LDW-SS110-RB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS110-RB <u>W</u>	11	LDW-SS33-010	<u>21</u>	<u>MB-020405</u>	=	31
2	LDW-SS52-010 <u>sed</u>	12	LDW-SS49-010	22	MB-012905	N	32
3	LDW-SS92-010	13	LDW-SS143-010	23			33
4	LDW-SS104-010	14	LDW-SS92-010MS	24			34
5	LDW-SS110-010	15	LDW-SS92-010MSD	25			35
6	LDW-SS109-010	16		26			36
7	LDW-SS115-010	17		27			37
8	LDW-SS121-010	18		28			38
9	LDW-SS88-010	19		29			39
10	LDW-SS88-010DL	20		30			40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 843 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Y N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		HA 15 (3x)	ZZ	T90 (40-130)	199 (40-130)	94.6 (≤ 52)	3	N/A *
				()	()	()		* only RPD
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-113%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	35-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-100%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET I
Overall Assessment of Data

LDU #: 13234229
 SDG #: 18566 H057

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		9	All except 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 NN NN-VV		
		9	All except 9, 10, 11, 12 → 111 (IS out)	91	P/O
		10	All except 9, 10, 11, 12 → 111 (high Pcs)	10	✓

Comments: _____

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/28/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	TW	<u>LDW-SS92-010 (HQ56C)</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SSCR20-010 <u>sd</u>	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	<u>UB-020405</u>	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(ξ)fluoranthene	WWW.

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LDN-SS92-010	ZZ	790 ()	199 (40-130) ()	94.6 (≤ 57) ()	None	No Error
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-113%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	35-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-103%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	≤ 23%	33-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LDC #: 13204A2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HP67 Level # IV
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS ^{SVA} Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	SW	
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOG. SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS4-010	sed	11	MB-012605	21		31	
2	LDW-SS10-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS14-010		13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS22-010		14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS10-010MS		15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS10-010MSD		16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13204A-6
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 Azb
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		/		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	/			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	/			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	/			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	/			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	/			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	/			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			/	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?			/	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?			/	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			

LDC #: 13201A26
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: g
2nd Reviewer: h

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	JJ. Pentachlorophenol**	II. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OC. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	CC. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 1320102b

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: g

2nd Reviewer: R

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Initial Calibration

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Did the laboratory conduct an acceptable 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?

Y N N/A Were percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?

Y N N/A Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, what was the acceptance criteria used for evaluation? _____

Y N N/A Did the initial calibration meet the acceptance criteria?

Y N N/A Were all %RSDs and RRFs within the validation criteria of ≤ 30 %RSD and ≥ 0.05 RRF ?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %RSD (Limit: $\leq 30.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/29/05	10A2	PPP	39.2		M+B	N/A

LDC #: 13204826
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?
 Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
 Were all %D and RRFs within the validation criteria of $\leq 25\%$ %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

YN N/A
YN N/A
YN N/A

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/31/05	CC0131	PPP	37.3		NB NB, 2-6	✓N/A / A
	2/1/05	CC0201	PPP	37.2		1	✓N/A / A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 3270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (2.5 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	LOK	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	1.429	1.429	1.397	1.397	1.397	2.5	2.5	
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0.302	0.302	0.303	0.303	0.303	2.0	2.0	
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.114	1.114	1.162	1.162	1.162	5.9	5.9	
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.142	0.142	0.129	0.129	0.129	18.5	18.5	
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.241	1.241	1.225	1.225	1.225	2.0	2.0	
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.058	1.058	1.081	1.081	1.081	3.7	3.7	
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: B204626
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 $\text{RRF} = (A_x)(C_b) / (A_b)(C_x)$ A_b = Area of associated internal standard
 A_x = Area of compound, C_b = Concentration of internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_b = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	SC029A	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2	CC021	1/31/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) E	1.39T	1.379	1.3	1.3	1.3
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.300	1.0	1.0	1.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.128	2.9	2.9	2.9
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.134	3.9	3.9	3.5
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.226	0.1	0.1	0.1
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.056	0.5	0.5	0.5
3	CC0201	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) E	1.39T	1.380	1.2	1.2	1.2
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.305	0.7	0.7	0.7
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.108	4.6	4.6	4.0
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.139	7.8	7.8	7.7
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.272	3.8	3.8	3.8
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.058	0.3	0.3	0.3

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320406
 SLUG #: HP6

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	2.5	1.14473	45.6	45.8	0.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	1.26450	50.4	50.6	0.2
Terphenyl-d14	↓	1.53774	61.6	61.5	0.1
Phenol-d5	3.75	1.91626	51.2	51.1	0.1
2-Fluorophenol	↓	1.78516	47.7	47.6	0.1
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	2.43437	64.8	64.9	0.1
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	1.81981	48.5	48.5	0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	2.5	1.08092	43.2	43.2	0

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * |MS - MSD| / (MS + MSD)$ MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 5/6

Compound	Spike Added (MS/MSD)		Sample Concentration (MS/MSD)	Spiked Sample Concentration (MS/MSD)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol											
2-Chlorophenol											
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	340	341	ND	200	210	58.8	58.8	61.6	61.6	4.9	4.9
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	340	341	↓	211	210	62.1	62.1	61.6	61.6	0.5	0.5
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	340	341	↓	231	225	67.9	67.9	66.0	66.0	2.6	2.6
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol											
Acenaphthene											
4-Nitrophenol											
2,4-Dinitrotoluene											
Pentachlorophenol	510	511	ND	508	454	99.6	99.6	88.8	88.8	11.2	11.2
Pyrene											

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A-26
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 8
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $|(LCS - LCSD) / ((LCS + LCSD) / 2)| * 100$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-0 12605

Compound	Spike Added (<u>MPB</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>147</u>)		LCS		LCSD		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol														
2-Chlorophenol														
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<u>1.67</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>64.1</u>	<u>64.1</u>								
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	<u>1.11</u>	<u>1.11</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>66.5</u>	<u>66.5</u>								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		<u>1.11</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>66.5</u>	<u>66.5</u>								
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol														
Acenaphthene														
4-Nitrophenol														
2,4-Dinitrotoluene														
Pentachlorophenol	<u>2.50</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>64.4</u>	<u>64.4</u>								
Pyrene														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B2b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HP70

Level # IV

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: g2nd Reviewer: SL

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/18/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	SW	
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS10-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 3+4
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS23-010	sed	11	MB-012605	21		31	
2	LDW-SS26-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS27-010		13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS200-010		14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS37-010		15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS37-010DL		16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS38-010		17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13204 B26
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 B2b
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LOS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 B2b
SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 13204B2b

SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration

Page: / of /
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 8

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A
- N N/A
- N N/A
- Y N/A
- Y Y N/A
- Y N/A

- Did the laboratory conduct an acceptable 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?
- Were percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
- Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, what was the acceptance criteria used for evaluation?
- Did the initial calibration meet the acceptance criteria?
- Were all %RSDs and RRFs within the validation criteria of $\leq 30\%$ %RSD and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %RSD (Limit: $\leq 30.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/29/05	1CA2	PPP	39.2		M+B	N/A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Continuing Calibration

LDC #: 13004B26

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

SDG #: HPT0

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?
 Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
 Were all %D and RRFs within the validation criteria of $\leq 25\%$ %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

~~NO~~ N N/A
~~NO~~ N N/A
~~NO~~ N N/A

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/31/05	CC0131	PPP	39.3		1-5.71	J/L/A
	2/3/05	CC0203	PPP	79.3		6	J/L/A

LJC #: 13204B2b
SDG #: HP 30

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		5	N	5	R/A
		6	All except N	6	R/B

Comments: _____

DC#: 13204A2b
SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: Q
2nd Reviewer: DK

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270-SIM)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	≤ SD
	3	4		
CCC	310	150	70	
GGG	400	270	39	
III	290	220	27	
JJJ	150	180	18	
AAA	42	17	85	

LDC #: 13004 B-6
 SDG #: HP-70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$ A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$ S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	<u>10A</u>	<u>1/29/05</u>	Phenol (1st internal standard)	1.429	1.429	1.397	1.397	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0.302	0.302	0.303	0.303	2.9	2.9	2.0	2.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.114	1.114	1.162	1.162	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.142	0.142	0.129	0.129	18.3	18.3	18.5	18.5
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.241	1.241	1.225	1.225	2.7	2.7	2.0	2.0
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.058	1.058	1.061	1.061	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 13204B20
 SDG #: HP20

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_b) / (A_b)(C_x)$ RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_b = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_b = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CCP203	2/5/04	Phenol (1st internal standard)	1.397	1.452	3.9	1.452	3.9
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0.303	0.303	0.0	0.303	0.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.162	1.155	0.6	1.155	0.6
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.138	7.0	0.138	6.8
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.259	2.8	1.259	2.8
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.066	0.5	1.066	0.5
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B2b
SDG #: HR70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_s) / (A_s)(C_x)$ RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CC029A	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2	CC031	1/31/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) Σ	1.397	1.379	1.379	1.3	1.3
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.300	0.300	1.0	1.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.128	1.128	2.9	2.9
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.134	0.134	3.9	3.5
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.226	1.226	0.1	0.1
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.056	1.056	0.5	0.5
3	CC0201	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) Σ	1.397	1.380	1.380	1.2	1.2
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.305	0.305	0.7	0.7
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.108	1.108	4.6	4.6
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.139	0.139	7.8	7.7
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.272	1.272	3.8	3.8
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.058	1.058	0.3	0.3

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13-204 B26
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	2.5	1.55397	62.0	62.2	0.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	1.67477	66.8	67.0	0.2
Terphenyl-d14	↓	2.04564	82.0	81.8	0.2
Phenol-d5	3.75	2.71727	72.5	72.5	0
2-Fluorophenol	↓	2.47093	65.9	65.9	↓
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	3.12983	83.5	83.5	↓
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	2.46356	65.6	65.6	↓
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	2.5	1.3752	55.2	55.0	0.2

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 13204 B2b
 SDG #: LPTO

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 8
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * (LCS - LCSD) / (LCS + LCSD)$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 0 12605

Compound	Spike Added (MPS)		Spike Concentration (MPS)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol														
2-Chlorophenol														
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	107	NA	107	NA	64.1	64.1	66.5	66.5						
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	111	↓	111	↓	66.5	66.5	66.5	66.5						
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	111	↓	111	↓	66.5	66.5	66.5	66.5						
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol														
Acenaphthene														
4-Nitrophenol														
2,4-Dinitrotoluene														
Pentachlorophenol	250	NA	161	NA	64.4	64.4	64.4	64.4						
Pyrene														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(L)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_c = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 1, 111 :

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(19003)(2)(500)(1)}{(98873)(1.061)(5.84)(1)}$$

= 29.2 µg/g

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13204C2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS ^{SIDA} Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	TW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS, SEM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	TW	<u>D=5+6</u>
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

M sed 5

1	LDW-SS-119-010	11	<u>MB-01 = 805</u>	21		31
2	LDW-SS-120-010	12		22		32
3	LDW-SS-60-010	13		23		33
4	LDW-SS-99-010	14		24		34
5	LDW-SS-89-010	15		25		35
6	LDW-SS-201-010	16		26		36
7	LDW-SS-201-010MS	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS-201-01MSD	18		28		38
9		19		29		39
10		20		30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acetaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 6 of 7
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: d

SDG #: HP906HR69
 METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y/N N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within CC limits?
 Y/N N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y N N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		4	2FP	35.7 (40-130)	→ ✓ N/A ✓ P
			PHL	39.5 ()	↓
			2CP	39.2 ()	↓
			DCB	32.1 ()	↓
			NBZ	36.8 ()	↓
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory

QC Limits (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	QC Limits (Water)
S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-120	35-114	21-100
S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-115	43-116	10-123
S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137	33-141	33-110*
S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-113	10-94	16-110*
S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol	25-121	
S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	19-122	
S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4	20-130*	
S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	20-130*	

DC#: 13204C2b
SDG#: HP908HQ69

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270) *SW*

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	SS
	<i>5</i>	<i>6</i>		
CCC	48	20	82	
GGG	38	24	45	
III	31	20	43	
JJJ	11	11	0	

LDC #: 13204D2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ17 & HQ04 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)-SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/27/04 - 1/20/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-201-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	ASW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS117-010	11	LB-012805	21	31
2	LDW-SS13B-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS125-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS126-010	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS129-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-B9a-S	16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

LDC #: 13204722
 SDG #: 17-11804

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: _____

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		1	<u>no opds</u>		<u>R/A</u>

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234A2b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/9/05

SDG #: HQ48

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	CCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 1+4, 7+8
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

Mixed S:

1	LDW-SS50-010	11	LDW-SS56-010	21	31
2	LDW-SS72-010	12	LDW-SS28-010	22	32
3	LDW-SS79-010	13	LDW-SS102-010MS	23	33
4	LDW-SS202-010	14	LDW-SS102-010MSD	24	34
5	LDW-SS102-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS142-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS123-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS203-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS64-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS83-010	20		30	40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

DC#:13234A2b
 SDG#:HQ48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CE
 2nd Reviewer: SC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270-SIM)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	1	4		
CCC	23	280	170	
GGG	25	420	178	
III	21	300	174	
JJJ	10	140	173	

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	7	8		
CCC	15	9.6	44	
GGG	16	12	29	
III	11	9.6	14	
JJJ	11	6.4U	200 NC	
CC	18	6.4U	200 NC	
AAA	7.6	6.4U	200 NC	

LDC #: 13234B2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/21/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-201-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS43-010	sed	11	MB-012805	21		31	
2	LDW-SS97-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS63-010		13		23		33	
4			14		24		34	
5			15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13234C2b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HR48

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CF2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/1/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	SV	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOS. SEM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS18-010	sed	11	MB-020905	21		31	
2	LDW-SS18-010MS	↓	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS18-010MSD	↓	13		23		33	
4			14		24		34	
5			15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloropropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
 N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
 N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
 N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 2/19/05 **Blank analysis date:** 2/19/05

Conc. units: ng/g **Associated Samples:** M

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
11	020905	
	13	

Blank extraction date: _____ **Blank analysis date:** _____
Conc. units: _____ **Associated Samples:** _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs note above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

BNA_blank.wpd

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) - SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/25 - 26/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	No MS/MSD not provided
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LC5, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS92-010	sed	11	MB020105	21		31	
2	LDW-SS104-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS110-010		13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS88-010		14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS143-010		15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13298B2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HT37 **Level II**
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/24/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CA
 2nd Reviewer: _____

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) (SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/20/05 - 1/24/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS118-010	<u>sed</u> 11	<u>MB-022105</u>	21	31
2	LDW-SS101-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS55-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS36-010	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS55-010MS	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS55-010MSD	16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

Surrogate Recovery

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270);

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A

Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?

Y N N/A

If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Y N N/A

If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		3	NBZ	30.8 (40-130)	Neutral
		4	NBZ	30.4 ()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory

S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-120
 QC Limits (Soil)

S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-115
 QC Limits (Water)

S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137
 QC Limits (Soil)

S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-113
 QC Limits (Water)

S5 (2FF) = 2-Fluorophenol
 QC Limits (Soil)

S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol
 QC Limits (Water)

S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4
 QC Limits (Soil)

S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 QC Limits (Water)

QC Limits (Soil): 25-121, 19-122, 20-130*, 20-130*

QC Limits (Water): 21-100, 10-123, 33-110*, 16-110*

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C *+ SIM*) *SVDA*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/17-26/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	TW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	TW	<u>CCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

MSDS

1	LDW-SS1-010	11	LDW-SS96-010	21	<u>MB-030305</u>	31	
2	LDW-SS5-010	12	LDW-SS67-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS12-010	13	LDW-SS54-010	23		33	
4	LDW-SS13-010	14	LDW-SS42-010	24		34	
5	LDW-SS51-010	15	LDW-SS128-010	25		35	
6	LDW-SS116-010	16	LDW-SS52-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS76-010	17	LDW-SS33-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS44-010	18	LDW-SS1-010MS	28		38	
9	LDW-SS87-010	19	LDW-SS1-010MSD	29		39	
10	LDW-SS94-010	20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-c)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	NN. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 132980cb
SDG #: HU13

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: ST
2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 3/3/05 Blank analysis date: 3/4/05

Conc. units: ug/kg Associated Samples: NA

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
	MB-030305	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
LL	16	17/U	14/U	14/U	13/U	6.6/U	7.3/U	14/U	11/U	9.3/U	

Blank extraction date: same Blank analysis date: NA
Conc. units: ug/kg Associated Samples: NA

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
	MB-030305	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
LL	16	14/U	12/U	12/U	15/U	14/U	14/U	7.8/U	36/U		

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

BNA_blank.wpd

LDC #: 1309802b
SDG #: HU17

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: DF
2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Was a LCS required?

N N/A Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>LCS-030305</u>	<u>TH</u>	<u>88 (40-130)</u>	()	()	<u>mp + Bk</u>	<u>XUYP</u>
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Sulfur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW A	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SS13-010	11	MB - 12405	21		31	
2	LDW-SS13-010MS	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS13-010MSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

note: per case narrative: lab extracted sample batch for in-house levels. client was notified.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE. <i>Hexachlorobiphenylene</i>	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

LDC #: 13204 A3a
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/ECD Instrument performance check				
Was the instrument performance found to be acceptable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the required standard concentrations analyzed in the initial calibration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or ___%R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were Evaluation mix standards analyzed prior to the initial calibration and sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were endrin and 4,4'-DDT breakdowns \leq 15%.0 for individual breakdown in the Evaluation mix standards?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were extract cleanup blanks analyzed with every batch requiring clean-up?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks or clean-up blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204A3a
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions, dry weight factors, and clean-up activities applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204A3a
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS D) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level I/II Only
 Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS D %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>LCS-012405</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>(50-150)</u>	()	<u>All + BIK</u>	<u>1/10/19</u>
		<u>R</u>	<u>19.4</u>	<u>()</u>	()	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>
		<u>EE</u>	<u>48.4</u>	<u>()</u>	()	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13204A30
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound
- C = Concentration of compound
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF ^{0.2} (0.02std)	CF ^{0.2} (0.02std)	Average CF (Initial)	Average CF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	8081A STX-CUP1	1/11/05	Endosulfan I methoxychlor	1.0319 0.4182	1.0319 0.4182	0.9623 0.3868	0.9623 0.3868	7.4 12.0	7.4 12.0		
2	STX-CUP2	↓	↓	1.0393 0.4052	1.0393 0.4052	0.9641 0.3733	0.9641 0.3733	7.3 12.6	7.3 12.6		
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

LDC #: 13204A3a
 SDG #: H867

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

% Difference = $100 * \frac{(ave. CF - CF)}{ave. CF}$
 CF = A/C

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ical)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	cen.	1/27/05	endosulfan /	0.019	5	0.019	5	
	1115		methoxychlor	0.18	10.0	0.18	10.0	
	STX-aup1							
2		↓	↓	0.020	0	0.020	0	
	STX-cup1			0.17	15.0	0.17	15.0	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3a
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	SFX CVP1					
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	↘	0.04	0.0493	61.6	61.6 +23.7	0
Decachlorobiphenyl	SFX CVP2	↓	0.0583	72.9	72.9 +45.7	↓
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204A3a
SDG #: H167

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * |MS - MSD| / (MS + MSD)$ MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 2 + 3

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix Spike		Matrix Spike Duplicate		MS/MSD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
gamma-BHC	30.9	30.9	NP	19.9	19.2	64.4	64.4	61.9	61.9	3.6	3.6
Heptachlor	↓	↓		22.1	21.7	71.8	71.8	70.0	70.0	2.3	2.3
Aldrin	↓	↓		23.5	23.3	76.1	76.1	75.2	75.2	0.9	0.9
Dieldrin	61.8	61.8		48.8	47.1	79.0	79.0	76.1	76.1	3.5	3.5
Endrin	↓	↓		55.3	52.9	89.5	89.5	85.5	85.5	4.4	4.4
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓		50.1	47.7	81.1	81.1	77.1	77.1	4.9	4.9

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
 SA = Spike added

SC = Concentration

RPD = $100 * |LCS - LCSD| / (LCS + LCSD)$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery

LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 12409

Compound	Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Gamma-BHC	6.67	NA	0	NA	3.07	NA	46	46				
Heptachlor	↓	↓	↓	↓	5.40	↓	81	81				
Aldrin	↓	↓	↓	↓	5.62	↓	84.3	84.3				
Dieldrin	13.3	↓	↓	↓	10.2	↓	76.7	76.7				
Endrin	↓	↓	↓	↓	10.5	↓	78.9	78.9				
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓	↓	↓	10.8	↓	81.2	81.2	NA			

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3a
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: FB
2nd reviewer: RS

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Example:

Sample I.D. _____:

Conc. = (_____)
(_____)

= all ND

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

Note: _____

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	<u>LDW - SS18 - 010</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples <u>/SRM</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>LES</u>
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	<u>sulphur clean up performed</u>
XI.	Target compound identification	<u>N A</u>	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	<u>SW X</u>	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	<u>ND</u>	<u>D = 1 + 2</u>
XV.	Field blanks	<u>N</u>	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
sediment

1	LDW-SS27-010 <u>D</u>	11	<u>MB - 012405</u>	21		31	
2	LDW-SS200-010 <u>D</u>	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS32-010	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

note: Per narrative: samples mistakenly extracted using inhouse levels.
 Client was notified.
 SPM same in 13204B.

LDC #: 13204 B3a
 SDG #: HP7D

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/ECD instrument performance check				
Was the instrument performance found to be acceptable?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20%?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?		✓		
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?			✓	
Were the RT windows properly established?	✓			
Were the required standard concentrations analyzed in the initial calibration?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or ___%R	✓			
Were Evaluation mix standards analyzed prior to the initial calibration and sample analysis?	✓			
Were endrin and 4,4'-DDT breakdowns ≤ 15%.0 for individual breakdown in the Evaluation mix standards?	✓			
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	✓			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Were extract cleanup blanks analyzed with every batch requiring clean-up?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks or clean-up blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	✓			
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	

LDC #: 13204B3a
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	/			
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	/			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	/			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?		/		
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			/	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?			/	
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions, dry weight factors, and clean-up activities applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XV. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204B3a
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: AC

METHOD: GC ~~HPLC~~

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = 100 * (S/X)
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF 0.2 (0.02 std)	CF 0.2 (0.02 std)	Average CF (Initial)	Average CF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	8081A STX-REP1	1/21/05	endosulfan I methoxychlor	1.0319 0.4182	1.0319 0.4182	0.9623 0.3868	0.9623 0.3868	7.4 12.0	7.4 12.0		
2	STX-REP2	↓	↓	1.0393 0.4052	1.0393 0.4052	0.9641 0.3733	0.9611 0.3733	7.3 12.6	7.3 12.6		
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

LDC #: 17204B3a
 SDG #: HP70

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC, HPLC

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$ Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = A/C CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF (cal)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	COV INS STX CUP I	1/27/05	endosulphan I methoxychlor	0.020	5	0.019	5	
				0.20	10.0	0.18	10.0	
2	STX CUP I	↓	↓	✓	0	0.020	0	
					15.0	0.17	15.0	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 B3a
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	STX-CP1	0.04	0.0477	59.6	119 59.6	0
Decachlorobiphenyl	STX-CP2	↓	0.0544	68.0	136 68	0
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Concentration
 SA = Spike added
 $\% \text{ Recovery} = 100 * (\text{SSC}-\text{SC})/\text{SA}$
 $\text{RPD} = | \text{MS} - \text{MSD} | * 2 / (\text{MS} + \text{MSD})$
 MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 10W-5513-010

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
gamma-BHC	30.9	30.9	NP	19.9	19.2	64.4	64.4	61.9	61.9	3.6	3.6
Heptachlor	↓	↓	↓	22.2	21.7	71.8	71.8	70.0	70.0	2.3	2.3
Aldrin	↓	↓	↓	23.5	23.3	76.1	76.1	75.2	75.2	0.9	0.9
Dieldrin	61.8	61.8	↓	48.8	47.1	79.0	79.0	76.1	76.1	3.5	3.5
Endrin	↓	↓	↓	55.3	52.9	89.5	89.5	85.5	85.5	4.4	4.4
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓	↓	50.1	47.7	81.1	81.1	77.1	77.1	4.9	4.9

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12204B20
 SDG #: WP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC-SA) / SA$

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
 SA = Spike added

SC = Concentration

RPD = $|(LCS - LCSD) / ((LCS + LCSD) / 2)| * 100$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery

LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 12405

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Gamma-BHC	1.67	UA	0	3.07	UA	46	46				
Heptachlor	↓	↓	↓	5.40	↓	81	81				
Aldrin	↓	↓	↓	5.62	↓	84.3	84.3				
Dieldrin	13.3	↓	↓	10.2	↓	76.7	76.7				
Endrin	↓	↓	↓	10.5	↓	78.9	78.9				
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓	↓	10.8	↓	81.2	81.2	NA			

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B3a
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?

Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Example:

Sample I.D. _____:

Conc. = (_____)
(_____)

=

all NP

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

Note: _____

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 01/19/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	sulphur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQI s	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
 sediment

1	LDW-SS-99-010	11	MB-012805	21		31	
2	LDW-SS-99-010MS	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS-99-010MSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 845 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/9 - 1/20/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-99-010, LDW-SS134-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisol cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	subsequent clean-up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sdi meals*

1	LDW-SS13B-010	11	MB - 012805	21	31
2	LDW-SS125-010	12	MB - 020105	22	32
3	LDW-SS126-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS116-010	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS127-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS129-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS76-010	17	<i>2/11/05</i>	27	37
8	LDW-SS84-010	18	<i>5x</i>	28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

SRM per batch # 1 in LDC # 13204C

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 10
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 1320403a
 SDG #: H&I7 #1904

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS D) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level M/D Only
 Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS D %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	LCS-020105	R	36.8 (50-150)	()	()	MB-020105, 7	J/W/P
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
	LCS-012805	R	31.0 (↓)	()	()	MB-012805, 1-6, B	↓
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
	NO SRM	ATL	()	()	()	MB-020105, 7	J/W/P
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	SW	LES
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	sulfur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	MSW	D = 1 + 6
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediments*

1	LDW-SS50-010 D	11	LDW-SS58-010	21	MB-020105	31
2	LDW-SS55-010	12	LDW-SS28-010	22	MB-020409	32
3	LDW-SS72-010	13	LDW-SS134-010	23		33
4	LDW-SS79-010	14	LDW-SS134-010MS	24		34
5	LDW-SS54-010	15	LDW-SS134-010MSD	25		35
6	LDW-SS202-010 D	16		26		36
7	LDW-SS42-010	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS128-010	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS64-010	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS36-010	20		30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

LDC # 13234A3a
SDG # A84B
METHOD: GC HPLC
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: RB
2nd Reviewer: RC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N, N/A Were a laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS) and laboratory control sample analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y, N, N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level IV/D Only
Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>LCS-020105</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>36.8</u> (<u>50-150</u>)	()	()	<u>MB-020105, All except #7</u>	<u>Y/N/P</u>
	<u>LCS-020405</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>43.5</u> (<u>↓</u>)	()	()	<u>MB-020405, 7</u>	<u>↓</u>
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13234B3a

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/10/05

SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/21/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-99-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SS87-010	11	MB-012809	21		31	
2	LDW-SS96-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS63-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS70-010	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

LDC #: 13234B3a
SDG #: HQ27

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Y/N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/D) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y/N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level: M/D Only
Y/N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS/D %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>132-012805</u>	<u>R</u>	<u>31.0</u> (50-150)	()	()	<u>All + B/K</u>	<u>JWS/P</u>
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13234E3a

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/25/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW - 55134-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	SW	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	LDW-SS92-010	11	MB-020105	21	31
2	LDW-SS104-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS115-010	13		23	33
4		14		24	34
5		15		25	35
6		16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

LDC #: 12234632
SDG #: HQ56

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level I(W/D) Only
Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
 Yes No

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	LCS-020105	R	36.8 (SD-159)	()	()	All + 31k	J/w/P
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Silica gel clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SS130-010	11	MB-030305	21		31	
2	LDW-SS130-010MS	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS130-010MSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area		Comments	
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17 - 1/21/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	DUP N/A	NO MS/MSD test
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SRM SW/A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Silica gel clean-up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	D = 1 + 3
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SS5-010	11	MB-031105	21	31
2	LDW-SS67-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS5-010DUP	13		23	33
4		14		24	34
5		15		25	35
6		16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

LDC #: 13298D3a
SDG #: #108

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level I/M/D Only
Y N (N/A) Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	lcs-031105	R	36.5	50-150	()	All + BIK	JMJ/P
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP67
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level ~~II~~ IV

Date: 3/4/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	NA	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes / IS	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisol cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Acid + sulfur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1+	LDW-SS1-010	11	LDW-SS5-010MSD	21	31
2+	LDW-SS4-010	12	MB-012405	22	32
3	LDW-SS5-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS10A0	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS12-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS14-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS15-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS22-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS13-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS5-010MS	20		30	40

SRM/Proctor 1254 = 120 ug/kg
 (SRM SQ-1)

LDC #: 13204A36
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___ %D or %R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP6-1

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: FB
 2nd Reviewer: PC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			/	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?		/		
Were target compounds detected in the field duplicates?			/	
XV. Field blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?		/		
Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". No: applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y/N) N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks? Y

(Y/N) N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? Y

#	Sample ID	Detector/ (Column)	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	2	ZB5	DCB	234 (50-150)	J/P defect
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

note: Lab thinks that they spiked it twice

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octacosane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Dinitrotoluene	
C a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (CCB)	U Triphenyltin	
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methylphthalene	V Tri-n-propyltin	
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate	
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate	

LDC #: 13204A36
SDG #: A867

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery / 15

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?

Y N N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
1	ZBS		Heptachlorobiphenyl	65555358 (66506087-2106024218)	J/NJ/P Equal All except AA+BB
2				55076972	↓
3				66177898	↓ J/NJ/A
5				54440457	↓ Equal All except AA+BB
6				59559589	↓ J/NJ/P
7				57122450	↓ Equal All except AA+BB
8				53377065	↓
9				54405003	↓

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octacosane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Dinitrotoluene
C a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (DCB)	U Triphenyltin
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methylnaphthalene	V Tri-n-propyltin
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 13204A3b
SDG #: HP67

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Y N N/A Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

Y N N/A Did the percent difference of detected compounds between two columns/detectors \leq 40%?

If no, please see findings below.

#	Compound Name	Sample ID	% ^D Between Two Columns/Detectors		Qualifications
			% RPD	Limit (\leq 40%)	
	BB	7	40.0	41	N/A det
	Y	8	46.2	46	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 3204A3b
SDG #: 1867

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nc Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC / HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (0.25std)	CF (0.25 std)	Average CF (initial)	Average CF (initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	Arachol 1016/1260 1CA V	128/05	Arachol 1260-1 (ZB5)	0.0284	0.0184	0.0279	0.0279	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
2			↓ (ZB35)	0.0567	0.0567	0.0587	0.0587	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nc Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC ✓ HPLC _____

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$ Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = A/C CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ical)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	8082 c/v ZB5	1/28/05 16:26	Arcebor 1260-1	0.50	0.50 0.57	14.5	14.5	
2	ZB35	↓	↓	↓	0.52	4.5	4.5	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: A167

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

METHOD: GC HPLC

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$
 Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 1

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
TCM X	ZB5	0.040	0.0370	92.6	92.5	0
PCB	↓	↓	0.0522	130.4	130.5	0

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HPE7

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 10
 Reviewer: P
 2nd Reviewer: X

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = $100 * ((SC - SA) / SA)$

Where

SSC = Spiked sample concentration

SC = Sample concentration

SA = Spike added

MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

RPD = $((SSC - SSCMS) * 2) / ((SSC + SSCMS) * 100)$

MS/MSD samples: 10 + 11

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Conc. (ug/kg)	Spike Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (802'B)											
Methane (RS<-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8330)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											
Atoclor 1260	100	100	ND	102	101	102	102	101	101	1	1

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 2204A3b

Page: 1 of 1

SDG #: HPLC7

Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA

Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration

SC = Sample concentration

SA = Spike added

RPD = ((SSCLCS - SSCLCSD) * 2) / (SSCLCS + SSCLCSD) * 100

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 012405

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Sample Conc. (ug/L)	Spike Sample Concentration (ug/L)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (8021B)											
Methane (RSK-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8333)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											
Aroclor 1260	102	NA	0	102	NA	100	100	NA	NA		

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP67

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Concentration = $\frac{A(Fv)(Df)}{(RF)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$
 Example: Sample ID: #1 Compound Name: Aroclor 1260

A = Area or height of the compound to be measured
 Fv = Final Volume of extract
 Df = Dilution Factor
 RF = Average response factor of the compound in the initial calibration
 Vs = Initial volume of the sample
 Ws = Initial weight of the sample
 %S = Percent Solid

Concentration = $\frac{2914031 \times 0.05}{10076277 \times 5 \times 100} = \frac{26}{50 \mu g / kg}$

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations	Recalculated Results Concentrations	Qualifications
	Aroclor - 2 =	$\frac{2914031}{10076277} \times 0.05$			
	=	0.315			
	Aroclor (2 + 3 + 4)	$\frac{0.315 + 0.244 + 0.259}{3}$			

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204B3b
 SDG #: HP70
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level IV

Date: 3/4/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/18/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes / IS	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS5-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Acid + Sulphur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 4 + 5
XV.	Field blanks	ND	R = T RB = LDW-SS38-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil + water S

1	LDW-SS38-RB	11	LDW-SS51-010	S	21		31
2	LDW-SS23-010	12	MB-012405		22		32
3	LDW-SS26-010	13	MB-012205		23		33
4	LDW-SS27-010	14			24		34
5	LDW-SS200-010	15			25		35
6	LDW-SS32-010	16			26		36
7	LDW-SS37-010	17			27		37
8	LDW-SS38-010	18			28		38
9	LDW-SS40-010	19			29		39
10	LDW-SS48-010	20			30		40

SRM SA-1: 1254 = 120 ug/kg
 found in 13204A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204B3b
 SDG #: HP40

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC ~~HPLC~~

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
J. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or %R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204B36
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			/	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?	/			
Were target compounds idetected in the field duplicates?	/			
XV. Field blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?	/			
Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?		/		

LDC #: 13204B36
SDG #: HR70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery / 15

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N/N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks? Y

Y/N/N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? Y

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	Area	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
5		ZB5	Heptachlorobiphenyl	5297755	(55673917 - 212695668)	↓ UJ/P QUAL: V, W, X, Y, Z
6		↓		52779345	()	↓
9		↓		54738954	()	↓
10		↓		47839147	()	↓
11		↓		50253001	()	↓

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octacosane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Dinitrotoluene
C a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (DCB)	U Triphenyltin
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methylphthalene	V Tri-n-propyltin
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate

LDC # 13204B3b
 SDG #: 11P70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: R
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level I/ID Only

Y/N N/A

Y/N N/A

Y/N N/A

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

Did the percent difference of detected compounds between two columns./detectors ≤40%?

If no, please see findings below.

#	Compound Name	Sample ID	^{%RPD} %B Between Two Columns/Detectors Limit (≤ 40%)	Qualifications
	γ	9	44	N/A det

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LC #: 13204B3b

SDG #: HPTD

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	4	5		
Y	21	23	9	
AA	32	37	14	
BB	20u	40	200 NC	

Compound	Concentration ()		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

LDC #: 1320483b
SDG #: HPTD

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: B
2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: GC / HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (0.25 std)	CF (0.25 std)	Average CF (initial)	Average CF (initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	82401CAL ZB5	1/24/05	1260-1	0.0278	0.0278	0.0278	0.0278	5.0	5.0	8.0	8.0
	ZB39		↓	0.0555	0.0555	0.0576	0.0576	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6
2	ZB5	1/31/05	↓	0.0876	0.0876	0.0997	0.0997	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
	ZB35			0.0613	0.0613	0.0601	0.0601	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B3b
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 \times (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$ Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(cal)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	8082.CCV	1/31/05 1427	1260-1 ZB5 ↓ ZB35	0.49 0.50	0.50 0.49	1.2	1.2	
2				0.50	0.47	6.2	6.2	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B3b

SDG #: HPTD

METHOD: GC HPLC

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd reviewer: [Signature]

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100
Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
DEZBS	↓	0.04	0.0499	125	125	0
TEMP	↓	↓	0.0351	87.8	87.8	↓

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

$\% Recovery = 100 * ((SSC - SC) / SA)$ Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration, SA = Spike added, SC = Sample concentration

$RPD = \frac{((SSCMS - SSCMSD) * 2)}{(SSCMS + SSCMSD)} * 100$ Where SSCMS = Matrix spike, SSCMSD = Matrix spike duplicate

MS/MSD samples: LDW-355-010

Compound	Spike Added		Sample Conc.		Spike Sample Concentration		Matrix spike		Matrix Spike Duplicate		MS/MSD	
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)												
Diesel (8015)												
Benzene (8021B)												
Meihane (RSK-175)												
2,4-D (8151)												
Dinoseb (8151)												
Naphthalene (8310)												
Anthracene (8310)												
HMX (8330)												
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)												

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B30

SDG #: HPTO

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature] 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = 100 * ((SSC - SC)/SA)

Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration
SA = Spike added

SC = Sample concentration

RPD = (((SSCLCS - SSCLCSD) * 2) / ((SSCLCS + SSCLCSD))) * 100

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

LCS/LCSD samples: _____

Compound	Spike Added ()		Sample Conc. ()	Spike Sample Concentration ()		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD				
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.			

Gasoline (8015)														
Diesel (8015)														
Benzene (8021B)														
Methane (RSK-175)														
2,4-D (8151)														
Dinoseb (8151)														
Naphthalene (8310)														
Anthracene (8310)														
HMX (8330)														
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 B3b
 SDG #: HPTD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A)(Fv)(Df)}{(RF)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$ Example:
 Sample ID: #2 Compound Name: 1260

Concentration = $0.157 \times 5 \times 1000 = 26.2$
 $= 30 \text{ ug/kg}$

A= Area or height of the compound to be measured
 Fv= Final Volume of extract
 Df= Dilution Factor
 RF= Average response factor of the compound in the initial calibration
 Vs= Initial volume of the sample
 Ws= Initial weight of the sample
 %S= Percent Solid

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations	Recalculated Results Concentrations	Qualifications
	Aroclor 1260-2	$(1609390)(0.05)$	=		
		$(9452964)(0.0468)$			
		= 0.182			
	$1260 - (2 + 3 + 4)$	= 0.182 to 172 + 0.118	=	0.157	
			3		

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204C3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 2/4/05

SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 ~~2-1069~~

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/09</u>
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes <u>TS</u>	A <u>SW</u>	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	<u>SIA</u>	<u>LDW-9597-010</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples <u>/SRM</u>	A	<u>LS</u>
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	<u>SW</u>	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	<u>SW</u>	<u>D = 7 + 8</u>
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	2	LDW-SS-111-010	<u>5</u>	11	<u>MB-012609</u>	21		31	
2		LDW-SS-112-010		12	<u>2 MB-02015</u>	22		32	
3		LDW-SS-119-010		13		23		33	
4		LDW-SS-120-010		14		24		34	
5		LDW-SS-60-010		15		25		35	
6		LDW-SS-99-010		16		26		36	
7		LDW-SS-89-010	<u>D</u>	17		27		37	
8		LDW-SS-201-010	<u>D</u>	18		28		38	
9		LDW-SS-60-010MS		19		29		39	
10		LDW-SS-60-010MSD		20		30		40	

(Batch #1) SRM SG-1 (1254 = 120 ug/kg)
~~No SRM associated w/ batch #2~~

DC #: 13204C3b
 SDG #: HPD
VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: B
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Level N/D Only
 N N/A
 N N/A
 Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?
 Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	sample ID - Finding	%RFD Bit column = 40 Associated Samples	Qualifications
	BB	# 1	80	J/A det
	↓	3	44	↓
	↓	4	63	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 13204236
SDG #: HPPD

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

METHOD: GC HPLC
 Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
AA	7	8	192	
BB	2300	39	187	

Compound	Concentration ()		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples

note # 7 20X oil

LDC #: 13204D3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ17 & HQ04

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/4/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes <i>TS</i>	A <i>SW</i>	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	<i>ASW</i>	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples <i>/SRM</i>	A	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	<i>Acid + Sulfur clean up performed</i>
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQI s	<i>SW</i>	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	<i>SW</i>	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinstate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediments*

1	LDW-SS114-010	11	LDW-SS76-010	21	31
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22	32
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS84-010DL	23	33
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS116-010MS	24	34
5	LDW-SS126-010	15	LDW-SS116-010MSD	25	35
6	LDW-SS116-010	16	<i>MB-012905</i>	26	36
7	LDW-SS127-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS130-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS129-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS118-010	20		30	40

SRM 6A-1 (1254 = 75ug/kg)

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

LDC #: 13204 D3 6
SDG #: H017-#804

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Y N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y N N/A Was an MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
Y N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within QC limits?

#	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	14915	BB	49.8 (50-150)	()	()	MAH 6	J/J/A QUAL AA, BB + Z
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

LDC #: 13204D36
SDG #: 1917 + #204

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: RC
2nd Reviewer: RC

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level **I/D** Only

Y N **N/A**

Y N **N/A**

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	sample ID Finding	%RPD but 2 column Associated Samples	Qualifications
	BB	2	50	N/A det
	BB	6	41	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level IV/D Only

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?
 Y N N/A

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?
 Y N N/A

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	sample 10			
	12	2 exceeded cal range		J/A det

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 13204036
 SDG #: H917 & H904

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	Z, AA, BB	Z, exceeded cal range AA & BB Jones result	12	R/A
	All except Above	diluted	13	R/A

Comments:

LDC #: 13234A3b
 SDG #: HQ48
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/10/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS97-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Acid + Sulphur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XIV.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 2 + 8 3 + 8
XV.	Field blanks	NO	RB = 15 LDW-SS64-RB

Notc: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	LDW-SS17-010	11	LDW-SS128-010	21	LDW-SS56-010 ✓	31	MB-012005
2	LDW-SS50-010 D	12	LDW-SS142-010	22	LDW-SS28-010	32	MB-020105 (1/1)
3	LDW-SS50-010DL	13	LDW-SS123-010	23	LDW-SS134-010	33	MB-020205
4	LDW-SS55-010	14	LDW-SS203-010	24	LDW-SS203-010MS	34	
5	LDW-SS72-010	15	LDW-SS64-RB ✓	25	LDW-SS203-010MSD	35	
6	LDW-SS79-010	16	LDW-SS64-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS54-010	17	LDW-SS83-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS202-010 D	18	LDW-SS36-010	28		38	
9	LDW-SS42-010	19	LDW-SS58-010	29		39	
10	LDW-SS102-010	20	LDW-SS57-010	30		40	

SRM in 13234B = 1254 = 120ug/kg for 020105

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

LDC #: 13234A 3b
SDG #: H248

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: R
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level ~~M/D~~ Only

~~X~~ N ~~N/A~~

~~X~~ N ~~N/A~~

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	% RPD <i>Between adj. mⁿ</i> Associated Samples \pm 40	Qualifications
	BB	12	42	N/A det
	BB	21	44	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

DC #: 13234A3b
 SDG #: HQ48

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Level I/II Only
 Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?
 Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	Z, AA	exceeded cal range	2	N/A det

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 13234A3b
SDG #: H048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 10 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	Z, AA, BB	exceeded cal range (Z, AA) Inner Result (BB)	2	R/A
	All except Above	diluted	3	↓

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234A3b
 SDG #: HQ48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	Z	8		
Z	300	160	61	
AA	280	150	60	
BB	110	59	60	

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	3	8		
Z	330	160	69	
AA	320	150	72	
BB	140	59	81	

LDC #: 13234B3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28-

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05 - 1/21/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SWA	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	ND	LDW-SS43-RB = R RB = LDW-SS43-RB

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75-010	21	MB-020105	31	
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS43-RB	22	MB-012005	32	
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS97-010MS	23	MB-012005	33	
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS97-010MSD	24		34	
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS101-010	25		35	
6	LDW-SS97-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS31-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS67-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS63-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS70-010	20		30		40	

SRM 50-1 = 124 = 1204/105 (020105)

LDC #: 13234D3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEETDate: 3/10/05SDG #: HR49

Level II

Page: of 7Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.Reviewer: F72nd Reviewer: CV**METHOD:** GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/31 - 2/2/05</u>
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	Δ	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	Δ	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N ND	EB = 2, 10 F7

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

sediment

1	SC-SS1a-010	11	LU-SS9a-010MS	21	<u>MB-020905</u>	31	
2	EB-SS2a-010 <u>ok don't dilute</u>	12	LU SS9a 010MSD	22		32	
3	LW-SS3-010	13		23		33	
4	SB-SS6-010	14		24		34	
5	DRD-SS7-010	15		25		35	
6	LU-SS9a-010	16		26		36	
7	LU-SS9b-010	17		27		37	
8	UB-SS8-010	18		28		38	
9	LW-SS6-010	19		29		39	
10	EB-SS2b-010 <u>ok don't dilute</u>	20		30		40	

note: No SRM was analyzed due to analyst oversight

LDC #: 13234D36
 SDG #: HR49

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?
 N A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	8	not specified	TCMX	35.2 (50-150)	J/UL/P
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octacosane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Dinitrotoluene	
C a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (DCB)	U Triphenyltin	
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methylbiphtalene	V Tri-n-propyltin	
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate	
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate	

LDC #: 13234D
SDG #: HR49

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

METHOD: LC HPLC

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: RZ
2nd Reviewer: RZ

SRM

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Y N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level: W/D Only
Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 2C samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	No SRM	was analyzed	()	()	()	All	none / p text
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13234E3b
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/10/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area			Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05 → 1/25/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	LDW
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	-SS97 - 010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	ND	R = + RB = LDW-SS10-RB

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *submit*

1	LDW-SS110-RB	11	LDW-SS121-010	21	MB-020205	31	
2	LDW SS52 010	12	LDW SS121-010DL ²	22	MB-020105	32	
3	LDW-SS92-010	13	LDW-SS88-010	23		33	
4	LDW-SS92-010DL	14	LDW-SS88-010DL	24		34	
5	LDW-SS104-010	15	LDW-SS33-010	25		35	
6	LDW-SS110-010	16	LDW-SS49-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS110-010DL	17	LDW-SS143-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS109-010	18	LDW-SS143-010DL	28		38	
9	LDW-SS109-010DL	19	LDW-SS92-010MS	29		39	
10	LDW-SS115-010	20	LDW-SS92-010MSD	30		40	

SRM for 020105 = 184 = 120ug/lk
 in 13234B

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 13234E26
 SDG #: H952 + H957

METHOD: VGC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?

Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

Y N N/A

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
18	not spiked	DCB		(50 - 150)	MC QUAL SOX P/L
		TCMX		()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octacosane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Din Itoluene	
C a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (DFB)	U Triphenyltin	
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methyl/naphthalene	V Tri-n-propyltin	
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate	
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate	

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

LDC #: 13224536
SDG #: 4056 + 4057

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 N N/A Was an MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
 N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within QC limits?

#	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>19+20</u>	<u>BB</u>	<u>% recovery</u>	<u>not reported</u>	<u>()</u>		<u>no QUAL</u>
			<u>Percent expected each range</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		<u>parent 72x</u>
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		<u>Spike And</u>
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		
			<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>	<u>()</u>		

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

LDC #: 13234E36
SDG #: HR56 + HR57

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Level **1/1/0** Only
Y N N/A Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?
Y N N/A Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	BB	44	12	N/A det

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

DC #: 12234E3b
 SDG #: HQ520 + HRS7

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: R
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Level **I/V/D Only**
 Y N **N/A** Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?
 Y N **N/A** Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	AA, BB	exceeded cal range	3, 11, 13	N/A det
	AA	↓	6, 8	↓
	Y	↓	17	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 13234536
 SDG #: H856 & H857

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	AA + BB	exceeded cal range	3, 11, 13	R/A
	all except AA + BB	diluted	4, 12, 14	
	AA	exceeded cal range	6, 8	
	All except AA	diluted	7, 9	
	Y	exceeded cal range	17	
	all except Y	diluted	18 ↓	

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13298A3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ93

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/29/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/29/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	NA	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	SWA	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SSCR20-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-MSMP43-010MS	14		24		34	
5	LDW-MSMP43-010MSD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 13298 A36
 SDG #: 17873

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: K GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Y N/ N A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?
Y N/ N A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	<u>MB-020705</u>	<u>not specified</u>	<u>DGB</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>VIP det</u>

A	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound						Surrogate Compound
		G	H	I	J	K	L	
	Chlorobenzene (CBZ)							1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene
	4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)							3,4-Dinitrotoluene
	a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene							Triphenyltin
	Bromochlorobenzene							Tri-n-propyltin
	1,4-Dichlorobutane							Tributyl Phosphate
	1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)							Triphenyl Phosphate

LDC #: 13204A4
 SDG #: HP67
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level *IV*

Date: *3/4/05*
 Page: *1 of 1*
 Reviewer: *WH*
 2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/^{*200.8*}~~6020~~/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <i>1/17/05</i>
II.	Calibration	<i>A X</i>	
III.	Blanks	<i>A</i>	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	<i>SW</i>	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	<i>SW</i>	<i>3 MS/pmp LDW-SS23-010</i>
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	<i>A</i>	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	<i>A</i>	<i>LCS + SRM</i>
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	<i>A</i>	
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	<i>N</i>	<i>N.T Utilized</i>
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	<i>N</i>	<i>Not required</i>
XI.	Sample Result Verification	<i>X N SW</i>	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	<i>A</i>	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	<i>N</i>	
XIV.	Field Blanks	<i>N</i>	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SS1-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SS4-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS5-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS10-010	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS12-010	15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS14-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS15-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS22-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS13-010	19		29		39	
10	<i>PB</i>	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204 A4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WJG
 2nd Reviewer: DL

Method: Metals (EPA SW 826 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Was a midrange cyanide standard distilled?			✓	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet		✓		
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	✓			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	✓			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of ± 1 RL (± 2 RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5 X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5 X the RL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?			✓	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections?			✓	

LDC #: 13204 A4
 SDG #: 4467

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WV
 2nd Reviewer: R

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%?			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?		✓		
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?			✓	
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.			✓	
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA CW 846 Method 6000)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 90-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?			✓	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 1304A4
SDG #: HP67

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference**

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: ME
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-9	Sediment	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

ICP Interference Check Sample

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 8010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Were ICP interference check samples performed as required?
 N N/A Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 80-120%?
 LEVEL IV ONLY:
 N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

#	Date	ICP Identification	Analyte	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	1/26/05	ICSA	Se	-67.2 ug/L	2, 6, 8. (Fe, 79070 In, 805A)	UT/P
2					3-5, 7, 9 (Al, Ca, Mg, Fe (9070 in ICSA))	No found.

Comments:

LDC #: 13204A4
SDG #: 4167

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd Reviewer: ac

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
- Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Y N N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?
 70-130

LEVEL IV ONLY:

Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	LDN-SS-23-010	Sediment	SB	3.7	All	F-R/A - JM/JA (post spike was not performed > 25%)

Comments:

LDC #: 13204 A4
SDG #: H167

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: CB

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	Lab Reported RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sb, As, Tr.	6020.	200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Test.

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204A4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MY
 2nd Reviewer: PK

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$

Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	Reported %R	
ICV	ICP (Initial calibration)	Pb	1972	2000	97.1	97.1	Y
↓	GFAA (Initial calibration) ICP/As	As	50.757	50	101.5	101.5	
	CVAA (Initial calibration)	Hg	8.82	8.0	110.3	110.3	
CCV	ICP (Continuing calibration)	Co	999.9	1000	100.0	100.0	
↓	GFAA (Continuing calibration) ICP/Te	Te	50.672	50	101.3	101.3	
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)	Hg	4.35	4.0	108.8	108.8	
	Cyanide (Initial calibration)						
	Cyanide (Continuing calibration)						

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320464
 SDG #: 1467

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JMK
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metas (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%F) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \cdot x \cdot 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,
 Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SF (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D			
TUSMS	ICP interference check	Zn	886.8	1000	88.7	88.7	88.7		Y
L-9	Laboratory control sample	cd	50.7	500	101	94.5 101			
LWW-553-010	Matrix spike	Ag (SSR-SR)	60.6	61.9	91.9	91.9	91.9		Y
[Signature]	Duplicate	Ni	9.74	9.74	3.1		10.5		N
[Signature]	ICP serial dilution								

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 A4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MWR
 2nd reviewer: α

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for As were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(\text{RD})(\text{FV})(\text{Dil})}{(\text{In. Vol.})(\%S)}$$

Recalculation:

$$\text{As} = \frac{4.764 \mu\text{g/L} \times 0.05 \text{L} \times 20}{1.056 \text{g} \times 0.727} = 6.2 \mu\text{g/g}$$

- RD = Raw data concentration
- FV = Final volume (ml)
- In Vol = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
- Dil = Dilution factor
- %S = Decimal percent solids

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	As	6.2	6.2	Y
	Cr	18.9	18.9	Y
	Co	4.9	4.9	
	Cu	32.3	32.3	
	Pb	27	27	
	Hg	0.09	0.09	
	Mn	1.1	1.1	
	Ni	10	10	
	V	42.3	42.3	
	Zn	60.3	60.3	

LDC #: 13204B4
 SDG #: HP70
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level: III

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: hwy
 2nd Reviewer: pk

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
II.	Calibration	<u>A</u>	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	<u>SW</u>	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	<u>SW</u>	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	<u>LCS + SRM</u>
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	<u>kit reviewed</u>
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	<u>kit validated</u>
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	<u>kit required</u>
XI.	Sample Result Verification	<u>SW</u>	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	<u>SW</u>	<u>(4.5)</u>
XIV.	Field Blanks	<u>ND</u>	<u>RB = LDW-SS38-RB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	LDW-SS38-RB <u>As</u>	11	LDW-SS51-010 <u>Subst</u>	21		31
2	LDW-SS23-010 <u>Subst</u>	12	LDW-SS23-010MS	22		32
3	LDW-SS26-010	13	LDW-SS23-010DUP	23		33
4	LDW-SS27-010	14	<u>RB</u>	24		34
5	LDW-SS200-010	15		25		35
6	LDW-SS32-010	16		26		36
7	LDW-SS37-010	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS38-010	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS40-010	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS48-010	20		30		40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204134
 SDG #: 12970

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MH
 2nd Reviewer: SC

Method: ⁴Metals (EPA SW 826 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Was a midrange cyanide standard distilled?			✓	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	✓			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	✓			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\pm RL$ ($\pm 2X RL$ for soil) was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the RL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?			✓	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections?			✓	

LDC #: 13204B4
 SDG #: 48070

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WV
 2nd Reviewer: JK

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%?			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?		✓		
Were all percent differences (%Ds) ≤ 10%?			✓	
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.			✓	
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 8000)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 60-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?				
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?				
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC #: 13204 B4
SDG #: 4870

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd reviewer: DC

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
2-11	M/ Subst	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
m 12, 13	Subst	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
ICP Interference Check Sample

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 8010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were ICP interference check samples performed as required?

N N/A Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 80-120% ?

N N/A LEVEL IV ONLY:

N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Date	ICS Identification	Analyte	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	1/26/05	ZUSA	Se	-62.2 -67.2	6, 7, 9, 11 (Fe 7.90% in ZUSA)	WT/P
					1-5, 8	No. good (M, Ca, Mg, Fe < 90% in ZUSA)
2	1/27/05	ZUSA	Se Mg	-76.2 -5.5	10 ↓	↓

Comments:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

LDC #: 1320484
SDG #: 4470

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: My
2nd Reviewer: dx

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
Y N N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?
LEVEL IV ONLY:
Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	12	Sediment	Sb	3.7 (70-130)	All Sediment	J-R-TA 7/6/21 A (post spiked low matrix post processed > 245%)

Comments:

LDC #: 3204B4
SDG #: 4770

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	Lab Reported RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	Al1	Pb, As, Tr	6020	200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Text

Comments:

LDC#: 13204 B6
SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: my
2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	(S/D)
	4	5		
Arsenic	13.7	10.6	26	
Chromium	24	22.9	5	
Cobalt	6.4	6.3	2	
Copper	52.5	52.3	0	
Lead	28	30	7	
Mercury	0.11	0.12	9	
Nickel	14	14	0	
Vanadium	49.8	49.7	0	
Zinc	87	88	1	
Molybdenum	3	2.7	11	

LDC #: 13004 B4
 SDG #: 1000

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MW
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$
 Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	%R	%R	%R	
ICV	ICP (Initial calibration)	Pb	1942	2000	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	Y
↓	GFAA (Initial calibration)	As	50.757	50	101.5	101.5	101.5	101.5	
	CVAA (Initial calibration)	Hg	8.82	8.0	110.3	110.3	110.3	110.3	
CCV	ICP (Continuing calibration)	Mn	913.9	1000	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	
↓	GFAA (Continuing calibration)	Sb	50.95	50	101.9	101.9	101.9	101.9	
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)	Hg	4.35	4.0	108.8	108.8	108.8	108.8	Y
	Cyanide (Initial calibration)								
	Cyanide (Continuing calibration)								

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320484
 SDG #: 4470

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JWW
 2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \times 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,
 Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D			
2480	ICP interference check	Zn	886.8	1000	88.7	88.7	88.7	Y	
209	Laboratory control sample	Cd	50.7	50.0	101	101	101	Y	
12	Matrix spike	Ag	60.6 (SSR-SR)	61.9	97.9	97.9	97.9	Y	
13	Duplicate	Ni	9.44	9.74	3.1	3.1	10.5	Y	
14	ICP serial dilution								

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B4
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MVB
 2nd reviewer: OK

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

- Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
 - Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
 - Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for Zn were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(\text{RD})(\text{FV})(\text{Dil})}{(\text{In. Vol.})(\%S)}$$

Recalculation:

- RD = Raw data concentration
- FV = Final volume (ml)
- In. Vol. = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
- Dil = Dilution factor
- %S = Decimal percent solids

$$Cr = \frac{0.1299 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.05 \text{ L} \times 2 \times 1000 \text{ g/kg}}{1.062 \text{ g} \times 0.764} = 16.0 \text{ mg/kg}$$

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	As	4.5	4.5	Y
	Cr	16.0	16.0	
	Co	5.0	5.0	
	Cu	26.4	26.4	
	Pb	16	16	
	Hg	0.07	0.07	
	Mn	0.9	0.9	
	Ni	10	10	
	V	42.4	42.4	
	Zn	49.2	49.2	Y

LDC #: 13204C4

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/7/05

SDG #: HP90 & HQ69

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: *MM*

2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000) *200.8*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/19/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	SW	(7,8)
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *see below*

1	LDW-SS-111-010	11	<i>PB</i>	21	31
2	LDW-SS-112-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS-119-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS-120-010	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS-60-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS-99-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS-89-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS-201-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS-119-010MS	19		20	39
10	LDW-SS-119-010DUP	20		30	40

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

LDC #: 1320404
 SDG #: H19 0 + H10 69
 METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied:
 Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ Associatec Samples: A1 (> 10X)

Analyte	Maximum PB* (mg/Kg)	Maximum FB* (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB* (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	Sample Identification																								
					Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Cd	Ca	Cr	Co	Cu	Fe	Pb	Mg	Mn	Hg	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Tl	V	Zn	B	Mo
Al																													
Sb																													
As																													
Ba																													
Be																													
Cd																													
Ca																													
Cr																													
Co																													
Cu	0.2																												
Fe																													
Pb																													
Mg																													
Mn																													
Hg																													
Ni																													
K																													
Se																													
Ag																													
Na																													
Tl																													
V																													
Zn																													
B																													
Mo																													
Sr																													

No samples qualified.

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".
 Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? Y/N N/A
 Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. Y/N N/A
 Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery? Y/N N/A
 Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations. Y/N N/A

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	9	SeibinA	sb	3.3 (90-130)	A11	J-R/A (Post Spike → 2FA)

Comments:

LDC #: 1320464
 SDG #: 119.1 + 1069

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	Lab Reported RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sb, As, IR	6200	200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Text

Comments: _____

LDC#: 13204C4
 SDG#: HP90 + HP69

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WH
 2nd Reviewer: TC

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	
	7	8		
Arsenic	4.2	4.2	0	
Chromium	14.8	14.0	6	
Cobalt	4.9	4.8	2	
Copper	18.6	18.5	1	
Lead	10	9	11	
Nickel	10	9	11	
Vanadium	43.9	43.3	1	
Zinc	38.4	39.7	3	
Molybdenum	0.7	0.7	0	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\13204C4.wpd

LDC #: 13204D4
 SDG #: HQ17 & HQ04
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/7/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WY
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/^{290.8}~~6020/7000~~)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/19, 20/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	SW	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	N.T. reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.T. analyzed
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	N.T. requires
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SS114-010	11	LDW-SS76-010	21	31
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22	32
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS114-010MS	23	33
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS114-010DUP	24	34
5	LDW-SS126-010	15	PB	25	35
6	LDW-SS116-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS127-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS130-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS129-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS118-010	20		30	40

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Soil preparation factor applied: _____
 Associates Samples: All (70X)

LDC #: 1320404
 SDG #: HA-17
 METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)
 Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/kg

Analyte	Maximum PB* (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB* (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB* (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	Sample Identification
Al					Al
Sb					Sb
As					As
Ba					Ba
Be					Be
Cd					Cd
Ca					Ca
Cr					Cr
Cc					Co
Cu	0.2				Cu
Fe					Fe
Pb					Pb
Mg					Mg
Mn					Mn
Hg					Hg
Ni					Ni
K					K
Se					Se
Ag					Ag
Na					Na
Tl					Tl
V					V
Zn					Zn
B					B
Mo					Mo
Sr					Sr

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "ND".
 Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

LDC #: 320404 Page: 1 of 1
 SDG #: 16.17 Reviewer: My
 2nd Reviewer: AC

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
- Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
- Y N N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

LEVEL IV ONLY: Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	13	Subst	sb	4.3 (70-130)	6.1	J/A/A J/A/A (Post spike was sent for reanalysis)

Comments: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Duplicate Analysis

LDC #: 1320404
 SDG #: 1017

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MU
 2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y)N N/A Was a duplicate sample analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

(Y)N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for water samples and ≤ 35% for soil samples? If no, see qualifications below. A control limit of -R.L. (+2X R.L. for soil) was used for sample values that were <5X the R.L., including the case when only one of the duplicate sample values was <5X R.L.. If field blanks were used for laboratory duplicates, note in the Overall Assessment.

LEVEL IV ONLY:
 Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Duplicate ID	Matrix	Analyte	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	14	Sediment	cd	65.9 (530)	0.9 (±0.6) mg/kg	AM ↓	2/15/0 ↓

Comments:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

LDC #: 1320404
 SDG #: 1217

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	Lab Result (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	All	St. As, Pb	6000	Lab result 200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Test

Comments:

LDC #: 13234A4
 SDG #: HQ48
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: lm
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	SW	(2,7) (12,13)
XIV.	Field Blanks	SW	RB = 1 LDW-SS64-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: 14 Sediment ~~not # 14A~~

1	LDW-SS17-010	11	LDW-SS142-010	21	LDW-SS28-010	31	
2	LDW-SS50-010	12	LDW-SS123-010	22	LDW-SS134-010	32	
3	LDW-SS55-010	13	LDW-SS203-010	23	LDW-SS17-010MS	33	
4	LDW SS72-010	14	LDW-SS64-RB <u>As per</u>	24	LDW-SS17-010DUP	34	
5	LDW-SS79-010	15	LDW-SS64-010	25	LDW-SS134-010MS	35	
6	LDW-SS54-010	16	LDW-SS83-010	26	LDW-SS134-010DUP	36	
7	LDW-SS202-010	17	LDW-SS36-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS42-010	18	LDW-SS58-010	28		38	
9	LDW-SS102-010	19	LDW-SS57-010	29		39	
10	LDW-SS128-010	20	LDW-SS56-010	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234A4
 SDG #: HA 48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MB
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
<u>13, 15</u>	<u>sediment</u>	Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , Ag, Na, <u>Tl</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
<u>23, 24</u>	<u>↓</u>	Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , Ag, Na, <u>Tl</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
<u>25, 26</u>	<u>↓</u>	Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , Ag, Na, <u>Tl</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , Ag, Na, <u>Tl</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , <u>Mo</u> , B, Si, CN', ___
ICP-MS		Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, <u>Tl</u> , <u>V</u> , Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

LDC #: 13234A6 Page: 1 of 1
 SDG #: HO 48 Reviewer: MJ

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied: _____
 Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/kg Associate Samples: 1-13 15-21 (All > 10X PB)
 2nd Reviewer: _____

Analyte	Maximum PB* (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB* (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB* (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	Sample Identification																								
Al																													
Sb																													
As																													
Ba																													
Be																													
Cd																													
Ca																													
Cr																													
Co																													
Cu																													
Fe																													
Pb																													
Mg																													
Mn																													
Hg																													
Ni																													
K																													
Se																													
Ag																													
Na																													
Tl																													
V																													
Zn																													
B																													
Mo																													
Sr																													

No samples qualified

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".
 Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

LDC #: 1323464
 SDG #: 1040
 METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)
 Field blanks were identified in this SDG.
 Y N N/A
 Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?
 Y N N/A

Blank units: mg/L Associated sample units: mg/d Sox
 Sampling date: 1/24/05 Soil factor applied
 Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: FB Associated Samples: bl

Analyte	Blank ID	Blank Action Level	Sample Identification															
Zn	LDW-5864-RB	1.5																
	0.006																	

Blank units: _____ Associated sample units: _____
 Sampling date: _____ Soil factor applied _____
 Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Analyte	Blank ID	Blank Action Level	Sample Identification															

ALL RESULTS WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
 Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 3234 Ac1
SDG #: 4048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd Reviewer: X

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 60107000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y/N N/A) Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
(Y/N N/A) Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125% if the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

80pp Limit
↓

(Y/N) N/A) Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

LEVEL IV ONLY:
(Y/N N/A) Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	23	Solution	Sb	2.0 (70-130)	1-13, 15-21	F/R/A UAG/A (Post spike: use next page)
2	25	↓	Sb	2.1 (70-130)	22	↓

Comments:

LDC#: 13234 A4
 SDG#: 11048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: (of 2)
 Reviewer: WPT
 2nd Reviewer: PL

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000/200.8)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	
	2	7		
Arsenic	16.8	15.8	6	
Cadmium	1.2	1.3	8	
Chromium	44	45.5	3	
Cobalt	8.7	9.2	6	
Copper	89.4	88.6	1	
Lead	87	92	6	
Mercury	0.41	0.40	2	
Nickel	26	26	0	
Silver	1.2	1.4	15	
Vanadium	68.3	69.6	2	
Zinc	181	179	1	
Molybdenum	3	3.3	10	

LDC#: 13234A4
SDG#: HA48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 2 of 2
Reviewer: lwn
2nd Reviewer: af

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000/200.8)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	
	12	13		
Arsenic	7.2	7.5	4	
Cadmium	0.3	0.3	0	
Chromium	20.9	18.8	11	
Cobalt	7.0	6.6	6	
Copper	28.0	27.6	1	
Lead	18	17	6	
Mercury	0.08	0.08	0	
Nickel	14	13	7	
Vanadium	55.6	54.5	2	
Zinc	60.9	58.7	4	
Molybdenum	1.4	1.3	7	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\13234A4.wpd

LDC #: 13234B4

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/11/05

SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: *MM*

200.8

2nd Reviewer: *R*

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20, 21/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS-TERM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not verified
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required.
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	ND	RB = LDW-SS 43-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Equipment*

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75-010	21		31	
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS43-RB	22		32	
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS101-010	23		33	
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS43-010MS	24		34	
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS43-010DUP	25		35	
6	LDW-SS97-010	16	RB	26		36	
7	LDW-SS31-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS67-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS63-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS70-010	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234 B4
SDG #: HA27 + HA28

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd reviewer: B

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
L11,13	soil	Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Co</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , Ag, Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , <u>Mo</u> , B, Si, CN', ___
14,15	b	Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Co</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , Ag, Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , <u>Mo</u> , B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Cu</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, Hg, <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , Ag, Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , <u>Mo</u> , B, Si, CN', ___
ICP-MS		Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

LDC #: 13234B4
 SDG #: 1A-27-1A-28

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MW
 2nd Reviewer: PC

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N / N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 N / N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

N / N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?
app limits

LEVEL IV ONLY:
 N / N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	14	Substrate	Sb	214 (70-130)	A11	in J/R/A - 0607A (Post spiked was not performed)

Comments:

LDC #: 13234E4
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/14/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: *MM*
 2nd Reviewer: *SL*

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000) *200-8*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	D	Sampling dates: 1/25, 26/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	N.I.T reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.I.T validated
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	ND	RB = LDW-SS110-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Sediment.*

1	LDW-SS110-RB	11	LDW-SS49-010	21		31	
2	LDW-SS52-010	12	LDW-SS143-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS92-010	13	LDW-SS52-010MS	23		33	
4	LDW-SS104-010	14	LDW-SS52-010DUP	24		34	
5	LDW-SS110-010	15	<i>PB</i>	25		35	
6	LDW-SS109-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS115-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS121-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS88-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS33-010	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1323474
 SDG #: 4256 + 4257

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MO
 2nd reviewer: X

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-12	Sediment	Al, (Sb), (As), Ba, Be, (Cd), Ca, (Cr), (Co), (Cu), Fe, (Pb), Mg, Mn, (Hg), (Ni), K, (Se), (Ag), Na, (Ti), (V), (Zn), (Mo), B, Si, CN', _____
M-13,14	✓	Al, (Sb), (As), Ba, Be, (Cd), Ca, (Cr), (Co), (Cu), Fe, (Pb), Mg, Mn, (Hg), (Ni), K, (Se), (Ag), Na, (Ti), (V), (Zn), (Mo), B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg; Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, (Cd), Ca, (Cr), (Co), (Cu), Fe, (Pb), Mg, Mn, Hg, (Ni), K, (Se), (Ag), Na, (Ti), (V), (Zn), (Mo), B, Si, CN', _____
ICP-MS		Al, (Sb), (As), Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, (Ti), (V), Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

LDC #: 1323424
 SDG #: 1056 + 1057

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: My
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N/N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y/N/N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Y(N)/N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

Y N (N/A) LEVEL IV ONLY: Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

Y N (N/A) Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	3	sediment	Sb	2.2 (70-130)	Am	J/A-J/A J/A (Post. Spike was not performed)

Comments:

LDC #: 23424
 SDG #: H056-4057

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JBT
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sb, As, Pb	6020	Lab reported. 200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Test.

Comments:

LDC #: 13298A4
 SDG #: HQ93
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/24/05
 Page: (of)
 Reviewer: MU
 2nd Reviewer: DL

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000) ^{200.8}

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/28/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	N.T. reviewed.
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.T. utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	N.T. required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SSCR20-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SSCR20-010MS	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SSCR20-010DUP	15		25		35	
6	<i>PB</i>	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13298A4
SDG #: 4293

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-3	Sediment	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
024.5	✓	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Matrix Spike Analysis**

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y N/N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125%? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
 Y N N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?
LEVEL IV ONLY:
 Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	4	<i>Sebrina</i>	<i>Sb</i>	<i>1.6 (70-130)</i>	<i>A11</i>	<i>IUJr/P/A (not post spiked sh)</i>

Comments:

LDC #: 13798Ac1
 SDG #: 1093

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Result (units)	RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sb, As, TR			Lab. reported by 200.8. APP.	Test.

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13315B4
 SDG #: HT56
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level IV II

Date: 3/28/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Arsenic (EPA Method 200.8)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/1, 9/05
II.	Calibration	AN	
III.	Blanks	AA	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N/A	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	AN	not reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.T. required
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	N.T. required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *See next*

1	DR-SS5-010	11	DR-SS5-010DUP	21	31
2	DR-SS6-010	12	PB	22	32
3	DR-SS7-010	13		23	33
4	DR-SS9-010	14		24	34
5	DR-SS10-010	15		25	35
6	DR-SS11-010	16		26	36
7	DR-SS13-010	17		27	37
8	DR-SS14-010	18		28	38
9	DR-SS15-010	19		29	39
10	DR-SS5-010MS	20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204A6
 SDG #: HP67
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level # *IV*

Date: *3/4/05*
 Page: *1 of 1*
 Reviewer: *M4*
 2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (*PM* PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <i>1/17/05</i>
IIa.	Initial calibration	<i>A N</i>	
IIb.	Calibration verification	<i>A N</i>	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	<i>3 MS/MSD</i>
V	Duplicates	A	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	<i>LCS + SRM. No SRM for S Test.</i>
VII.	Sample result verification	<i>A N</i>	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Sediment*

1	LDW-SS1-010	11	LDW SS1 010DUP	21	<i>LB</i>	31	
2	LDW-SS4-010	12	LDW-SS4-010DUP	22		32	
3	LDW-SS5-010	13	LDW-SS4-010TRP	23		33	
4	LDW-SS10-10	14	LDW-SS10-10MS	24		34	
5	LDW-SS12-010	15	LDW-SS10-10DUP	25		35	
6	LDW-SS14-010	16	LDW-SS13-010MS	26		36	
7	LDW-SS15-010	17	LDW-SS13-010DUP	27		37	
8	LDW-SS22-010	18	LDW-SS10-10TRP	28		38	
9	LDW-SS13-010	19	LDW-SS1-010TRP	29		39	
10	LDW-SS1-010MS	20	LDW-SS4-010MS	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204A6
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd Reviewer: EL

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method See below)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?				
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\leq \text{CRDL} (\leq 2X \text{ CRDL for soil})$ was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 60-120% (65-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 13204 A6
 SDG #: Hp67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 13204A6
 SDG #: 14769

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: R

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
19	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S) (Gran site) (TS)
AC 10	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
11	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS)
12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (Gran site)
13	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
14	pH TDS Cl F NO₃ NO₂ SO₄ PO₄ ALK CN' NH₃ TKN TOC CR⁶⁺
15	pH TDS Cl F NO₃ NO₂ SO₄ PO₄ ALK CN' NH₃ TKN TOC CR⁶⁺
16	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S)
17	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S)
18	pH TDS Cl F NO₃ NO₂ SO₄ PO₄ ALK CN' NH₃ TKN TOC CR⁶⁺
19	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS)
20	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13004 A6
 SDG #: 4467

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of NH₃-N was recalculated. Calibration date: 1/21/05

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte	conc. (mg/L) (units)	Area (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
				r	%R	r	%R	
Initial calibration	Blank	0	0.1216					
Calibration verification	Standard 1	0.01	0.8781	r = 0.99998 v = 0.99998	108.4	108.4	Y	Y
	Standard 2	0.02	1.3527					
	Standard 3	0.05	2.6191					
	Standard 4	0.2	9.1622					
	Standard 5	0.5	22.5645					
	Standard 6	0.8	35.8826					
	Standard 7	1	44.6144					
Calibration verification	UVS-N	0.542				108.4	108.4	Y
Calibration verification	TOC	4.822				96.4	96.4	Y
Calibration verification	S	0.693				90	90	Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 Ab
 SDG #: 4867

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MH
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Percent recoveries (%F) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \times 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where: Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where: S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD	%R / RPD	
105	Laboratory control sample	S	0.727	0.77	94.4	74.9	Y
10	Matrix spike sample	Mn	113.9 (SSR-SR)	124	91.8	91.1	Y
2/12/13	Duplicate sample	Tox	2.46 2.26	2.50	6.82	6.0 14.3	Y

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 A6
 SDG #: 1267

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: km
 2nd reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for 1 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration =

Recalculation:

S
 $Adj = 0.530 \times conc + 0.007$

S = $\frac{(0.144 - 0.007) \frac{mg}{L} \times 0.10 \times 1000 \frac{g}{kg}}{0.530 \times 2.4215 g \times 0.719}$
 = 14.8 $\frac{mg}{kg}$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	1	TS (%)	70.8	70.8	Y
		MH ₃ -N	3.58	3.58	Y
		S	15	15	Y
		TOC (%)	1.58	1.58	Y
		% Retained			
		Grain size			
		Phi size			
		< -1	0.6	0.64	Y
		-1 to 0	1.0	1.00	Y
		0 to 1	10.9	10.9	Y
		1 to 2	46.1	46.1	Y
		2 to 3	26.7	26.7	Y
		3 to 4	5.4	5.4	Y
		4 to 5	1.2	1.2	Y
		5 to 6	1.3	1.3	Y
		6 to 7	1.6	1.6	Y
		7 to 8	1.6	1.6	Y
		8 to 9	1.1	1.1	Y
		9 to 10	0.9	0.9	Y
		> 10	1.6	1.6	Y

Note: _____

LDC #: 13204B6
 SDG #: HP70
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level IV

Date: 3/1/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: hm
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
Ia.	Initial calibration	A N	
Ib.	Calibration verification	A N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	A	<u>Triplicates</u>
VI	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS + SRM, No SRM for S. Test -</u>
VII.	Sample result verification	A SW	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>(3, 4)</u>
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: See list

1	LDW-SS23-010	11	LDW-SS48-010MS	21	31
2	LDW-SS26-010	12	LDW-SS48-010DUP	22	32
3	LDW-SS27-010	13	LDW-SS51-010MS	23	33
4	LDW-SS200-010	14	LDW-SS51-010DUP	24	34
5	LDW-SS32-010	15	<u>HB</u>	25	35
6	LDW-SS37-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS38-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS40-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS48-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS51-010	20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204
 SDG #: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WMM
 2nd reviewer: ✓

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-10	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>Grain Site</u> <u>S</u> <u>TS</u>
on 11,12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____ <u>S</u> _____
↓ 13,14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204 B6
 SDG #: HP90

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: WJ
 2nd Reviewer: JL

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method *See copy*)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\leq \text{CRDL} (\leq 2X \text{ CRDL for soil})$ was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 90-120% (95-115% for Method 3000) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 13204 B6
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: MH
 2nd Reviewer: u

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓	g		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 13204 Bb
 SDG #: 1272

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: Mk
 2nd Reviewer: R

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Matrix Spike Analysis

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
- Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125 (85-115% for Method 300.0)? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

LEVEL IV ONLY:

Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	11	Sediment	S	71.1 (75-125)	All	J-147/A

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204B6
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: luH
 2nd reviewer: SL

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	3	4		
TS (%)	47.30	48.50	3	
NH ₃ -N (mg/kg)	5.59	5.25	6	
S ↓	310	220	34	
TOL (%)	1.60	1.68	5	

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

LDC#: 13204B6
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: hm
 2nd Reviewer: ✓

Grain Size, Method PSEP

- N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Phi Size	% Finer (%)		(±50) RPD	
	3	4		
-2	100.0	99.9	0	
-1	98.6	98.7	0	
0	96.9	96.6	0	
1	93.0	92.3	1	
2	81.1	80.3	1	
3	59.4	58.8	1	
4	37.8	36.8	3	
5	30.0	28.4	5	
6	20.1	19.2	5	
7	12.5	11.7	7	
8	9.0	8.5	6	
9	6.4	6.3	2	
10	4.8	4.7	2	

LDC #: 13204B6
 SDG #: 48970

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see column
 The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of S was recalculated. Calibration date: 1/24/05

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte	Conc. (mg/L) (units)	Blank (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
				r	%R	r	%R	
Initial calibration		0	0					
Calibration verification	Standard 1	0.05	0.027	$r^2 = 0.9999$	$r^2 = 0.9999$			Y
	Standard 2	0.125	0.066					
	Standard 3	0.250	0.122					
	Standard 4	0.50	0.246					
	Standard 5	1.00	0.491					
	Standard 6							
	Standard 7							
Calibration verification <i>ccv</i>	MHSN	0.5433			108.66	108.66		Y
Calibration verification <i>ccv</i>	TOL	4997			99.93	99.93		Y
Calibration verification <i>ICV</i>	S	0.653			97	97		Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320486
 SDG #: HF70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: M14
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see below

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100 \quad \text{Where,} \quad \text{Found} = \text{concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found} = \text{SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).}$$

$$\text{True} = \text{concentration of each analyte in the source.}$$

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100 \quad \text{Where,} \quad S = \text{Original sample concentration}$$

$$D = \text{Duplicate sample concentration}$$

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated		Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	RPD		
LCS	Laboratory control sample	S	0.65	0.73	89.0	89.4	Y	
W01-556010	Matrix spike sample	TOC	(SSR-SR) 2.66	2.69	99	98.9 100.0		
15	Duplicate sample	NH3-N	8.04	8.06 8.07	0.2	0.2		

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 132041346
 SDG #: HP90

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ky
 2nd reviewer: ✓

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for 1 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $TOC = TOC \times \frac{\% \text{ Solid at } 90^{\circ}C}{\% \text{ Solid at } 104^{\circ}C}$

Recalculation: $TOC = 12079 \text{ mg/kg} \times \frac{77.36}{77.8} = 12321 \text{ mg/kg} = 1.2370$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	1	TS (%)	77.8	77.8	Y
		Met-N (mg/kg)	2.59	2.59	↓
		TOC (%)	1.23	1.23	↓
2		Phi Site	% Retained	% Retained	≠
		-1 to 0	0.8	0.8	Y
		-1 to 0	2.3	2.3	↓
		0 to 1	12.1	12.1	
		1 to 2	41.9	41.9	
		2 to 3	21.0	21.0	
		3 to 4	5.0	5.0	
		4 to 5	2.8	2.8	
		5 to 6	2.8 4.6 W	2.8	
		6 to 7	3.1 4	3.1	
		7 to 8	2.4	2.4	
		8 to 9	1.8	1.8	
		9 to 10	1.4	1.4	
		> 10	2.6	2.6	0

Note: _____

LDC #: 13204C6

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/2/05

SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 → H069
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: wm

2nd Reviewer: sc

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PS2P), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), IOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/05</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	A	<u>Triplicates</u>
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS + SRM, No SRM for S Test</u>
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>(7, 8)</u>
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: schmitt

1	LDW-SS-111-010	11	LDW-SS-112-010MS	21		31
2	LDW-SS-112-010	12	LDW-SS-112-010DUP	22		32
3	LDW-SS-119-010	13	LDW-SS-119-010DUP	23		33
4	LDW-SS-120-010	14	LDW-SS-119-010TRP	24		34
5	LDW-SS-60-010	15	<u>LDW-SS-111-010 TRP</u>	25		35
6	LDW-SS-99-010	16	<u>MB</u>	26		36
7	LDW-SS-89-010	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS-201-010	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS-111-010MS	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS-111-010DUP	20		30		40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 132046
 SDG #: 119907-4269

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: JC

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-8	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>Grain Site</u> <u>S</u> <u>TS</u>
9.0	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
10.15	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
11, 12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
13, 14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>Grain Site</u>
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204c6
SDG #: HP90

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Technical Holding Times

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: LMH
2nd reviewer: D

All circled dates have exceeded the technical holding time.

Y N N/A Were all samples preserved as applicable to each method?

Y N N/A Were all cooler temperatures within validation criteria?

Method:		376.2					
Parameters:		5					
Technical holding time:		9 days					
Sample ID	Sampling date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Qualifier
1	1/19/05	1/28/05	(9 days)				J-R/P

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See above

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N / N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y N / N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits (75-125%) if the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
 (Y) N / N/A Were at duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for water samples and ≤ 35% for soil samples?
 LEVEL IV ONLY:
 Y N / N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	MS/MSD ID	Matrix	Analyte	MS %Recovery	MSD %Recovery	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	LDW-SS-01-010	Sediment	S	60.2	54.8		A-1	J-N/A/A

Comments:

LDC #: 132046
 SDG #: HK90

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: LH
 2nd reviewer: C

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
TS (%)	71.70	69.30	3	
MH ₂ -N (mg/kg)	1.30	1.40	7	
TOC (%)	1.01	1.02	1	

Analyte	Concentration (% Finer)		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
Phi Size -3	100	100	0	
-2	99.9	100	0	
-1	99.5	99.5	0	
0	99.8	99.7	0	
1	96.6	96.5	0	

Analyte	Concentration (% Finer)		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
2	42.9	43.4	1	
3	31.0	31.1	0	
4	16.3	16.4	1	
5	10.9	10.8	1	
6	7.7	7.7	0	

Analyte	Concentration (% Finer)		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
7	5.2	5.1	2	
8	3.4	3.5	3	
9	2.4	2.4	0	
10	1.6	1.7	6	

IDC #: 13204D6
 SDG #: HQ17
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/7/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1M), Grain Size (PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/19, 20/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	A	Duplicates.
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	255 TS RM, No SRM for S. Test.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *submit*

1	LDW-SS114-010	11	LDW-SS76-010	21	31
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22	32
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS114-010MS	23	33
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS114-010DUP	24	34
5	LDW-SS126-010	15	LDW-SS114-010TRP	25	35
6	LDW-SS116-010	16	LDW-SS76-010MS	26	36
7	LDW-SS127-010	17	LDW-SS76-010MSD	27	37
8	LDW-SS130-010	18	LDW-SS76-010DUP	28	38
9	LDW-SS129-010	19	↓ TRP	29	39
10	LDW-SS118-010	20	IMB	30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204Cb
 SDG #: 4490

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: ✓

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
112	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (Grain Silica) (TS)
13	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (Grain Silica) (TS)
14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (Grain Silica) (TS)
15	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (Grain Silica) (TS)
16,17	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S)
18,19	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S)
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 1320406
SDG #: 49-17

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: M/H
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See com

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N" Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y(N) N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Y/N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) \leq 20% for water samples and \leq 35% for soil samples?

LEVEL IV ONLY:
Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	MS/MSD ID	Matrix	Analyte	MS %Recovery	MSD %Recovery	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	16/17	Soil	S	65.7	60.7		A1	JE/4J/A

Comments:

LDC #: 13234A6
 SDG #: HQ48
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd Reviewer: SC

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PS EP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	Triplicates
VI	Iaboratory control samples	SW	US + SRM, No SRM for S, T, etc.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	(2,7), (12,13)
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: MM Submitts

1	LDW-SS17-010	11	LDW-SS142-010	21	LDW-SS134-010	31	LDW-SS134 010DUP
2	LDW-SS50-010	12	LDW-SS123-010	22	LDW-SS17-010MS	32	LDW-SS28-010 TRP
3	LDW-SS55-010	13	LDW-SS203-010	23	LDW-SS17-010DUP	33	LDW-SS134-010 TRP
4	LDW-SS72-010	14	LDW-SS64-010	24	LDW-SS17-010TRP	34	MB
5	LDW-SS79-010	15	LDW-SS83-010	25	LDW-SS79-010MS	35	
6	LDW-SS54-010	16	LDW-SS36-010	26	LDW-SS79-010MSD	36	
7	LDW-SS202-010	17	LDW-SS58-010	27	LDW-SS79-010DUP	37	
8	LDW-SS42-010	18	LDW-SS57-010	28	LDW-SS57-010DUP	38	
9	LDW-SS102-010	19	LDW-SS56-010	29	LDW-SS28-010MS	39	
10	LDW-SS120-010	20	LDW SS28 010	30	LDW-SS28-010DUP	40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234 106
 SDG #: 1448

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: KH
 2nd reviewer: ✓

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
<u>1-21</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS) (Grain Size)
<u>QU 22</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____
<u>23.24</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS) (Grain Size)
<u>24</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS) ↓
<u>25</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
<u>26</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
<u>27</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
<u>28</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
<u>29</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____
<u>30</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS)
<u>31</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ (Grain Size)
<u>32</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS)
<u>33</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ (Grain Size)
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____

Comments: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: HW
 2nd Reviewer: X

LDC #: 323466
 SDG #: 1048

Thorganics (see con)
 METHOD: Trace metals (EPA-SW-846)

#	Blank ID	Analyte	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	MB	NH ₃ -N	21 samples were associated with on MB	A11	Test

Comments: _____

LDC #: 1323486
 SDG #: H048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: luH
 2nd reviewer: ✓

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits) <i>(≤150)</i>	Qualifier
	2	7		
TS (%)	50.90	51.50	1	
NH ₃ -N (mg/kg)	8.22	8.03	2	
S ↓	110	770	150	
Toc (%)	1.94	1.90	2	

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits) <i>(≤150)</i>	Qualifier
	12	13		
TS (%)	69.00	68.90	0	
NH ₃ -N (mg/kg)	3.49	5.05	37	
Toc (%)	1.77	1.81	2	

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

LDC#: 13234 A6
 SDG#: W-48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: WJ
 2nd Reviewer: R

Grain Size, Method PSEP

N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Phi Size	% Finer (%)		RPD (5/150)
	2	7	
-2	100.0	99.8	0
-1	99.8	99.3	1
0	99.0	98.7	0
1	92.4	95.0	3
2	78.7	84.1	7
3	73.6	76.1	3
4	62.9	67.8	7
5	49.5	52.7	6
6	35.5	38.2	7
7	23.7	19.8	18
8	15.6	13.6	14
9	11.2	10.0	11
10	7.6	6.8	11

LDC#: 13234 A6
 SDG#: 4448

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 3 of 3
 Reviewer: WJ
 2nd Reviewer: JK

Grain Size, Method PSEP

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Phi Size	% Finer (%)		RPD (5/150)	
	12	13		
-2	100.0	97.1	3	
-1	99.5	96.0	4	
0	95.2	92.0	3	
1	69.2	66.5	4	
2	37.2	34.6	7	
3	31.5	29.4	7	
4	27.0	25.0	8	
5	22.9	21.3	7	
6	16.1	15.7	3	
7	10.9	10.7	2	
8	7.5	7.2	4	
9	5.5	5.2	6	
10	3.8	3.7	3	

LDC #: 13234B6
 SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: lwn
 2nd Reviewer: jl

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PSBP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20, 21/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	SW	Triplicate
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCST + SRM, No SRM for S. Text.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75 010	21	LDW SS101-010MS	31	
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS101-010	22	LDW-SS101-010MSD	32	
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS43-010MS	23	LDW-SS101-010DUP	33	
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS43-010DUP	24	LDW-SS43-010 TRP	34	
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS87-010DUP	25	LDW-SS95-010 TRP	35	
6	LDW-SS97-010	16	LDW-SS87-010TRP	26	LDW-SS101-010 TRP	36	
7	LDW-SS31-010	17	LDW-SS67-010MS	27	MB	37	
8	LDW-SS67-010	18	LDW-SS67-010MSD	28		38	
9	LDW-SS63-010	19	LDW-SS75-010MS	29		39	
10	LDW-SS70-010	20	LDW-SS75-010DUP	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234/B6
 SDG #: 10-27-11-28

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: KH
 2nd reviewer: JL

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS) Grain size
13	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS) _____
15	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ Grain size
16	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ ↓
17	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
18	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
19	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
20	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
21	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
22	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
↓ 23	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS) _____
↓ 24	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS) _____
↓ 25	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
↓ 26	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS) _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 132486
 SDG #: H27-1428
VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: Mk
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See above

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
(Y) N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125 (65-115% for Method 300.0)? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Paper Ends

LEVEL IV ONLY:
 Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	19	Substrate	S	65.7	-11	J-145/A

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234 B b Page: 1 of 1
SDG #: 1A29 + 4A28 Reviewer: MJ
2nd Reviewer: JC

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125% if the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. *DAPP Limits*
Y N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for water samples and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples?
LEVEL IV ONLY:
Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	MS/MSD ID	Matrix	Analyte	MS %Recovery	MSD %Recovery	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	21/22	Sediment	S	60.2	54.8		12	J-105/A

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234 B6
SDG #: HA 27 HAA 28

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Duplicate Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N N/A Was a duplicate sample analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for water and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples ($\leq 10\%$ for Method 300.0)? If no, see qualification below. A control limit of $\pm CRDL$ ($\pm 2X$ CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL. If field blanks were used for laboratory duplicates, see overall assessment. CRDL 40.2

LEVEL IV ONLY:
 Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Duplicate ID	Matrix	Analyte	RPD	RPD-T(Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	1120, 25 (Triplicates)	soil	S	40.2	(≤ 20)	1-1	JMS/p

Comments:

LDC #: 13234D6
 SDG #: HR49
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: LHM
 2nd Reviewer: JLC

METHOD: Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: 1/31/05 - 2/2/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	Triplicates
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS + SBM
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	SC-SS1a-010	11	EB-SS2a-010MS	21		31
2	EB-SS2a-010	12	EB-SS2a-010DUP	22		32
3	LW-SS3-010	13	LW-SS3-010DUP	23		33
4	SB-SS6-010	14	↓ TRP	24		34
5	DRD-SS7-010	15	EB-SS2a-010 TRP	25		35
6	LU-SS9a-010	16	MS	26		36
7	LU-SS9b-010	17		27		37
8	UB-SS8-010	18		28		38
9	LW-SS6-010	19		29		39
10	EB-SS2b-010	20		30		40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1303406
SDG #: 1429

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MM
2nd reviewer: 02

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
<u>1-10</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u> _____
<u>qu 11</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ _____
<u>12</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ _____
<u>13,14</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u> _____
<u>15</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234D6
 SDG #: HR49

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Technical Holding Times

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JM
 2nd reviewer: R

All circled dates have exceeded the technical holding time.
 Y N (N/A) Were all samples preserved as applicable to each method?
 Y N N/A Were all cooler temperatures within validation criteria?

Method:		160.3					
Parameters:		TS					
Technical holding time:		7 days					
Sample ID	Sampling date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Qualifier
1, 3, 7, 9, 13, 14	2/1/05	2/14/05	(13 days)				J-15 J/p
2, 5, 8, 10	2/2/05	↓	(12 days)				↓
4, 6,	2/31/05	↓	(14 days)				↓

LDC #: 13234E6
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: *lm*
 2nd Reviewer: *jt*

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (^{LM} PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	ASW	Sampling dates: 1/25, 26/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	Triplicates.
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCST SRM, No SRM for S. Test.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Sediment*

1	LDW-SS52-010	11	LDW-SS143-010	21	MS	31	
2	LDW-SS92-010	12	LDW-SS52-010MS	22		32	
3	LDW-SS104-010	13	LDW-SS52-010DUP	23		33	
4	LDW-SS110-010	14	LDW-SS109-010MS	24		34	
5	LDW-SS109-010	15	LDW-SS109-010DUP	25		35	
6	LDW-SS115-010	16	LDW-SS49-010MS	26		36	
7	LDW-SS121-010	17	LDW-SS49-010DUP	27		37	
8	LDW-SS88-010	18	LDW-SS52-010 TRP	28		38	
9	LDW-SS33-010	19	LDW-SS109-010 TRP	29		39	
10	LDW-SS49-010	20	LDW-SS49-010 TRP	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1323476
 SDG #: 4246 A1051

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: JL

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
11	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S) (TS) (Grav. Size)
11	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
13	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS)
14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S)
15	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S)
16	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
17	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
✓ 18	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS)
↓ 19	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S)
↓ 20	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13298A6
 SDG #: HQ93
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 5/24/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: KM
 2nd Reviewer: JC

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	Triplicates
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LAST SRM. No SRM for S, Test.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SSCR20 010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SSCR20-010MS	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SSCR20-010DUP	15		25		35	
6	LDW-MSMP43-010MS	16		26		36	
7	LDW-MSMP43-010DUP	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SSCR20-010 TRP	18		28		38	
9	LDW-MSMP43-010 TRP	19		29		39	
10	LDW	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13298AG
SDG #: 1497

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: mm
2nd reviewer: DL

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-3	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u> <u>S</u> <u>particle</u>
4	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
5	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u>
6	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>S</u>
7	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>S</u>
8	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u>
9	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>S</u>
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13315B6
 SDG #: HT56
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/28/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WH
 2nd Reviewer: X

METHOD: Grain Size (PSZP) Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: 2/1, 9/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	k.t. required
V.	Duplicates	A	Triplicates
VI.	Laboratory control samples	N	k.t. required
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Solvent.

1	DR-SS5-010	11	DR-SS5-010TRP	21	31
2	DR SS6 010	12	LB	22	32
3	DR-SS7-010	13		23	33
4	DR-SS9-010	14		24	34
5	DR-SS10-010	15		25	35
6	DR-SS11-010	16		26	36
7	DR-SS13-010	17		27	37
8	DR-SS14-010	18		28	38
9	DR-SS15-010	19		29	39
10	DR-SS5-010DUP	20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1331536
 SDG #: 4756

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: *[Signature]*

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-9	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS) (Grain Size)
02 10, 11	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ ↓ ↓
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13215B6
 SDG #: WT56

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Technical Holding Times

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WM
 2nd reviewer: cgl

All circled dates have exceeded the technical holding time.
 X N N/A Were all samples preserved as applicable to each method?
 Y N N/A Were all cooler temperatures within validation criteria?

Method:		160.3					
Parameters:		TS					
Technical holding time:		7 days					
Sample ID	Sampling date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Qualifier
1-4, 10, 11	2/1/05	2/28/05	(27 days)				J- R/P
5-9	2/9/05	↓	(19 days)				↓

METHOD: GC/MS Tributyl Tin (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) / (Krone)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	no SPCCs & CCCs
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS. SPML
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS4-010	sed	MB-012205			
2	LDW-SS14-010					
3	LDW-SS15-010					
4	LDW-SS14-010MS					
5	LDW SS14 010MSD					
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						

LDC #: 13204019
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: 9
 2nd Reviewer: K

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204-019
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: Q
 2nd Reviewer: SL

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204A19
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: Q
2nd Reviewer: W

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/	/	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_s)/(A_s)(C_x)$ A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$ S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (std)	(std)	RRF (std)	(std)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD
1	<u>KAZ</u>	<u>1/18/05</u>	Phenol (1st internal standard) <u>TBT</u>	<u>0.56</u>	<u>0.56</u>	<u>0.558</u>	<u>0.558</u>	<u>0.558</u>	<u>6.1</u>	<u>0.558</u>	<u>6.1</u>
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A19
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: (of 1)
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_s) / (A_s)(C_x)$ RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CC025	1/25/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) BT	0.558	0.560	0.4	0.560	0.4
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204819
 SDG #: HP6T

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5 <i>Tripropyl tin</i>	0.5	0.3585	71.7	71.7	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl <i>Tripropyl tin</i>	✓	0.3457	69.0	69.0	0
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $|MS - MSD| * 2 / (MS + MSD)$ MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 4/5

Compound	Spike Added (HPG)		Sample Concentration (HPG)	Spiked Sample Concentration (HPG)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenyl TBT	47.3	47.4	ND	53.4	49.4	113	113	104	104	7.8	7.8
2-Chlorophenol											
1,4-Dichlorobenzene											
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine											
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene											
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol											
Acenaphthene											
4-Nitrophenol											
2,4-Dinitrotoluene											
Fentachlorophenol											
Pyrene											

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13004019
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: _____
 2nd Reviewer: xc

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $|(LCS - LCSD) / ((LCS + LCSD) / 2)| * 100$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-012205

Compound	Spike Added (<u>NA</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>NA</u>)		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol	50	NA	59	NA	118	118				
2-Chlorophenol										
1,4-Dichlorobenzene										
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine										
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene										
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol										
Aceaphthene										
4-Nitrophenol										
2,4-Dinitrotoluene										
Pentachlorophenol										
Pyrene										

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A19
 SDG #: HP6T

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_s)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_r)(RRF)(V_o)(V)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_i = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 1, TBT:

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(11848)(2)(0.500)(1)}{(166858)(0.558)(5.19)(1)(0.457)}$$

$$= 24.52 \mu\text{g/kg}$$

Conc final (ch/wide) = 24.52 x 0.8675 = 21.27 14/5

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

METHOD: GC/MS Tributyl Tin (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) (Krone)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/18/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	no CCG & specs
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	↓
V.	Blanks	D	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS14-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D=1+2
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS27-010	sed	MB-012205				
2	LDW-SS200-010	↓					
3	LDW-SS32-010						
4	LDW-SS38-010						
5	LDW-SS51-010	↓					
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 B19
 SDG #: HP7D

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204B19
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 9

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/	/	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were target compounds identified in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (<u>µg/g</u>)		RPD (≤ 50)
	1	2	
<u>Tributyl Tin chloride</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>0</u>

Compound	Concentration ()		RPD

Compound	Concentration ()		RPD

Compound	Concentration ()		RPD

LWV #: 13204 B19
 SDG #: HPTO

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 01 /
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs / number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$

A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (std)	RRF (std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	KAZ	1/18/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) TB	0.56	0.56	0.558	0.558	6.1	6.1		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: B204B19
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 (of 1)
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} \cdot \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 $\text{RRF} = (A_x / C_x) / (A_s / C_s)$ A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	<u>cc025</u>	<u>1/5/05</u>	Phenol (1st internal standard) <u>TBT</u>	<u>0.558</u>	<u>0.560</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>0.4</u>	
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 3204B19
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ST
 2nd reviewer: U

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	<u>Tripropyl Tin</u> 0.5	<u>0.3224</u>	<u>64.7</u>	<u>64.5</u>	<u>0.2</u>
2-Fluorobiphenyl	<u>Tripropyl Tin</u> ✓	<u>0.3390</u>	<u>66.9</u>	<u>67.8</u>	<u>0.9</u>
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 13204B19
SDG #: HPT0

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: _____
2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$

Where: SSC = Spike concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * \frac{|LCS - LCSD|}{LCS + LCSD}$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-012205

Compound	Spike Added (<u>NA</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>NA</u>)		LCS		LCSD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenyl TBT	50	NA	59	NA	118	118		
2-Chlorophenol								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene								
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene								
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol								
Aceaphthene								
4-Nitrophenol								
2,4-Dinitrotoluene								
Pentachlorophenol								
Styrene								

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HPTU

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(V_j)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_j = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 1, TBT:

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(22557)(2)(500)(1)}{(177677)(0.558)(5.15)} = 44.17 \text{ mg/kg}$$

$$\text{conc} = 44.17 \times 0.8675 = 38.3 \text{ mg/kg}$$

chlride

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13234A19

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ48

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: *[Signature]*2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]***METHOD:** GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) *TBT only*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS67-010 (H&D)
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCs. SEM.
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	R
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS55-010	<i>sed</i>	<i>MB-012905</i>			31
2	LDW-SS79-010					32
3	LDW-SS58-010					33
4	LDW-SS56-010					34
5	LDW-SS28-010					35
6						36
7						37
8						38
9						39
10						40

LDC #: 13234B19 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) *TBT only*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/21/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS, SRM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS43-010	<u>sed</u>	<u>UB-012905</u>			31	
2	LDW-SS31-010					32	
3	LDW-SS67-010					33	
4	LDW-SS67-010MS					34	
5	LDW-SS67-010MSD					35	
6						36	
7						37	
8						38	
9						39	
10						40	

LDC #: 13234C19 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HR48 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: _____
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) *TBT only*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>2/2/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LOS. SWM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS20-010	<u>sw</u>	<u>MB-020805</u>			31	
2	LDW-SS20-010MS					32	
3	LDW-SS20-010MSD					33	
4						34	
5						35	
6						36	
7						37	
8						38	
9						39	
10						40	

LDC #: 13234G19 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/2/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: QA
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) *TBT only*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <i>1/26/05</i>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	<i>LDW-SS67-010</i>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<i>LOS, SRM</i>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS33-010	<i>sed</i>	<i>MB-012905</i>			31
2	LDW-SS49-010	<i>v</i>				32
3						33
4						34
5						35
6						36
7						37
8						38
9						39
10						40

LDC #: 13234D33

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HR49

Level IV

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/15/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: EJ

2nd Reviewer: RL

METHOD: GC Pentachlorophenol (EPA SW846 Method 8041)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	Δ	Sampling dates: <u>2/1/05</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	Δ N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	Δ N	
III.	Blanks	Δ	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	Δ	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	Δ	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	SW	LCS SRM (SVA)
V.	Target compound identification	Δ N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	Δ N	
VII.	System Performance	Δ N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	SC-SS1a-010	11	<u>MB-020909</u>	21		31
2	SC-SS1a-010MS	12		22		32
3	SC-SS1a-010MSD	13		23		33
4		14		24		34
5		15		25		35
6		16		26		36
7		17		27		37
8		18		28		38
9		19		29		39
10		20		30		40

LDC #: 13234D33
 SDG #: AP249

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or %R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13234 P33
 SDG #: HP49

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were target compounds detected in the field duplicates?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Field blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13234D33

SDG #: HR49

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (0.1% std)	CF (0.1% std)	Average CF (initial)	Average CF (initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	Pentachloropheno DB5	11/9/04	Pentachloropheno	4.4 x 10 ⁻⁷	4.4 x 10 ⁻⁷	4.37 x 10 ⁻⁷	4.37 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
2	DB608		↓	2.7 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.7 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.92 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.72 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13234D33

SDG #: HR49

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC / HPLC

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$ Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
CF = A/C
CF = continuing calibration CF
A = Area of compound
C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ical)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	ceV DB5	2/14/05	Pentachlorophenol	0.0246 0.0250	0.0250 0.0246	1.6	1.6	
2	DB608		↓	0.0250	0.0251	0.4	0.4	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13234D33
 SDG #: HR47

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$
 Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	DB-5	100	83.6	83.6	83.6	0

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference

LDC #: 13234 D33
 SDG #: HRV9

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: / of /
 Reviewer: AK
 2nd Reviewer: AK

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

$$\% \text{Recovery} = 100 * (\text{SSC} - \text{SC}) / \text{SA}$$

Where

SSC = Spiked sample concentration

SC = Sample concentration

SA = Spike added

MS = Matrix spike

$$\text{RPD} = ((\text{SSCMS} - \text{SSCMSD}) * 2) / (\text{SSCMS} + \text{SSCMSD}) * 100$$

MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

MS/MSD samples: 2 d 3

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Conc. (ug/kg)		Spike Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix spike		Matrix Spike Duplicate		MS/MSD	
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	Percent Recovery	Recalc.	Percent Recovery	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)												
Diesel (8015)												
Benzene (80218)												
Methane (RSK-175)												
2,4-D (8151)												
Dinoseb (8151)												
Naphthalene (8310)												
Anthracene (8310)												
HMX (8330)												
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)												
Pentachloropheno	78-3	78.3	ND	ND	66.1	76.8	84.4	84.4	78.7	98.1	15	15
									98.1			

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13234033
 SDG #: HR49

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JS
 2nd Reviewer: X

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
 SA = Spike added
 RPD = $((SSCLCS - SSCLCSD) * 2) / (SSCLCS + SSCLCSD) * 100$ LCS = Laboratory Control Sample LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 020905

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Conc. (ug/kg)	Spike Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS		LCSD		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD		
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	
Gasoline (8015)																
Diesel (8015)																
Benzene (8021B)																
Meihane (RSK-175)																
2,4-D (8151)																
Diroseb (8151)																
Naphthalene (8310)																
Anthracene (8310)																
HMX (8330)																
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)																
Pentachloropheno	61.5	NA	0	62.5	NA	98.4	98.4	NA	NA							

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Sample Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A)(Fv)(Df)}{(Rf)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$ Example: _____ Compound Name _____
Sample ID: _____ Concentration = _____

A= Area or height of the compound to be measured
Fv= Final Volume of extract
Df= Dilution Factor
Rf= Average response factor of the compound in the initial calibration
Vs= Initial volume of the sample
Ws= Initial weight of the sample
%S= Percent Solid

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations	Recalculated Results Concentrations	Qualifications

Comments: _____

METHOD: GC Pentachlorophenol (EPA SW846 Method 8041)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/10/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	N	
IVa.	Surrogate recovery	A	
IVb.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
IVc.	Laboratory control samples <i>SRM</i>	A	<i>LC5 NO SRM (SRM) was analyzed</i>
V.	Target compound identification	N	
VI.	Compound Quantitation and CRQLs	N	
VII.	System Performance	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	SC-SS1b-010	11	<i>MB-021805</i>	21		31	
2	SC-SS1b-010MS	12		22		32	
3	SC-SS1b-010MSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/17/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>70 RSD, Y²</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LOS, SRM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	SW	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

Mixed

1 ²	LDW-SS1-010	11 ¹	LDW-SS13-010DL	21 ²	<u>MB-012405</u>	31	
2 ²	LDW-SS4-010	12 ²	LDW-SS14-010MS	22		32	
3 ²	LDW-SS5-010	13 ²	LDW-SS14-010MSD	23		33	
4 ²	LDW-SS10-010	14		24		34	
5 ²	LDW-SS12-010	15		25		35	
6 ²	LDW-SS14-010	16		26		36	
7 ²	LDW-SS15-010	17		27		37	
8 ²	LDW-SS22-010	18		28		38	
9 ¹	LDW-SS22-010DL	19		29		39	
10 ²	LDW-SS13-010	20		30		40	

LDC #: 13204A=4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) $\leq 30\%$ and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05 ?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) $\leq 25\%$ and relative response factors (RRF) ≥ 0.05 ?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204829
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: _____

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204A2A
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OC. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QG. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: B20402A
 SDG #: HP67
 METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A
 N N/A
 N N/A
 N N/A

Were all questions answered "N"? Yes No
 Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?
 Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
 Were all %D and RRFs within the validation criteria of ≤ 25 %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>9/1/05</u>	<u>CC0201</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>28.53 ± 97</u>		<u>1-8.10.12-13</u> <u>BAK</u>	<u>N/A</u>

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 1/24/05

Blank analysis date: 1/1/05

Conc. units: [Signature] Associated Samples: [Signature]

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
[Shaded]	MB-012405	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
EEE	15	67/11	83/11	82/11	180	180	160	1100	900	9(5x)	180

Blank extraction date: [Signature] Blank analysis date: [Signature]

Conc. units: [Signature] Associated Samples: [Signature]

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
[Shaded]	MB-012405	11(5x)									
EEE	15	180/11									

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
 Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 13004029
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Internal Standards

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were all internal standard area counts within -50 to +100 of the associated calibration standard?
Y N N/A Were the retention times of the internal standards within +/- 30 seconds of the retention times of the associated calibration standard?

#	Date	Sample ID	Internal Standard	Area (Limits)	RT (Limits)	Qualifications
		B (MSD)	PRY	115555 (116217-464868)		No qual.
		8	PRY	739310		N/A
		10	PRY	102609		(999 → 111)

* QC limits are advisory
 IS1 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 IS2 (NPT) = Naphthalene-d8
 IS3 (ANT) = Acenaphthene-d10
 IS4 (PHN) = Phenanthrene-d10
 IS5 (CRY) = Chrysene-d12
 IS6 (PRY) = Perylene-d12

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET I
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 13201629
 SDG #: HP67

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	8.10		RF → LL	8.10	R/A
	9.11		M except RF → LL	9.11	↓

Comments:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_s)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_s)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_s = Area of compound,
 C_s = Concentration of compound,
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs,
 A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (>5 std)	RRF (>5 std)	RRF (>5 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	10A	1/29/15	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.15562	2.15562	2.15562	2.1869	2.1869	10.64%	10.64%	205
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (1st internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.39735	1.39735	1.47233	1.47233	1.47233	11.45%	11.45%	1159
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.14851	1.14851	1.17510	1.17510	1.17510	9.84%	9.84%	112
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.58814	0.58814	0.59056	0.59056	0.59056	5.04%	5.04%	156
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 130048-a
 SDG#: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Naphthalene
 Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.07050	0.00497
			0.25	0.33156	0.10993
			0.50	0.62253	0.38754
			1.25	1.37134	1.88057
			2.00	2.13061	4.53952
			3.00	2.89979	8.40879
			4.00	3.65117	13.33104

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0257
 R Squared 0.9997540
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.7597
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0191

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9998770
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9997540

LDC #: 1300462a
 SDG#: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Fluorene
 Order of regression: Quac

DATE	GC/MS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.95	0.08005	0.00641
			0.25	0.37229	0.13860
			0.30	0.70479	0.49673
			1.25	1.51081	2.28254
			2.00	2.35067	5.52564
			3.00	3.12574	9.77028
			4.00	3.97161	15.77370

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0425
 R Squared 0.9993286
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.6770
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0289

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9996643
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9993286

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

LDC #: 1320402a
 SDG#: HP67

Page: of
 Reviewer:
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Phenanthrene

Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(r)	(X)	(X ²)
			CCNC RATIO	AREA RATIO	AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.08072	0.00652
			0.25	0.35484	0.12591
			0.50	0.65729	0.43202
			1.25	1.44590	2.09064
			2.00	2.23477	4.99422
			3.00	3.01402	9.08432
			4.00	3.85558	14.86552

Regression Output:

Constan: 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0372
 R Squared 0.9994857
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.7347
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0260

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9997428
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9994857

LDC #: 13204A20
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
A_s = Area of associated internal standard
C_s = Concentration of internal standard
A_x = Area of compound
C_x = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	EC0B1	1/3/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.10036	3.958	2.10036	3.958
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.06	3.85	24.06	3.85
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)		24.72	1.6	24.72	1.12
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)		24.06	3.8	24.06	3.76
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.4723	1.38989	5.59	1.38989	6.59
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.14073	2.925	1.14073	2.925
			Phthal (1st internal standard)	0.59056	0.58563	0.834	0.58563	0.835
2			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	0.59056	0.58979	0.13989	0.58979	0.13
			Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.09375	4.26044	2.09375	4.26023
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.14209	3.432	24.14	3.44
3			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)		24.82932	0.683	24.83	0.68
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)		24.10492	3.580	24.10	3.60
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.4723	1.40347	4.67053	1.40347	4.6706
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.12883	3.93808	1.12883	3.9338
			Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.09375	4.26044	2.09375	4.26023
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.14209	3.432	24.14	3.44
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)		24.82932	0.683	24.83	0.68

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A-9
 SDG #: HPST

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	25	18.3417	73.2	73.4	0.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	20.7956	83.2	83.2	0
Terphenyl-d14	↓	19.8425	79.2	79.4	0.2
Phenol-d5	37.5	28.9920	77.3	77.3	0
2-Fluorophenol	↓	18.2782	48.8	48.7	0.1
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	38.6869	103	103	0
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	30.8607	82.4	82.3	0.1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	25	18.9443	75.6	75.8	0.2

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * |MS - MSD| / (MS + MSD)$ MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 12/13

Compound	Spike Added (µg/g)		Sample Concentration (µg/g)	Spiked Sample Concentration (µg/g)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol	729	731	ND	587	571	80.5	80.5	78.1	78.1	2.8	2.8
2-Chlorophenol	↓	↓		594	585	81.5	81.5	80.0	80.0	1.5	1.5
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	486	487		356	359	73.3	73.3	73.7	73.7	0.4	0.4
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	↓	↓		337	334	69.3	69.3	68.6	68.6	0.7	0.7
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	↓	↓		413	406	85.0	85.0	83.4	83.4	1.6	1.6
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	729	731		705	686	96.7	96.7	93.8	93.8	2.9	2.9
Acenaphthene	486	487		483	451	99.4	99.4	92.6	92.6	6.8	6.8
4-Nitrophenol	729	731		814	813	112	112	111	111	1.0	1.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	486	487		442	426	90.9	90.9	87.5	87.5	3.4	3.4
Pentachlorophenol	729	731	↓	762	786	105	105	108	108	3.0	3.0
Pyrene	486	487	106	678	586	118	118	98.6	98.6	1.4	1.4

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204829
 SDG #: HR67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: 9
 2nd Reviewer: AK

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$

Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * \frac{LCS - LCSD}{(LCS + LCSD)}$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery

LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-012405

Compound	Spike Added (<u>496</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>145</u>)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol	750	NA	496	NA	66.1	66.1								
2-Chlorophenol	↓		518		69.1	69.1								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	500		349		69.8	69.8								
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	↓		323		64.6	64.6								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene			389		77.8	77.8								
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	750		585		78.0	78.0								
Acenaphthene	500		384		76.8	76.8								
4-Nitrophenol	750		670		89.5	89.5								
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	500		383		76.6	76.6								
Pentachlorophenol	750		733		97.7	97.7								
Pyrene	500	↓	482	↓	96.4	96.4								

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204829
SDG #: HP6T

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(V)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_i = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 2, 111:

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{44495 \times 20 \times 500}{226068 \times 1.17510 \times 25.6 \times 1} = 65.4 \text{ mg/g}$$

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>7 PSD. 1²</u>
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>109. SRM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	SW	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>D=5+7. 6+8.</u>
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	<u>RB = LDW-SS38-RB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

All seeds

<u>10</u>	<u>LDW-SS38-RB</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>LDW-SS37-010</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>MB-012405</u>	<u>31</u>	
<u>2</u>	<u>LDW-SS23-010</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>LDW-SS37-010DL</u>	<u>22</u>		<u>32</u>	
<u>3</u>	<u>LDW-SS20-010</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>LDW-SS38-010</u>	<u>23</u>		<u>33</u>	
<u>4</u>	<u>LDW-SS26-010DL</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>LDW-SS40-010</u>	<u>24</u>		<u>34</u>	
<u>5</u>	<u>LDW-SS27-010</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>LDW-SS48-010</u>	<u>25</u>		<u>35</u>	
<u>6</u>	<u>LDW-SS27-010DL</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>LDW-SS48-010DL</u>	<u>26</u>		<u>36</u>	
<u>7</u>	<u>LDW-SS200-010</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>LDW-SS51-010</u>	<u>27</u>		<u>37</u>	
<u>8</u>	<u>LDW-SS200-010DL</u>	<u>18</u>		<u>28</u>		<u>38</u>	
<u>9</u>	<u>LDW-SS32-010</u>	<u>19</u>		<u>29</u>		<u>39</u>	
<u>10</u>	<u>LDW-SS32-010DL</u>	<u>20</u>		<u>30</u>		<u>40</u>	

LDC #: 1320AB29
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204B2a
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13004 B2A
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Continuing Calibration

LDC #: 13204B29
 SDG #: HP70

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?
 N N/A Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
 N N/A Were all %D and RRFs within the validation criteria of $\leq 25\%$ %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	2/1/05	CC0201	X	28.53297		2-3-5-T.9.11 Bk	✓UN/A
	2/2/05	CC0202	RRR	57.44199		13-15.17	✓UN/A
			HH	38.07925			↓
			PP	41.76197			
	2/3/05	CC0203	RRR	46.26238		16	✓UN/A
			HH	34.77659			↓
			PP	37.90387			
			HHK	38.57960			
			LLL	40.04920			

LDC #: 13204 B2A
 SDG #: H70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: α
 2nd Reviewer: α

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- Y N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- Y N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 1/24/05 **Blank analysis date:** 2/1/05

Conc. units: ug/kg **Associated Samples:** M

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification													
		3	5	7	9	11	13	14							
EEE	MB-012405 15	3 (200)	5 190/U	7 88/U	9 93/U	11 (760)	13 650/U	14 100/U							

Blank extraction date: same **Blank analysis date:** _____

Conc. units: _____ **Associated Samples:** _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification													
		15	17												
EEE	MB-012405 15	15 (770)	17 660/U												

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
 Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 13204B29
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Internal Standards

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: g
2nd Reviewer: K

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N/N/A Were all internal standard area counts within -50 to +100 of the associated calibration standard?
Y/N/N/A Were the retention times of the internal standards within +/- 30 seconds of the retention times of the associated calibration standard?

#	Date	Sample ID	Internal Standard	Area (Limits)	RT (Limits)	Qualifications
		3	PRY	91698 (116217-464868)		N/A
		5	PRY	110608 ()		
		7	PRY	94243 ()		
		9	PRY	93876 ()		
		1	PRY	59280 ()		(59280 → 442)

* QC limits are advisory
IS1 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
IS2 (NPT) = Naphthalene-d8
IS3 (ANT) = Acenaphthene-d10
IS4 (PHN) = Phenanthrene-d10
IS5 (CRY) = Chrysene-d12
IS6 (PRY) = Perylene-d12

INTST.2S

15-20-2024
SDG #: HPTO

Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: Q
2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N N/A Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?
 Y N N/A Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		15	ul. 22. 22. DDD. 566 7 carb range	15	blets / A

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

N / N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		15	114, 117, 22, 000, 555	15	R/A
		16	All except above	16	[Signature]
		3, 5, 7, 9, 11	555 → 111 (FS out)	3, 5, 7, 9, 11	R/A
		4, 6, 8, 10, 12	All except 555 → 111	4, 6, 8, 10, 12	R/A

Comments: _____

DC#: 13204A2a
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	TK 50
	5	7		
UU	120	130	8	
VV	28	57	68	
YY	390	390	0	
ZZ	270	300	11	
CCC	120	160	29	
EEE	30	88	98	
DDD	240	370	43	
GGG	230	180	24	
HHH	140	170	19	
III	140	140	0	
JJJ	41	46	11	
LLL	36	43	18	
WW	20U	21	200	NC
KKK	20U	22	200	NC

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD
	56	8	
UU	110	120	9
YY	330	360	9
ZZ	220	230	4
CCC	120	160	29
DDD	240	390	48
GGG	170	140	19
HHH	100	120	18
III	140	140	0

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_s)/(A_s)(C_x)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (25 std)	RRF (25 std)	RRF (25 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	10AC	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.15562	2.15562	2.1869	2.1869	10.64255	10.64255		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.39795	1.39795	1.47223	1.47223	11.459	11.459		
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.14851	1.14851	1.17510	1.17510	9.84111	9.84112		
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.58814	0.58814	0.59056	0.59056	5.0447	5.0447		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B2a
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: RC

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Phenanthrene
 Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.08072	0.00652
			0.25	0.35484	0.12591
			0.50	0.65729	0.43202
			1.25	1.44590	2.09064
			2.00	2.23477	4.99422
			3.00	3.01402	9.08432
			4.00	3.85558	14.86552

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0372
 R Squared 0.9994857
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5

X Coefficient (s) 0.7347
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0260

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9997428
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9994857

LDC #: 13204 B29
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ST
 2nd Reviewer: VC

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Naphthalene
 Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.07050	0.00497
			0.25	0.33156	0.10993
			0.50	0.62253	0.38754
			1.25	1.37134	1.88057
			2.00	2.13061	4.53952
			3.00	2.89979	8.40879
			4.00	3.65117	13.33104

Regression Output:

Constant: 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est: 0.0257
 R Squared: 0.9997540
 No. of Observations: 7
 Degrees of Freedom: 5

X Coefficient (s): 0.7597
 Std Err of Coef.: 0.0191

Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9998770
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9997540

LDC #: 13204B3A
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: a

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Parameter: Fluorene

Order of regression: Quad

DATE	GCMS ID	COLUMN	(Y) CONC RATIO	(X) AREA RATIO	(X ²) AREA RATIO
01/29/2005	NT6	CAP	0.05	0.08005	0.00641
			0.25	0.37229	0.13860
			0.50	0.70479	0.49673
			1.25	1.51081	2.28254
			2.00	2.35067	5.52564
			3.00	3.12574	9.77028
			4.00	3.97161	15.77370

Regression Output:

Constant 0.0000
 Std Err of Y Est 0.0425
 R Squared 0.9993286
 No. of Observations 7
 Degrees of Freedom 5
 X Coefficient (s) 0.6770
 Std Err of Coef. 0.0289
 Correlation Coefficient (r) = 0.9996643
 Coefficient of Determination (r²) = 0.9993286

LDC #: 13204B29
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 $\text{RRF} = (A_s)(C_s) / (A_{is})(C_{is})$ A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 A_{is} = Area of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard
 C_{is} = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	ec0B1	1/31/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.10036	3.958	2.10036	3.958
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.06	3.85	24.06	3.85
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	↓	24.72	1.12	24.72	1.12
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	↓	24.06	3.76	24.06	3.76
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.47223	1.38989	5.59	1.38989	5.59
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.14073	2.925	1.14073	2.925
2	ec020	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.59056	0.58563	0.834	0.58563	0.834
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0				
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	0.58979	0.58979	0.131	0.58979	0.131
3	ec020	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	2.09375	4.26044	2.09375	4.26023
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25.00	24.14209	3.432	24.14	3.44
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	↓	24.80932	0.683	24.83	0.68
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	↓	24.10492	3.580	24.10	3.60
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.47223	1.40347	4.67053	1.40347	4.6706
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.12883	3.93808	1.12883	3.938

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 B20
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 $\text{RRF} = (A_x)(C_b) / (A_b)(C_x)$
 A_x = Area of associated internal standard
 A_b = Area of compound
 C_x = Concentration of compound
 C_b = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CC0203	2/3/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	1.94681	19.1205	13.5692	13.569
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25	24.261	24.26	2.95599	2.96
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	✓	24.67368	24.67	1.30529	1.32
			Perchlorophenol (4th internal standard)	✓	24.03533	24.04	3.85866	3.858
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.47233	1.40362	1.40362	4.66007	4.660
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.17510	1.11272	1.11272	5.30845	5.308
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)	0.59056	0.57522	0.57522	2.59731	2.598
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Perchlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	0.59056	0.58255	0.58255	1.35484	1.356
3	CC0002	2/3/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	2.18692	1.94681	1.94681	10.97943	10.979
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	25	24.17483	24.17	3.30067	3.32
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	✓	24.9548	24.95	0.18079	0.183
			Perchlorophenol (4th internal standard)	✓	24.06056	24.06	3.75778	3.76
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.47233	1.40565	1.40565	4.52219	4.522
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	0.59056	1.11340	1.11340	5.25057	5.250

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13201B20
 SDG #: HPTO

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 2

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	25	12.5279	50.0	50.1	0.1
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	13.8496	55.2	55.4	0.2
Terphenyl-d14	↓	13.7256	54.8	54.9	0.1
Phenol-d5	37.5	15.9087	42.4	42.4	0
2-Fluorophenol	↓	17.3542	46.4	46.3	0.1
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	26.9805 / 2.1922	72.0	72.0	0
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	20.6899	55.2	55.2	0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	25	12.1922	48.8	48.8	0

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 1320429
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 2

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * (LCS - LCSD) / (LCS + LCSD)$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-012405

Compound	Spike Added (<u>14905</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>14905</u>)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol	750	NA	496	NA	66.1	66.1								
2-Chlorophenol	↓		518		69.1	69.1								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	500		349		69.8	69.8								
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	↓		323		64.6	64.6								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene			389		77.8	77.8								
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	750		585		78.0	78.0								
Acenaphthene	500		384		76.8	76.8								
4-Nitrophenol	750		670		89.5	89.5								
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	500		383		76.6	76.6								
Pentachlorophenol	750		733		97.7	97.7								
Pyrene	500	↓	482	↓	96.4	96.4								

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13201320
SDG #: HPT1

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

(Y) (N) (N/A) Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
(Y) (N) (N/A) Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_t = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 3, EEF:

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(122088)(20)(500)(1)(1)}{(395917)(0.5956)(48.7)(1)(0.53)}$$

= 201.9 mg/kg

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13204C2a **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/19/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	W	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates <u>/DUP</u>	A/A	LDW-SS-67-010 MS only &
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LC5, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	W	D=6+7
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

Mixed

1	LDW-SS-112-010	11	MB-012/05	21		31	
2	LDW-SS-119-010	12	LDW-SS-111-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS-120-010	13	MB-013/05	23		33	
4	LDW-SS-60-010	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS-99-010	15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS-89-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS-201-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS-201-010MS LDW-SS-201-010MS	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS-201-01MSD <u>DUP</u>	19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

SDG #: HP90 [Signature]
 METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
 Y (N) N/A
 If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y (N) N/A
 If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y (N) N/A

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		1	TBP	39.7 (40-130)	No Qual.
		2	TBP	37.5 ()	↓
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory

S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5	23-120	QC Limits (Soil)	35-114	QC Limits (Water)	21-100
S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	30-115		43-116		10-123
S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14	18-137		33-141		33-110*
S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5	24-113		10-94		16-110*
S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol			25-121		
S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol			19-122		
S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4			20-130*		
S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4			20-130*		

DC#: 13204C2a
SDG#: HP90&HQ60

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD (≤ 50)	
	6	7		
UU	32	42	27	
YY	90	170	62	
ZZ	100	160	46	
CCC	42	59	34	
EEE	25	36	36	
DDD	78	120	42	
GGG	62	72	15	
HHH	38	49	25	
III	47	54	14	
JJJ	20	22	10	

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/19-20/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	W	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	W	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/D SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

M sed's

1	LDW-SS114-010 -	11	LDW-SS76-010	21	MB-012805	31	
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS116-010MS	23		33	
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS116-010MSD	24		34	
5	LDW-SS126-010	15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS116-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS127-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS130-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS129-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS118-010	20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

SDG #: H&IT
METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 827C)
Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
Y N / N/A
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
Y N / N/A
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
Y N / N/A

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		2	TBP	45 (40-130)	No Qual
		10	DCB	31.6 ()	N/A / A (NDMA only)
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory

S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5	23-12C	QC Limits (Soil)	35-114	QC Limits (Water)	21-100
S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	30-115		43-116		10-123
S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14	18-137		33-141		33-110*
S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5	24-113		10-94		16-110*
S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol			25-121		
S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol			19-122		
S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4			20-130*		
S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4			20-130*		

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

Y / N / N/A

Were the MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix? Y / N / N/A

Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS (Limits)	%R (Limits)	MSD (Limits)	%R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		BNA	ZZ	357 (40-130)	()	()	()	78.0 (≤ 50)	6	MS/A * Natural Water (SX)
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		
				()	()	()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-119%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	35-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-103%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/24/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	<u>LDW-SS67-010 (HQ2T)</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	<u>CCS, SKM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>D = 2+7, 12+13</u>
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	<u>LDW-SS64-FB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS17-010	11	LDW-SS142-010	21	LDW-SS28-010	31	<u>MB-020105</u>
2	LDW-SS50-010	12	LDW-SS123-010	22	LDW-SS134-010	32	<u>MB-013105</u>
3	LDW-SS55-010	13	LDW-SS203-010	23	LDW-SS123-010MS	33	MB-012905
4	LDW-SS72-010	14	LDW-SS64-RB	24	LDW-SS123-010MSD	34	
5	LDW-SS79-010	15	LDW-SS64-010	25		35	
6	LDW-SS54-010	16	LDW-SS83-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS202-010	17	LDW-SS36-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS42-010	18	LDW-SS58-010	28		38	
9	LDW-SS102-010	19	LDW-SS57-010	29		39	
10	LDW-SS128-010	20	LDW-SS56-010	30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Surrogate Recovery

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
 Y N N/A
 If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y N N/A
 If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y N N/A

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		2	DCB	38.8 (46-130)	No Anal
		12	DCB	39.9 ()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory
 S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-12C
 S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-11E
 S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137
 S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-11E
 S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol 25-121
 S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 19-122
 S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4 20-130*
 S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 20-130*
 QC Limits (Water) 21-100
 10-123
 33-110*
 16-110*

DC#: 13234A2a
 SDG#: HQ48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer:
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	2	7		
UU	160	430	92	
VV	110	150	31	
YY	270	470	54	
ZZ	670	890	28	
CCC	170	240	34	
EEE	560	560	0	
DDD	300	460	42	
GGG	400	370	8	
HHH	350	410	16	
III	260	300	14	
JJJ	73	80	9	
LLL	61	87	35	

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	12	13		
I	15	30	67	
UU	21	39	60	
YY	48	78	48	
ZZ	57	89	44	
CCC	14	58U	200 N/C	
EEE	31	36	15	
DDD	22	36	48	
GGG	24	32	29	
HHH	27	31	14	
III	15	58U	200 N/C	

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05 - 1/21/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	CCS/D, SRH
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	RB=20W-SS43-FB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75-010	S	21	MB-012805	31
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS43-RB	W	22	MB-013105	S 32
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS101-010	S	23	MB-012805	S 33
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS67-010MS	↓	24		34
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS67-010MSD	↓	25		35
6	LDW-SS97-010	16			26		36
7	LDW-SS31-010	17			27		37
8	LDW-SS67-010	18			28		38
9	LDW-SS63-010	19			29		39
10	LDW-SS70-010	20			30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

LDG #: 12-24-12-24
 SDG #: HART & HART
 METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?
 Y N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		10	PHL	33.2 (40-130)	No Qual

* QC limits are advisory
 S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-120
 S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-115
 S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137
 S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-115
 QC Limits (Soil)
 23-120
 30-115
 18-137
 24-115
 QC Limits (Water)
 35-114
 43-116
 33-141
 10-94
 S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol 25-121
 S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 19-122
 S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4 20-130*
 S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 20-130*
 QC Limits (Soil)
 25-121
 19-122
 20-130*
 20-130*
 QC Limits (Water)
 21-100
 10-123
 33-110*
 16-110*

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LDN 88116-010	ZZ	357 (40-130)	()	78.0 (≤ 52)	None	No Anal
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	25-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenachthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-118%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	26-104%	≤ 27%	36-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-100%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	36-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LDC #: 13234E2a **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/25 - 26/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	<u>CCS. SRM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	RB <u>LDW-SS110-RB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS110-RB <u>W</u>	11	LDW-SS33-010	<u>21</u>	<u>MB-020405</u>	=	31
2	LDW-SS52-010 <u>sed</u>	12	LDW-SS49-010	22	MB-012905	N	32
3	LDW-SS92-010	13	LDW-SS143-010	23			33
4	LDW-SS104-010	14	LDW-SS92-010MS	24			34
5	LDW-SS110-010	15	LDW-SS92-010MSD	25			35
6	LDW-SS109-010	16		26			36
7	LDW-SS115-010	17		27			37
8	LDW-SS121-010	18		28			38
9	LDW-SS88-010	19		29			39
10	LDW-SS88-010DL	20		30			40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 843 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 13234229
SDG #: HR56 & HA57

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: CF
2nd Reviewer: Q

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

N Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

N Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		H/15 (3x)	ZZ	T90 (40-130)	199 (40-130)	94.6 (≤52)	3	N/A *
				()	()	()		* only RPD
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-113%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	35-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-100%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LUC #: B-3422A
 SDG #: 18566 H057

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET I
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y/N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		9	All except A, F, G, NN NN, VV		
		9	All except F, G, G → LL (IS out)	91	R/O
		10	All except F, F, F → LL (high PEs)	10	✓

Comments:

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/28/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	TW	<u>LDW-SS92-010 (HQ56C)</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SSCR20-010 <u>sd</u>	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	<u>UB-020405</u>	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachloroburadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(ξ)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 13298029
 SDG #: H893

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

Y N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Y N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LDW-SS92-010	ZZ	790 40-130	199 40-130	94.6 (<=57)	None	No Error
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	< 35%	12-110%	< 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	< 19%	46-113%	< 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	< 50%	27-123%	< 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	< 50%	10-80%	< 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	< 27%	35-97%	< 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	< 47%	24-96%	< 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	< 38%	41-116%	< 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	< 47%	9-103%	< 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	< 23%	33-98%	< 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	< 36%	26-127%	< 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	< 33%	23-97%	< 42%					

LDC #: 13204A2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HP67 Level # IV
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS ^{SVA} Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	SW	
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOG. SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS4-010	sed	11	MB-012605	21		31	
2	LDW-SS10-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS14-010		13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS22-010		14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS10-010MS		15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS10-010MSD		16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13204A-6
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 Azb
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13201A26
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: g
2nd Reviewer: h

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	JJ. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OC. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	CC. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 13201026
 SDG #: HPG

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Initial Calibration

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Did the laboratory conduct an acceptable 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?

Y N N/A Were percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?

Y N N/A Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, what was the acceptance criteria used for evaluation? _____

Y N N/A Did the initial calibration meet the acceptance criteria?

Y N N/A Were all %RSDs and RRFs within the validation criteria of ≤ 30 %RSD and ≥ 0.05 RRF ?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %RSD (Limit: $\leq 30.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/29/05	1CA2	PPP	39.2		M+Be	Y <u>UN</u> / A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Continuing Calibration

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?
 Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
 Were all %D and RRFs within the validation criteria of $\leq 25\%$ %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/31/05	CC0131	PPP	39.3		NA NB, 2-6	N/A/A
	2/1/05	CC0201	PPP	37.2		1	N/A/A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 3270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (2.5 std)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	LOK	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	1.429	1.429	1.397	1.397	1.397	2.5	2.5	
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0.302	0.302	0.303	0.303	0.303	2.0	2.0	
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.114	1.114	1.162	1.162	1.162	5.9	5.9	
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.142	0.142	0.129	0.129	0.129	18.5	18.5	
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.241	1.241	1.225	1.225	1.225	2.0	2.0	
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.058	1.058	1.081	1.081	1.081	3.7	3.7	
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: B204626
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 $\text{RRF} = (A_x)(C_b) / (A_b)(C_x)$ A_b = Area of associated internal standard
 A_x = Area of compound, C_b = Concentration of internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_b = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	SC029A	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2	CC021	1/31/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) E	1.39T	1.379	1.3	1.3	1.3
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.300	1.0	1.0	1.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.128	2.9	2.9	2.9
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.134	3.9	3.9	3.5
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.226	0.1	0.1	0.1
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.056	0.5	0.5	0.5
3	CC0201	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) E	1.39T	1.380	1.2	1.2	1.2
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.305	0.7	0.7	0.7
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.108	4.6	4.6	4.0
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.139	7.8	7.8	7.7
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.272	3.8	3.8	3.8
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.058	0.3	0.3	0.3

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320406
 SLUG #: HP6

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	2.5	1.14473	45.6	45.8	0.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	1.26450	50.4	50.6	0.2
Terphenyl-d14	↓	1.53774	61.6	61.5	0.1
Phenol-d5	3.75	1.91626	51.2	51.1	0.1
2-Fluorophenol	↓	1.78516	47.7	47.6	0.1
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	2.43437	64.8	64.9	0.1
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	1.81981	48.5	48.5	0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	2.5	1.08092	43.2	43.2	0

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
 RPD = $100 * |MS - MSD| / (MS + MSD)$ SA = Spike added MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 5/6

Compound	Spike Added (MS/MSD)		Sample Concentration (MS/MSD)	Spiked Sample Concentration (MS/MSD)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol											
2-Chlorophenol											
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	340	341	ND	200	210	58.8	58.8	61.6	61.6	4.9	4.9
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	340	341	↓	211	210	62.1	62.1	61.6	61.6	0.5	0.5
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	340	341	↓	231	225	67.9	67.9	66.0	66.0	2.6	2.6
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol											
Acenaphthene											
4-Nitrophenol											
2,4-Dinitrotoluene											
Pentachlorophenol	510	511	ND	508	454	99.6	99.6	88.8	88.8	11.2	11.2
Pyrene											

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A-26
 SDG #: HP27

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 8
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $|(LCS - LCSD) / ((LCS + LCSD) / 2)| * 100$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-0 12605

Compound	Spike Added (<u>MPB</u>)		Spike Concentration (<u>147</u>)		LCS		LCSD		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol														
2-Chlorophenol														
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<u>1.67</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>107</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>64.1</u>	<u>64.1</u>								
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	<u>1.11</u>	<u>1.11</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>66.5</u>	<u>66.5</u>								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		<u>1.11</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>111</u>	<u>66.5</u>	<u>66.5</u>								
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol														
Acenaphthene														
4-Nitrophenol														
2,4-Dinitrotoluene														
Pentachlorophenol	<u>2.50</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>161</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>64.4</u>	<u>64.4</u>								
Pyrene														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320402h
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

- Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(V_j)(\%S)}$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_j = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 1, 111:

Conc. = $\frac{(511294)(2)(500)(1)}{(758685)(1.061)(3.39)(1)}$
= 187.4 mg/kg

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13204B2b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HP70

Level # 1 V

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: g2nd Reviewer: SL

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/18/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	SW	
IV.	Continuing calibration	SW	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS10-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 3 + 4
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS23-010	sed	11	MB-012605	21		31	
2	LDW-SS26-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS27-010		13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS200-010		14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS37-010		15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS37-010DL		16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS38-010		17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13204 B26
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 B2b
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LOS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 B2b
SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration

LDC #: 13204520
 SDG #: HP70
 METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

- Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
- N N/A Did the laboratory conduct an acceptable 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?
 - N N/A Were percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
 - N N/A Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If yes, what was the acceptance criteria used for evaluation? _____
 - Y N/A Did the initial calibration meet the acceptance criteria?
 - Y N/A Were all %RSDs and RRFs within the validation criteria of ≤ 30 %RSD and ≥ 0.05 RRF ?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %RSD (Limit: $\leq 30.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/29/05	1CAL	PPF	39.2		M+B	N/A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours of sample analysis for each instrument?
 N N/A Were percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCC's and SPCC's?
 N N/A Were all %D and RRFs within the validation criteria of $\leq 25\%$ %D and ≥ 0.05 RRF?

#	Date	Standard ID	Compound	Finding %D (Limit: $\leq 25.0\%$)	Finding RRF (Limit: ≥ 0.05)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	1/31/05	CC0131	PPP	39.3		1-5-71	J/W/A
	2/3/05	CC0203	PPP	79.3		6	J/W/A

LJC #: 1304B2b
SDG #: HP 30

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		5	NN	5	P/A
		6	All except NN	6	P/B

Comments:

DC#: 13204A2b
SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: Q
2nd Reviewer: DK

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270-SIM)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	≤ SD
	3	4		
CCC	310	150	70	
GGG	400	270	39	
III	290	220	27	
JJJ	150	180	18	
AAA	42	17	85	

LDC #: 13004 B-6
 SDG #: HP-70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is}) / (A_{is})(C_x)$ A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$ S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (2.5 std)	RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD	
1	10A	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)	1.429	1.429	1.397	1.397	2.5	2.5		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0.302	0.302	0.303	0.303	2.9	2.0		
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.114	1.114	1.162	1.162	5.9	5.9		
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.142	0.142	0.129	0.129	18.3	18.5		
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.241	1.241	1.225	1.225	2.7	2.0		
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.058	1.058	1.061	1.061	3.7	3.7		
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 13204B20
 SDG #: HP20

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_b) / (A_b)(C_x)$ RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_b = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_b = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CCP203	2/5/04	Phenol (1st internal standard)	1.397	1.452	3.9	1.452	3.9
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)	0.303	0.303	0.0	0.303	0.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)	1.162	1.155	0.6	1.155	0.6
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.138	7.0	0.138	6.8
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.259	2.8	1.259	2.8
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.066	0.5	1.066	0.5
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B2b
SDG #: HR70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_s) / (A_s)(C_x)$ RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CC029A	1/29/05	Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2	CC031	1/31/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) Σ	1.397	1.379	1.379	1.3	1.3
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.300	0.300	1.0	1.0
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.128	1.128	2.9	2.9
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.134	0.134	3.9	3.5
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.226	1.226	0.1	0.1
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.056	1.056	0.5	0.5
3	CC0201	2/1/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) Σ	1.397	1.380	1.380	1.2	1.2
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard) R	0.303	0.305	0.305	0.7	0.7
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard) LL	1.162	1.108	1.108	4.6	4.6
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)	0.129	0.139	0.139	7.8	7.7
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)	1.225	1.272	1.272	3.8	3.8
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)	1.061	1.058	1.058	0.3	0.3

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13-204 B26
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5	2.5	1.55397	62.0	62.2	0.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl	↓	1.67477	66.8	67.0	0.2
Terphenyl-d14	↓	2.04564	82.0	81.8	0.2
Phenol-d5	3.75	2.71727	72.5	72.5	0
2-Fluorophenol	↓	2.47093	65.9	65.9	↓
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	↓	3.12983	83.5	83.5	↓
2-Chlorophenol-d4	↓	2.46356	65.6	65.6	↓
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	2.5	1.3752	55.2	55.0	0.2

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 13204 B2b
 SDG #: LPTO

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 8
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * (LCS - LCSD) / (LCS + LCSD)$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 0 12605

Compound	Spike Added (MPS)		Spike Concentration (MPS)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol														
2-Chlorophenol														
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	107	NA	107	NA	64.1	64.1	66.5	66.5						
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	111	↓	111	↓	66.5	66.5	66.5	66.5						
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	111	↓	111	↓	66.5	66.5	66.5	66.5						
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol														
Acenaphthene														
4-Nitrophenol														
2,4-Dinitrotoluene														
Pentachlorophenol	250	NA	161	NA	64.4	64.4	64.4	64.4						
Pyrene														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1304B7
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_s)(RRF)(V_o)(\%S)}$$

- A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_l = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 1 . 111 :

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(119003)(2)(500)(1)}{(98873)(1.061)(5.84)(1)}$$

= 29.2 $\mu\text{g/g}$

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13204C2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: 9
 2nd Reviewer: JK

METHOD: GC/MS ^{SIDA} Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	TW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS, SEM</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	TW	<u>D=5+6</u>
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

M sed 5

1	LDW-SS-119-010	11	<u>MB-01 = 805</u>	21		31
2	LDW-SS-120-010	12		22		32
3	LDW-SS-60-010	13		23		33
4	LDW-SS-99-010	14		24		34
5	LDW-SS-89-010	15		25		35
6	LDW-SS-201-010	16		26		36
7	LDW-SS-201-010MS	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS-201-01MSD	18		28		38
9		19		29		39
10		20		30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acetaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 6 of 7
Reviewer: G
2nd Reviewer: R

SDG #: HP906-HR69
METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within CC limits?
 Y N N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?
 Y N N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		4	2FP	35.7 (40-130)	→ N/A P
			PHL	39.5 ()	↓
			2CP	39.2 ()	↓
			DCB	32.1 ()	↓
			NBZ	36.8 ()	↓
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory
 S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-120
 S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-115
 S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137
 S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-113
 S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol 25-121
 S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 19-122
 S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4 20-130*
 S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 20-130*
 QC Limits (Water) 21-100, 10-123, 33-110*, 16-110*
 QC Limits (Soil) 35-114, 43-116, 33-141, 10-94

DC#: 13204C2b
SDG#: HP908HQ69

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270) SW

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	SS
	A 5	A 6		
CCC	48	20	82	
GGG	38	24	45	
III	31	20	43	
JJJ	11	11	0	

LDC #: 13204D2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ17 & HQ04 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)-SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/27/04 - 1/20/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-201-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS117-010	11	MB-012805	21	31
2	LDW-SS13B-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS125-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS126-010	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS129 010	15		25	35
6	LDW-B9a-S	16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

LDC #: 13234A2b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/9/05

SDG #: HQ48

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: 2nd Reviewer: METHOD: GC/MS ^{SFDA} Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	CCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 1+4, 7+8
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

Mixed

1	LDW-SS50-010	11	LDW-SS56-010	21	31
2	LDW-SS72-010	12	LDW-SS28-010	22	32
3	LDW-SS79-010	13	LDW-SS102-010MS	23	33
4	LDW-SS202-010	14	LDW-SS102-010MSD	24	34
5	LDW-SS102-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS142-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS123-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS203-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS64-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS83-010	20		30	40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

DC#:13234A2b
 SDG#:HQ48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CE
 2nd Reviewer: SC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270-SIM)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	1	4		
CCC	23	280	170	
GGG	25	420	178	
III	21	300	174	
JJJ	10	140	173	

Compound	Concentration (ug/Kg)		RPD	
	7	8		
CCC	15	9.6	44	
GGG	16	12	29	
III	11	9.6	14	
JJJ	11	6.4U	200 NC	
CC	18	6.4U	200 NC	
AAA	7.6	6.4U	200 NC	

LDC #: 13234B2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/21/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-201-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS43-010	sed	11	MB-012805	21		31	
2	LDW-SS97-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS63-010		13		23		33	
4			14		24		34	
5			15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13234C2b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HR48

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: CF2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/1/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	SV	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS. SEM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS18-010	sed	11	MB-020905	21		31	
2	LDW-SS18-010MS	↓	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS18-010MSD	↓	13		23		33	
4			14		24		34	
5			15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 3234026
SDG #: HR48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Blanks

Page: 6 of 7
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 3/9/05
Conc. units: $\mu\text{g/g}$

Blank analysis date: 3/10/05
Associated Samples: M

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
MS	020905	
LL	13	

Blank extraction date: _____
Conc. units: _____

Blank analysis date: _____
Associated Samples: _____

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

BNA_blank.wpd

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) - SIM

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/25 - 26/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	No MS/MSD not provided
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LC5, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS92-010	sed	11	MB020105	21		31	
2	LDW-SS104-010		12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS110-010		13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS88-010		14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS143-010		15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

LDC #: 13298B2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HT37 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/24/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: CA
 2nd Reviewer: _____

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) (SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/20/05 - 1/24/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS118-010	<u>sed</u> 11	<u>MB-022105</u>	21		31
2	LDW-SS101-010	12		22		32
3	LDW-SS55-010	13		23		33
4	LDW-SS36-010	14		24		34
5	LDW-SS55-010MS	15		25		35
6	LDW-SS55-010MSD	16		26		36
7		17		27		37
8		18		28		38
9		19		29		39
10		20		30		40

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A

2nd Reviewer: AC

Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?

Y N N/A

If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Y N N/A

If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
		3	NBZ	30.8 (40-130)	Neutral
		4	NBZ	30.4 ()	✓
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

* QC limits are advisory

S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5	QC Limits (Soil)	23-120	QC Limits (Water)	35-114	QC Limits (Soil)	25-121	QC Limits (Water)	21-100
S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	30-115		43-116		S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol	19-122		10-123
S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14	18-137		33-141		S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	20-130*		33-110*
S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5	24-113		10-94		S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4	20-130*		16-110*
					S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4			

METHOD: GC/MS Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C) *(SIM)*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/17-26/05</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	TW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	TW	<u>CCS</u>
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

MSDS

1	LDW-SS1-010	11	LDW-SS96-010	21	<u>MB-030305</u>	31	
2	LDW-SS5-010	12	LDW-SS67-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS12-010	13	LDW-SS54-010	23		33	
4	LDW-SS13-010	14	LDW-SS42-010	24		34	
5	LDW-SS51-010	15	LDW-SS128-010	25		35	
6	LDW-SS116-010	16	LDW-SS52-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS76-010	17	LDW-SS33-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS44-010	18	LDW-SS1-010MS	28		38	
9	LDW-SS87-010	19	LDW-SS1-010MSD	29		39	
10	LDW-SS94-010	20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-c)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	NN. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(e)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 132980cb
 SDG #: HU13

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ST
 2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- N N/A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- N N/A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- N N/A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 3/3/05 Blank analysis date: 3/4/05

Conc. units: ug/L Associated Samples: NA

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
	MB-030305	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
LL	16	17/U	14/U	14/U	13/NA	6.6/U	7.3/U	14/U	11/U	9.3/U	

Blank extraction date: 3/4/05 Blank analysis date: 3/4/05
 Conc. units: ug/L Associated Samples: NA

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification									
	MB-030305	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
LL	16	14/U	12/U	12/U	15/U	14/U	14/U	7.8/U	36/U		

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
 Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

BNA_blank.wpd

LDC #: 13098020
SDG #: HU17

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A
 N N/A
Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>LCS-030305</u>	<u>TI</u>	<u>88 (40-130)</u>	()	()	<u>mt + Bk</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Sulfur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW A	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SS13-010	11	MB - 12405	21		31	
2	LDW-SS13-010MS	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS13-010MSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

note: per case narrative: lab extracted sample batch for in-house levels. client was notified.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE. <i>Hexachlorobiphenylene</i>	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

LDC #: 13204 A3a
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/ECD Instrument performance check				
Was the instrument performance found to be acceptable?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20%?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?			✓	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?			✓	
Were the RT windows properly established?	✓			
Were the required standard concentrations analyzed in the initial calibration?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or ___%R	✓			
Were Evaluation mix standards analyzed prior to the initial calibration and sample analysis?	✓			
Were endrin and 4,4'-DDT breakdowns ≤ 15%.0 for individual breakdown in the Evaluation mix standards?	✓			
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	✓			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Were extract cleanup blanks analyzed with every batch requiring clean-up?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks or clean-up blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.			✓	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	✓			
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	

LDC #: 13204A3a
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions, dry weight factors, and clean-up activities applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

METHOD: ✓ GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Y/N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/D) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y/N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Y Level I/D Only Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
N/A

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	LCS-012405	D	46 (30-150)	()	()	All + BIK	JW/P
		R	19.4 ()	()	()	↓	
		EE	48.4 ()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13204A30
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound
- C = Concentration of compound
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF ^{0.2} (0.02std)	CF ^{0.2} (0.02std)	Average CF (Initial)	Average CF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	8081A STX-CUP1	1/11/05	Endosulfan 1 methoxychlor	1.0319 0.4182	1.0319 0.4182	0.9623 0.3868	0.9623 0.3868	7.4 12.0	7.4 12.0		
2	STX-CUP2	↓	↓	1.0393 0.4052	1.0393 0.4052	0.9641 0.3733	0.9641 0.3733	7.3 12.6	7.3 12.6		
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

LDC #: 13204A3a
 SDG #: H867

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

% Difference = $100 * \frac{(ave. CF - CF)}{ave. CF}$
 CF = A/C

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF (cal)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	cen.	1/27/05	endosulfan /	0.019	5	0.019	5	
	1115		methoxychlor	0.18	10.0	0.18	10.0	
	STX-aup1							
2		↓	↓	0.020	0	0.020	0	
	STX-cup1			0.17	15.0	0.17	15.0	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3a
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	SFX CVP1					
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	↘	0.04	0.0493	61.6	61.6 +23.7	0
Decachlorobiphenyl	SFX CVP2	↓	0.0583	72.9	72.9 +45.7	↓
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204A3a
SDG #: H167

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Concentration
 SA = Spike added
 RPD = $100 * |MS - MSD| / (MS + MSD)$ MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 2 + 3

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix Spike		Matrix Spike Duplicate		MS/MSD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
gamma-BHC	30.9	30.9	NP	19.9	19.2	64.4	64.4	61.9	61.9	3.6	3.6
Heptachlor	↓	↓		22.1	21.7	71.8	71.8	70.0	70.0	2.3	2.3
Aldrin	↓	↓		23.5	23.3	76.1	76.1	75.2	75.2	0.9	0.9
Dieldrin	61.8	61.8		48.8	47.1	79.0	79.0	76.1	76.1	3.5	3.5
Endrin	↓	↓		55.3	52.9	89.5	89.5	85.5	85.5	4.4	4.4
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓		50.1	47.7	81.1	81.1	77.1	77.1	4.9	4.9

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Concentration
 SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * |LCS - LCSD| / (LCS + LCSD)$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 12409

Compound	Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Spike Added (ug/kg)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Gamma-BHC	6.67	NA	0	NA	3.07	NA	46	46				
Heptachlor	↓	↓	↓	↓	5.40	↓	81	81				
Aldrin	↓	↓	↓	↓	5.62	↓	84.3	84.3				
Dieldrin	13.3	↓	↓	↓	10.2	↓	76.7	76.7				
Endrin	↓	↓	↓	↓	10.5	↓	78.9	78.9				
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓	↓	↓	10.8	↓	81.2	81.2	NA			

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3a
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?

Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Example:

Sample I.D. _____:

Conc. = (_____)
(_____)

= *all ND*

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

Note: _____

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	<u>LDW - SS18 - 010</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples <u>/SRM</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>LES</u>
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	<u>sulphur clean up performed</u>
XI.	Target compound identification	<u>N A</u>	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	<u>SW X</u>	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	ND	<u>D = 1 + 2</u>
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
sediment

1	LDW-SS27-010 <u>D</u>	11	<u>MB - 012405</u>	21		31	
2	LDW-SS200-010 <u>D</u>	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS32-010	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

note: Per narrative: samples mistakenly extracted using inhouse levels.
 Client was notified.
 SPM same in 13204B.

LDC #: 13204 B3a
 SDG #: HP7D

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/ECD instrument performance check				
Was the instrument performance found to be acceptable?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20%?	✓			
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?		✓		
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?			✓	
Were the RT windows properly established?	✓			
Were the required standard concentrations analyzed in the initial calibration?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or ___%R	✓			
Were Evaluation mix standards analyzed prior to the initial calibration and sample analysis?	✓			
Were endrin and 4,4'-DDT breakdowns ≤ 15%.0 for individual breakdown in the Evaluation mix standards?	✓			
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	✓			
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Were extract cleanup blanks analyzed with every batch requiring clean-up?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks or clean-up blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	✓			
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?			✓	

LDC #: 13204B3a
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions, dry weight factors, and clean-up activities applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204 B3a
 SDG #: HPTD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: AC

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y/N/N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y/N/N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level IV/D Only
 Y/N/N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	LCS-12405	D	46	(50-150)	()	All + BIK	1/US/P
		R	19.4	()	()	↓	↓
		EE	48.4	()	()	↓	↓
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13204 B3a
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: AC

METHOD: GC / HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = 100 * (S/X)
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated	Reported	Recalculated
				CF 0.2 (0.02 std)	CF 0.2 (0.02 std)	Average CF (Initial)	Average CF (Initial)	%RSD	%RSD
1	8081A STX-REP1	1/21/05	endosulfan I methoxychlor	1.0319 0.4182	1.0319 0.4182	0.9623 0.3868	0.9623 0.3868	7.4 12.0	7.4 12.0
2	STX-REP2	↓	↓	1.0393 0.4052	1.0393 0.4052	0.9641 0.3733	0.9611 0.3733	7.3 12.6	7.3 12.6
3									
4									

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

LDC #: 17204B30a
 SDG #: HP70

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: B
 2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: GC ✓ HPLC _____

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$ Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = A/C CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ical)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	CEV INS STX CUP I	1/27/05	endosulphan I methoxychlor	0.020 0.20	0.017 0.18	5 10.0	5 10.0	
2	STX CUP I	↓	↓	✓	0.020 0.17	0 15.0	0 15.0	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 B3a
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	STX-CP1	0.04	0.0477	59.6	119 59.6	0
Decachlorobiphenyl	STX-CP2	↓	0.0544	68.0	136 68	0
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Sample ID: _____

Surrogate	Column	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Tetrachloro-m-xylene						
Decachlorobiphenyl						
Decachlorobiphenyl						

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Concentration
 SA = Spike added
 $\% \text{ Recovery} = 100 * (\text{SSC}-\text{SC})/\text{SA}$
 $\text{RPD} = | \text{MS} - \text{MSD} | * 2 / (\text{MS} + \text{MSD})$
 MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 10W-5513-010

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
gamma-BHC	30.9	30.9	NP	19.2	19.2	64.4	64.4	61.9	61.9	3.6	3.6
Heptachlor	↓	↓	↓	21.7	21.7	71.8	71.8	70.0	70.0	2.3	2.3
Aldrin	↓	↓	↓	23.3	23.3	76.1	76.1	75.2	75.2	0.9	0.9
Dieldrin	61.8	61.8	↓	47.1	47.1	79.0	79.0	76.1	76.1	3.5	3.5
Endrin	↓	↓	↓	52.9	52.9	89.5	89.5	85.5	85.5	4.4	4.4
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓	↓	47.7	47.7	81.1	81.1	77.1	77.1	4.9	4.9

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12204B20
 SDG #: WP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SSC-SA) / SA$

Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
 SA = Spike added

SC = Concentration

RPD = $|(LCS - LCSD) * 2 / (LCS + LCSD)|$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery

LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 12405

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Concentration (ug/kg)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Gamma-BHC	1.67	UA	0	3.07	UA	46	46				
Heptachlor	↓	↓	↓	5.40	↓	81	81				
Aldrin	↓	↓	↓	5.62	↓	84.3	84.3				
Dieldrin	13.3	↓	↓	10.2	↓	76.7	76.7				
Endrin	↓	↓	↓	10.5	↓	78.9	78.9				
4,4'-DDT	↓	↓	↓	10.8	↓	81.2	81.2	NA			

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B3a
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?

Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Example:

Sample I.D. _____:

Conc. = (_____)
(_____)

=

all NP

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

Note: _____

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 01/19/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	sulphur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQI s	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
 sediment

1	LDW-SS-99-010	11	MB-012805	21		31	
2	LDW-SS-99-010MS	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS-99-010MSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 845 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Samples

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

LDC #: 1320423a

SDG #: AP90

METHOD: GC Pesticides/PCBs (EPA SW 846 Method 8081/8082)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A Was a LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LCS-012805	R	31.0 (50-150)	() ()	() ()	All + Blk	JW/P
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/9 - 1/20/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-99-010, LDW-SS134-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	SW	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisol cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	subsequent clean-up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sdi meals*

1	LDW-SS13B-010	11	MB - 012805	21		31	
2	LDW-SS125-010	12	MB - 020105	22		32	
3	LDW-SS126-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS116-010	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS127-010	15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS129-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS76-010	17	<i>2/11/05</i>	27		37	
8	LDW-SS84-010	18	<i>5x</i>	28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

SRM per batch # 1 in LDC # 13204C

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level I/D Only
 Y N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	LCs-020105	R	36.8 (50-150)	()	()	MB-020105, 7	J/W/P
	LCs-012805	R	31.0 ()	()	()	MB-012805, 1-6, B	↓
	NO SRM	ATL	()	()	()	MB-020105, 7	W/P

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	SW	LES
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	sulfur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	MSW	D = 1 + 6
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediments*

1	LDW-SS50-010 D	11	LDW-SS58-010	21	MB-020105	31
2	LDW-SS55-010	12	LDW-SS28-010	22	MB-020409	32
3	LDW-SS72-010	13	LDW-SS134-010	23		33
4	LDW-SS79-010	14	LDW-SS134-010MS	24		34
5	LDW-SS54-010	15	LDW-SS134-010MSD	25		35
6	LDW-SS202-010 D	16		26		36
7	LDW-SS42-010	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS128-010	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS64-010	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS36-010	20		30		40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

LDC # 13234A30 Page: 1 of 1
SDG #: 184B Reviewer: PC
METHOD: GC HPLC 2nd Reviewer: PC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N, N/A Were a laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSd) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y, N, N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level IV/D Only
 Y, N, N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSd %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	LCS-020105	R	36.8 (50-150)	()	()	MB-020105, All except #7	YUW/P
	LCS-020405	R	43.5 ()	()	()	MB-020405, 7	↓

LDC #: 13234B3a

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/10/05

SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/21/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS-99-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SS87-010	11	MB-012809	21		31	
2	LDW-SS96-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS63-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS70-010	14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234B3a
SDG #: HQ27

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Were a laboratory control samples (LCS) and laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 N N/A Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

Level: M/D Only
 N N/A Was an LCS analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?

#	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	13234B3a	R	31.0 (50-150)	()	()	All + BJK	JWS/P
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13234E3a

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/25/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW - 55134-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	SW	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	LDW-SS92-010	11	MB-020105	21	31
2	LDW-SS104-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS115-010	13		23	33
4		14		24	34
5		15		25	35
6		16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Silica gel clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SS130-010	11	MB-030305	21		31	
2	LDW-SS130-010MS	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS130-010MSD	13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 13298D3a

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HV08

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/25/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Chlorinated Pesticides (EPA SW 846 Method 8081A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area		Comments	
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17 - 1/21/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	DUP N/A	ND MS/MSD test
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SRM SW/A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Silica gel clean-up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	D = 1 + 3
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SS5-010	11	MB-031105	21	31
2	LDW-SS67-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS5-010DUP	13		23	33
4		14		24	34
5		15		25	35
6		16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP67
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level ~~II~~ IV

Date: 3/4/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	NA	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes / IS	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisol cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Acid + sulfur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1+	LDW-SS1-010	11	LDW-SS5-010MSD	21	31
2+	LDW-SS4-010	12	MB-012405	22	32
3	LDW-SS5-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS10A0	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS12-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS14-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS15-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS22-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS13-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS5-010MS	20		30	40

SRM/Proctor 1254 = 120 ug/kg
 (SRM SQ-1)

LDC #: 13204A36
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC HPLC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___ %D or %R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP6-1

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: FB
 2nd Reviewer: PC

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			/	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?		/		
Were target compounds detected in the field duplicates?			/	
XV. Field blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?		/		
Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: H167

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: B
 2nd Reviewer: B

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes ___ or No ___
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". No: applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A N/A N/A N/A
 Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?
 N N/A N/A N/A N/A
 Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Sample ID	Detector/ (Column)	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	<u>2</u>	<u>ZB5</u>	<u>DCB</u>	<u>234</u> (50-150)	<u>J/P defect</u>

note: Lab thinks that they spiked it twice

	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A	Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G	Oxalocane	M	Benzo(e)Pyrene
B	4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H	Ortho-Terphenyl	N	Terphenyl-D14
C	a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I	Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O	Decafluorobiphenyl (CCB)
D	Bromochlorobenzene	J	n-Triacontane	P	1-methylphthalene
E	1,4-Dichlorobutane	K	Hexacosane	Q	Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)
F	1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L	Bromobenzene	R	4-Nitrophenol
				S	1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene
				T	3,4-Dinitrotoluene
				U	Triphenyltin
				V	Tri-n-propyltin
				W	Tributyl Phosphate
				X	Triphenyl Phosphate

LDC #: 13204A36
SDG #: A867

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery / 15

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks?

Y/N N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits?

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
1	ZBS	↓	Heptachlorobiphenyl	65555358 (66506087-2106024218)	J/NJ/P Equal All except AA+BB
2		↓		55076972	↓
3		↓		66177898	J/NJ/A
5		↓		54440457	Equal All except AA+BB J/NJ/P
6		↓		59559589	Equal All except AA+BB
7		↓		57122450	↓
8		↓		53377065	↓
9		↓		54405003	↓

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octacosane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Dinitrotoluene
C a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (DCB)	U Triphenyltin
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methylnaphthalene	V Tri-n-propyltin
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate

LDC #: 1320 4A3b
SDG #: H967

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Y N N/A

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

Y N N/A

Did the percent difference of detected compounds between two columns/detectors \leq 40%?

If no, please see findings below.

#	Compound Name	Sample ID	% RPD	% ^D Between Two Columns/Detectors Limit (\leq 40%)	Qualifications
	BB	7		40.0 41	N/A det
	Y	8		46.2 46	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 3204A3b
SDG #: 1867

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nc Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC / HPLC

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (0.25std)	CF (0.25 std)	Average CF (initial)	Average CF (initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	Arachol 1016/1260 1CA V	128/05	Arachol 1260-1 (ZB5)	0.0284	0.0184	0.0279	0.0279	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3
2			↓ (ZB35)	0.0567	0.0567	0.0587	0.0587	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nc Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC ✓ HPLC _____

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$ Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = A/C CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(ical)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	8082 c/v ZB5	1/28/05 16:26	Acetone 1260-1	0.50	14.5	0.57	14.5	
2	ZB35	↓	↓	0.52	4.5	0.52	4.5	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: A167

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

METHOD: GC HPLC

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$
 Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: # 1

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
TCM X	ZB5	0.040	0.0370	92.6	92.5	0
PCB	↓	↓	0.0522	130.4	130.5	0

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HPE7

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 10
 Reviewer: P
 2nd Reviewer: X

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = $100 * (SSC - SC) / SA$

Where

SSC = Spiked sample concentration

SC = Sample concentration

SA = Spike added

MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

RPD = $\frac{((SSC - SSCMSD) * 2) / (SSCMS + SSCMSD)}{100}$

MS/MSD samples: 10 + 11

Compound	Spike Added (ug/kg)		Sample Conc. (ug/kg)	Spike Sample Concentration (ug/kg)		Matrix spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD		MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (802'B)											
Methane (RS<-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8330)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											
Atoclor 1260	100	100	ND	102	101	102	102	101	101	1	1

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 2204A3b

Page: 1 of 1

SDG #: HPLC7

Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA

Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration

SC = Sample concentration

SA = Spike added

RPD = ((SSCLCS - SSCLCSD) * 2) / (SSCLCS + SSCLCSD) * 100

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS - 012405

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Sample Conc. (ug/L)	Spike Sample Concentration (ug/L)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)											
Diesel (8015)											
Benzene (8021B)											
Methane (RSK-175)											
2,4-D (8151)											
Dinoseb (8151)											
Naphthalene (8310)											
Anthracene (8310)											
HMX (8333)											
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)											
Aroclor 1260	102	NA	0	102	NA	100	100	NA	NA		

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

LDC #: 13204A3b
 SDG #: HP67

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Concentration = $\frac{A(Fv)(Df)}{(RF)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$
 Example: Sample ID: #1 Compound Name: Aroclor 1260

A = Area or height of the compound to be measured
 Fv = Final Volume of extract
 Df = Dilution Factor
 RF = Average response factor of the compound in the initial calibration
 Vs = Initial volume of the sample
 Ws = Initial weight of the sample
 %S = Percent Solid

Concentration = $\frac{2914031 \times 0.05}{10076277 \times 5 \times 100} = \frac{26}{50 \mu g/kg}$

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations	Recalculated Results Concentrations	Qualifications
	Aroclor - 2 =	$\frac{2914031}{10076277} \times 0.05$			
	=	0.315			
	Aroclor (2 + 3 + 4)	$\frac{0.315 + 0.244 + 0.259}{3}$			

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204B3b
 SDG #: HP70
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level IV

Date: 3/4/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/18/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes / IS	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS5-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples / SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Acid + Sulphur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XIV.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 4 + 5
XV.	Field blanks	ND	R = T RB = LDW-SS38-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: soil + water S

1	LDW-SS38-RB	11	LDW-SS51-010	S	21		31
2	LDW-SS23-010	12	MB-012405		22		32
3	LDW-SS26-010	13	MB-012205		23		33
4	LDW-SS27-010	14			24		34
5	LDW-SS200-010	15			25		35
6	LDW-SS32-010	16			26		36
7	LDW-SS37-010	17			27		37
8	LDW-SS38-010	18			28		38
9	LDW-SS40-010	19			29		39
10	LDW-SS48-010	20			30		40

SRM SA-1: 1254 = 120 ug/kg
 found in 13204A

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204B3b
 SDG #: HP40

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: GC ~~HPLC~~

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
J. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a linear fit used for evaluation? If yes, were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) < 20%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the RT windows properly established?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
What type of continuing calibration calculation was performed? ___%D or %R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a continuing calibration analyzed daily?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) < 15%.0 or percent recoveries 85-115%?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all the retention times within the acceptance windows?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If the percent recovery (%R) of one or more surrogates was outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204B36
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	/			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			/	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			/	
X. Target compound identification				
Were the retention times of reported detects within the RT windows?	/			
XI. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	/			
XII. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	/			
XIV. Field duplicates				
Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?	/			
Were target compounds idetected in the field duplicates?	/			
XV. Field blanks				
Were field blanks identified in this SDG?	/			
Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?		/		

LDC #: 13204B36
SDG #: HR70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery / 15

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N/N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks? Y

Y/N/N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? Y

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	Area	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
5		ZB5	Heptachlorobiphenyl	5297755	(55673917 - 22695668)	↓ UJ/P QUAL: V, W, X, Y, Z
6		↓		52779345	()	↓
9		↓		54738954	()	↓
10		↓		47839147	()	↓
11		↓		50253001	()	↓

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octacosane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Dinitrotoluene
C a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (DCB)	U Triphenyltin
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methylphthalene	V Tri-n-propyltin
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Y N N/A

Y N N/A

Y N N/A

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

Did the percent difference of detected compounds between two columns./detectors ≤40%?

If no, please see findings below.

#	Compound Name	Sample ID	% RPD %B Between Two Columns/Detectors Limit (≤ 40%)	Qualifications
	<u>γ</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>N/A det</u>

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LC #: 13204B3b

SDG #: HPTD

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit 150	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	4	5		
Y	21	23	9	
AA	32	37	14	
BB	20u	40	200 NC	

Compound	Concentration ()		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples

LDC #: 1320483b
 SDG #: HPTD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: B
 2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: GC ~~HPLC~~

The calibration Factor (CF), average CF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

- CF = A/C
- average CF = sum of the CF/number of standards
- %RSD = $100 * (S/X)$
- A = Area of compound,
- C = Concentration of compound,
- S = Standard deviation of the CF
- X = Mean of the CFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				CF (0.25 std)	CF (0.25 std)	Average CF (initial)	Average CF (initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	824014L ZB9	1/24/05	1260-1	0.0278	0.0278	0.0278	0.0278	5.0	5.0	8.0	8.0
	ZB39		↓	0.0555	0.0555	0.0576	0.0576	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6
2	ZB5	1/31/05	↓	0.0876	0.0876	0.0997	0.0997	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
	ZB35			0.0613	0.0613	0.0601	0.0601	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
3											
4											

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B3b
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: R
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Calibration Factors (CF) and the continuing calibration CF were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 \times (\text{ave. CF} - \text{CF}) / \text{ave. CF}$ Where: ave. CF = initial calibration average CF
 CF = continuing calibration CF
 A = Area of compound
 C = Concentration of compound

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound	Average CF(cal)/ CCV Conc.	Reported		Recalculated	
					CF/Conc. CCV	%D	CF/Conc. CCV	%D
1	8082.CCV	1/31/05 1427	1260-1 ZB5 ↓ ZB35	0.49 0.50	0.50 0.49	1.2	1.2	
2				0.50	0.47	6.2	6.2	
3								
4								

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B3b

SDG #: HPTD

METHOD: GC HPLC

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd reviewer: [Signature]

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: $SF/SS * 100$
Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #1

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	
DEZBS	↓	0.04	0.0499	125	125	0
TEMP	↓	↓	0.0351	87.8	87.8	↓

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

Sample ID:

Surrogate	Column/Detector	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery		Percent Difference
				Reported	Recalculated	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

$$\%R_{\text{Recovery}} = 100 * ((SSC - SC) / SA)$$

 Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
 SA = Spike added MS = Matrix spike
 RPD = $\left(\frac{SSCMS - SSCMSD}{SSCMS + SSCMSD} \right) * 100$ MSD = Matrix spike duplicate

MS/MSD samples: LDW-555-010

Compound	Spike Added		Sample Conc.		Spike Sample Concentration		Matrix spike		Matrix Spike Duplicate		MS/MSD	
	MS	MSD	---	---	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Gasoline (8015)												
Diesel (8015)												
Benzene (8021B)												
Meihane (RSK-175)												
2,4-D (8151)												
Dinoseb (8151)												
Naphthalene (8310)												
Anthracene (8310)												
HMX (8330)												
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)												

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B30

SDG #: HPTO

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature] 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

The percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

%Recovery = 100 * ((SSC - SC)/SA)

Where SSC = Spiked sample concentration
SA = Spike added

SC = Sample concentration

RPD = (((SSCLCS - SSCLCSD) * 2) / ((SSCLCS + SSCLCSD))) * 100

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD = Laboratory Control Sample duplicate

LCS/LCSD samples: _____

Compound	Spike Added ()		Sample Conc. ()	Spike Sample Concentration ()		LCS		LCSD		LCS/LCSD													
	LCS	LCSD		LCS	LCSD	Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		Reported	Recalc.												
	Reported	Recalc.		Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.												
Gasoline (8015)			---																				
Diesel (8015)																							
Benzene (8021B)																							
Methane (RSK-175)																							
2,4-D (8151)																							
Dinoseb (8151)																							
Naphthalene (8310)																							
Anthracene (8310)																							
HMX (8330)																							
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (8330)																							

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 B3b
 SDG #: HPTD

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A
Y N N/A

Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds within 10% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A)(Fv)(Df)}{(RF)(Vs \text{ or } Ws)(\%S/100)}$ Example:
 Sample ID: #2 Compound Name: 1260

Concentration = $0.157 \times 5 \times 1000 = 26.2$
 $= 30 \text{ ug/kg}$

A= Area or height of the compound to be measured
 Fv= Final Volume of extract
 Df= Dilution Factor
 RF= Average response factor of the compound in the initial calibration
 Vs= Initial volume of the sample
 Ws= Initial weight of the sample
 %S= Percent Solid

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentrations	Recalculated Results Concentrations	Qualifications
	Aroclor 1260-2	$(1609390)(0.05)$	=		
		$(9452964)(0.0468)$			
		= 0.182			
	$1260 - (2 + 3 + 4)$	= 0.182 to 172 + 0.118	=	0.157	
			3		

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204C3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 2/4/05

SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 ~~2-1069~~

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/09</u>
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes <u>TS</u>	A <u>SW</u>	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	<u>SIA</u>	<u>LDW-9597-010</u>
VIII.	Laboratory control samples <u>/SRM</u>	A	<u>LC9</u>
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	<u>SW</u>	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	<u>SW</u>	<u>D = 7 + 8</u>
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	2	LDW-SS-111-010	<u>5</u>	11	<u>MB-012609</u>	21		31	
2		LDW-SS-112-010		12	<u>2 MB-02015</u>	22		32	
3		LDW-SS-119-010		13		23		33	
4		LDW-SS-120-010		14		24		34	
5		LDW-SS-60-010		15		25		35	
6		LDW-SS-99-010		16		26		36	
7		LDW-SS-89-010	<u>D</u>	17		27		37	
8		LDW-SS-201-010	<u>D</u>	18		28		38	
9		LDW-SS-60-010MS		19		29		39	
10		LDW-SS-60-010MSD		20		30		40	

(Batch #1) SRM SG-1 (1254 = 120 ug/kg)
~~No SRM associated w/ batch #2~~

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Y/N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y/N N/A Was an MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
 Y/N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within QC limits?

#	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	9410	BB	35.2 ()	31.0 (50-150) ()	()	LAB-8-5	J/W/A QUAL AA, BB+7

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

DC #: 13204C3b
 SDG #: HFD

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level W/D Only

N N/A

Y N N/A

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Sample ID - Finding	%RFD But column = 40	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	BB	# 1		80	J/Adet
	↓	3		44	↓
	↓	4		63	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 13204236
SDG #: HP9D

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC
 Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (<u>ug/kg</u>)		%RPD Limit <u>150</u>	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>		
AA	2300	46	192	
BB	1200	39	187	

Compound	Concentration ()		%RPD Limit _____	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
note # 7 20X oil				

LDC #: 13204D3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ17 & HQ04

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/4/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes <i>TS</i>	A <i>SW</i>	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	<i>ASW</i>	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples <i>/SRM</i>	A	
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	<i>Acid + Sulfur clean up performed</i>
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQI s	<i>SW</i>	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	<i>SW</i>	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinstate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediments*

1	LDW-SS114-010	11	LDW-SS76-010	21	31
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22	32
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS84-010DL	23	33
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS116-010MS	24	34
5	LDW-SS126-010	15	LDW-SS116-010MSD	25	35
6	LDW-SS116-010	16	<i>MB-012905</i>	26	36
7	LDW-SS127-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS130-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS129-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS118-010	20		30	40

SRM 6A-1 (1254 = 75ug/kg)

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes:

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
- Y N N/A Was an MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
- Y N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within QC limits?

#	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	14415	BB	49.0 (50-150)	()	()	STAT 6	J/H/A QUAL AA, BB +Z

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

LDC #: 13204 D36
SDG #: H917 + H904

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Level N/D Only
Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.? Y
Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results? Y

#	Compound Name	sample ID Finding	%RPD ^{but 2 column} Associated Samples	Qualifications
	BB	2	50	N/A det
	BB	6	41	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Level IV/D Only
 Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?
 Y N N/A
 Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?
 Y N N/A

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	sample 10			
	12	2 exceeded cal range		J/A det

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 13204036
 SDG #: H917 & H904

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	Z, AA, BB	Z, exceeded cal range AA ~ BB Jones result	12	R/A
	All except Above	diluted	13	R/A

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234A3b
 SDG #: HQ48
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/10/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS97-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LOS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	Acid + Sulphur clean up performed
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XIV.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 2 + 8 3 + 8
XV.	Field blanks	NO	RB = 15 LDW-SS64-RB

Notc: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	LDW-SS17-010	11	LDW-SS128-010	21	LDW-SS56-010 ✓	31	MB-012005
2	LDW-SS50-010 D	12	LDW-SS142-010	22	LDW-SS28-010	32	MB-020105 (1/1)
3	LDW-SS50-010DL	13	LDW-SS123-010	23	LDW-SS134-010	33	MB-020205
4	LDW-SS55-010	14	LDW-SS203-010	24	LDW-SS203-010MS	34	
5	LDW-SS72-010	15	LDW-SS64-RB ✓	25	LDW-SS203-010MSD	35	
6	LDW-SS79-010	16	LDW-SS64-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS54-010	17	LDW-SS83-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS202-010 D	18	LDW-SS36-010	28		38	
9	LDW-SS42-010	19	LDW-SS58-010	29		39	
10	LDW-SS102-010	20	LDW-SS57-010	30		40	

SRM in 13234B = 1254 = 120ug/kg for 020105

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Surrogate Recovery

LDC #: 13234A3b
 SDG #: 17948

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: R
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No .
 Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks? Y
 Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? Y

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	21	not specified	DcB	(50 - 150)	1/8 detect

Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound	Surrogate Compound
A Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	G Octaloxane	M Benzo(e)Pyrene	S 1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	
B 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	H Ortho-Terphenyl	N Terphenyl-D14	T 3,4-Dinitrotoluene	
C a,a-T'ifluorotoluene	I Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	O Decafluorobiphenyl (DCB)	U Triphenyltin	
D Bromochlorobenzene	J n-Triacontane	P 1-methylnaphthalene	V Tri-n-propyltin	
E 1,4-Dichlorobutane	K Hexacosane	Q Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	W Tributyl Phosphate	
F 1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	L Bromobenzene	R 4-Nitrophenol	X Triphenyl Phosphate	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

LDC #: 13234A 3b
 SDG #: H248

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level M/D Only

N N/A
 N N/A

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	%RPD ^{between} Associated Samples \pm 40	Qualifications
	BB	12	42	N/A det
	BB	21	44	

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

DC #: 12224A3b
SDG #: HQ48

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level I/II Only

Y N N/A

Y N N/A

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	Z, AA	exceeded cal range	2	N/A det

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

LDC #: 13234A3b
 SDG #: 1048

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	Z, AA, BB	exceeded cal range (Z, AA) Inner Result (BB)	2	R/A
	All except Above	diluted	3	↓

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234A3b
 SDG #: HQ48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	Z	8		
Z	300	160	61	
AA	280	150	60	
BB	110	59	60	

Compound	Concentration (ug/kg)		%RPD Limit	Qualification Parent only / All Samples
	3	8		
Z	330	160	69	
AA	320	150	72	
BB	140	59	81	

LDC #: 13234B3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28-

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/10/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05 - 1/21/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SWA	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	ND	LDW-SS43-RB = R RB = LDW-SS43-RB

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75-010	21	MB-020105	31	
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS43-RB	22	MB-012005	32	
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS97-010MS	23	MB-012005	33	
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS97-010MSD	24		34	
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS101-010	25		35	
6	LDW-SS97-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS31-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS67-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS63-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS70-010	20		30		40	

SRM 50-1 = 124 = 1204/105 (020105)

LDC #: 13234E3b
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level II

Date: 3/10/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

Validation Area		Comments	
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05 → 1/25/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	N	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	LDW
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	-SS97 - 010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	ND	R = + RB = LDW-SS10-RB

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *submit*

1	LDW-SS110-RB	11	LDW-SS121-010	21	MB-020205	31	
2	LDW SS52 010	12	LDW SS121-010DL ²	22	MB-020105	32	
3	LDW-SS92-010	13	LDW-SS88-010	23		33	
4	LDW-SS92-010DL	14	LDW-SS88-010DL	24		34	
5	LDW-SS104-010	15	LDW-SS33-010	25		35	
6	LDW-SS110-010	16	LDW-SS49-010	26		36	
7	LDW-SS110-010DL	17	LDW-SS143-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS109-010	18	LDW-SS143-010DL	28		38	
9	LDW-SS109-010DL	19	LDW-SS92-010MS	29		39	
10	LDW-SS115-010	20	LDW-SS92-010MSD	30		40	

SRM for 020105 = 184 = 120ug/lk
 in 13234B

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: Pesticide/PCBs (EPASW 846 Method 8081/8082)

A. alpha-BHC	I. Dieldrin	Q. Endrin ketone	Y. Aroclor-1242	GG.
B. beta-BHC	J. 4,4'-DDE	R. Endrin aldehyde	Z. Aroclor-1248	HH.
C. delta-BHC	K. Endrin	S. alpha-Chlordane	AA. Aroclor-1254	II.
D. gamma-BHC	L. Endosulfan II	T. gamma-Chlordane	BB. Aroclor-1260	JJ.
E. Heptachlor	M. 4,4'-DDD	U. Toxaphene	CC. DB 608	KK.
F. Aldrin	N. Endosulfan sulfate	V. Aroclor-1016	DD. DB 1701	LL.
G. Heptachlor epoxide	O. 4,4'-DDT	W. Aroclor-1221	EE.	MM.
H. Endosulfan I	P. Methoxychlor	X. Aroclor-1232	FF.	NN.

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Surrogate Recovery

LDC #: 13234E26
SDG #: H952 + H957

METHOD: VGC HPLC

Are surrogates required by the method? Yes or No

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were surrogates spiked into all samples and blanks? Y

Y N N/A Did all surrogate recoveries (%R) meet the QC limits? Y

#	Sample ID	Detector/Column	Surrogate Compound	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	18	not specified	DCB	(50 - 150)	mc oval 50x P12
			TCMX	(↓)	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	
				()	

Surrogate Compound			Surrogate Compound			Surrogate Compound																			
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X		
Chlorobenzene (CBZ)	4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB)	a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene	Bromochlorobenzene	1,4-Dichlorobutane	1,4-Difluorobenzene (DFB)	Octacosane	Ortho-Terphenyl	Fluorobenzene (FBZ)	n-Triacotane	Hexacosane	Bromobenzene	Octacosane	Terphenyl-D14	Decafluorobiphenyl (DCB)	1-methyl-naphthalene	Dichlorophenyl Acetic Acid (DCAA)	4-Nitrophenol	Benzo(e)Pyrene	Terphenyl-D14	1-Chloro-3-Nitrobenzene	3,4-Di-toluene	Triphenyltin	Tri-n-propyltin	Tributyl Phosphate	Triphenyl Phosphate

LDC #: 13224E36
SDG #: H296 + H257

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 01
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
(X) N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
(X) N N/A Was an MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples for each matrix or whenever a sample extraction was performed?
(Y) N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) within QC limits?

#	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	<u>19+20</u>	<u>BB</u>	<u>% recovery</u>	<u>not reported</u>			<u>no QUA</u>
			<u>Percent expected each sample</u>				<u>parent 72x spike and</u>
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		
			()	()	()		

LDC #: 13234E36
 SDG #: H R 56 + H R 57

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Level IV/D Only

Y N N/A

Y N N/A

Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?

Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	BB	44 <i>% RPD between 2 chem</i>	12 <i>54</i>	N/A det

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Compound Quantitation and Reported CRQLs

DC #: 12234E36
 SDG #: H956 + HRS7

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Level I/VD Only
 Y N N/A Were CRQLs adjusted for sample dilutions, dry weight factors, etc.?
 Y N N/A Did the reported results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	AA, BB	exceeded cal range	3, 11, 13	N/A det
	AA	↓	6, 8	↓
	Y	↓	17	↓

Comments: See sample calculation verification worksheet for recalculations

LDC #: 13234536
 SDG #: HQ50 & HQ57

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC HPLC

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Compound Name	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	AA + BB	exceeded cal range	3, 11, 13	R/A
	all except AA + BB	diluted	4, 12, 14	
	AA	exceeded cal range	6, 8	
	All except AA	diluted	7, 9	
	Y	exceeded cal range	17	
	all except Y	diluted	18 ↓	

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13298A3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HQ93

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/29/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/29/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	NA	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	SWA	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinstate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SSCR20-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-MSMP43-010MS	14		24		34	
5	LDW-MSMP43-010MSD	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 13315A3b

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

SDG #: HS56

Level II

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/29/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC Polychlorinated Biphenyls (EPA SW 846 Method 8082)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/8 - 2/10/05
II.	GC/ECD Instrument Performance Check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples /SRM	A	LCS
IX.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
Xa.	Florisil cartridge check	N	
Xb.	GPC Calibration	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation and reported CRQLs	SW	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	N	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SS4-010	11	MP-02/805	21	31
2	LDW-SS5a-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS5b-010	13		23	33
4	SC-SS1b-010	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS5a-010MS	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS5a-010MSD	16		26	36
7		17		27	37
8		18		28	38
9		19		29	39
10		20		30	40

LDC #: 13204A4
 SDG #: HP67
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level *IV*

Date: *3/4/05*
 Page: *1 of 1*
 Reviewer: *WH*
 2nd Reviewer: *8*

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/^{*200.8*}~~6020~~/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <i>1/17/05</i>
II.	Calibration	<i>A X</i>	
III.	Blanks	<i>A</i>	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	<i>SW</i>	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	<i>SW</i>	<i>3 MS/pmp LDW-SS23-010</i>
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	<i>A</i>	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	<i>A</i>	<i>LCS + SRM</i>
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	<i>A</i>	
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	<i>N</i>	<i>N.T Utilized</i>
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	<i>N</i>	<i>Not required</i>
XI.	Sample Result Verification	<i>X N SW</i>	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	<i>A</i>	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	<i>N</i>	
XIV.	Field Blanks	<i>N</i>	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SS1-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SS4-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS5-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SS10 ⁹ -010	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS12-010	15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS14-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS15-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS22-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS13-010	19		29		39	
10	<i>PB</i>	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204 A4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WJG
 2nd Reviewer: DL

Method: Metals (EPA SW 826 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Was a midrange cyanide standard distilled?			✓	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet		✓		
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	✓			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	✓			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\pm 1 \text{ RL}$ ($\pm 2 \text{ X RL}$ for soil) was used for samples that were $\leq 5 \text{ X}$ the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5 \text{ X}$ the RL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?			✓	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections?			✓	

LDC #: 13204 A4
 SDG #: 4467

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WV
 2nd Reviewer: R

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%?			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?		✓		
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?			✓	
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.			✓	
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA CW 846 Method 6000)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 90-120% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?			✓	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 13204A4
 SDG #: 4267

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ME
 2nd reviewer: ✓

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-9	Sediment	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
ICP Interference Check Sample

LDC #: 13204A4
 SDG #: HP067

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 8010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were ICP interference check samples performed as required?

N N/A Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 80-120% ?

LEVEL IV ONLY:

N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Date	ICS Identification	Analyte	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	1/26/05	ICSA	Se	-67.2 ug/L	2, 6, 8	UJ/P
2	↓	↓	↓	↓	(Fe, 79070 In - ICSA) 3-5, 7, 9 (Al, Ca, Mg, Fe (9070 In - ICSA))	No found.

Comments: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Matrix Spike Analysis

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
- Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. 70-130
- Y N N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

LEVEL IV ONLY:
 Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	LDN-SS-23-010	Sediment	Sb	3.7	All	F-1R/A - JMJ/B (post spiked was not performed > 20%)

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13204A4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MY
 2nd Reviewer: PK

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$

Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	%R	%R	%R	
ICV	ICP (Initial calibration)	Pb	1942	2000	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	Y
↓	GFAA (Initial calibration)	As	50.757	50	101.5	101.5	101.5	101.5	Y
	ICP (Initial calibration)	Hg	8.82	8.0	110.3	110.3	110.3	110.3	Y
CCV	ICP (Continuing calibration)	Co	999.9	1000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Y
↓	GFAA (Continuing calibration)	Te	50.672	50	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3	Y
	ICP (Continuing calibration)	Hg	4.35	4.0	108.8	108.8	108.8	108.8	Y
	Cyanide (Initial calibration)								
	Cyanide (Continuing calibration)								

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320464
 SDG #: 1467

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JMK
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metas (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%F) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \cdot x \cdot 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,
 Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SF (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D			
TUSMS	ICP interference check	Zn	886.8	1000	88.7	88.7	88.7		Y
L-9	Laboratory control sample	cd	50.7	500	101	94.5 101			
LWW-553-010	Matrix spike	Ag (SSR-SR)	60.6	61.9	91.9	91.9	91.9		Y
[Signature]	Duplicate	Ni	9.74	9.74	3.1		10.5		N
[Signature]	ICP serial dilution								

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 A4
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MWR
 2nd reviewer: α

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for As were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(\text{RD})(\text{FV})(\text{Dil})}{(\text{In. Vol.})(\%S)}$$

Recalculation:

$$\text{As} = \frac{4.764 \mu\text{g/L} \times 0.05 \text{L} \times 20}{1.056 \text{g} \times 0.727} = 6.2 \mu\text{g/g}$$

- RD = Raw data concentration
- FV = Final volume (ml)
- In Vol = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
- Dil = Dilution factor
- %S = Decimal percent solids

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	As	6.2	6.2	Y
	Cr	18.9	18.9	Y
	Co	4.9	4.9	
	Cu	32.3	32.3	
	Pb	27	27	
	Hg	0.09	0.09	
	Mn	1.1	1.1	
	Ni	10	10	
	V	42.3	42.3	
	Zn	60.3	60.3	

LDC #: 13204B4
 SDG #: HP70
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level: III

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: hwy
 2nd Reviewer: pk

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
II.	Calibration	<u>A</u>	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	<u>SW</u>	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	<u>SW</u>	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	<u>LCS + SRM</u>
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	<u>kit reviewed</u>
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	<u>kit validated</u>
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	<u>kit required</u>
XI.	Sample Result Verification	<u>SW</u>	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	<u>SW</u>	<u>(4.5)</u>
XIV.	Field Blanks	<u>ND</u>	<u>RB = LDW-SS38-RB</u>

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	LDW-SS38-RB <u>As</u>	11	LDW-SS51-010 <u>Subst</u>	21		31
2	LDW-SS23-010 <u>Subst</u>	12	LDW-SS23-010MS	22		32
3	LDW-SS26-010	13	LDW-SS23-010DUP	23		33
4	LDW-SS27-010	14	<u>RB</u>	24		34
5	LDW-SS200-010	15		25		35
6	LDW-SS32-010	16		26		36
7	LDW-SS37-010	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS38-010	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS40-010	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS48-010	20		30		40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204134
 SDG #: 12970

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MJH
 2nd Reviewer: SC

Method: ⁴Metals (EPA SW 826 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Was a midrange cyanide standard distilled?			✓	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	✓			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	✓			
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\pm RL$ ($\pm 2X RL$ for soil) was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the RL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?			✓	
Do all applicable analyses have duplicate injections?			✓	

LDC #: 13204B4
 SDG #: 48070

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WV
 2nd Reviewer: JK

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%?			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?		✓		
Were all percent differences (%Ds) ≤ 10%?			✓	
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.			✓	
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method 8000)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 90-110% ^{60-120%} of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?				
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?				
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

LDC #: 13204 B4
 SDG #: 4870

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MB
 2nd reviewer: [initials]

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
7-11	Asphalt	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
m 12,13	Subst	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
ICP Interference Check Sample

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 8010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were ICP interference check samples performed as required?

N N/A Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 80-120% ?

N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Date	ICS Identification	Analyte	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	1/26/05	ZESA	Se	-62.2 ^{6.8}	6.7, 9, 11 (Fe 79.2 in ZESA)	WT/P
2	1/27/05	ZESA	Se Mo	-76.2 ^{0.9} 5.5 [↓]	1-5, 8 10 ↓	No. good (M, Co, Mg, Fe < 90 PPM ZESA)

Comments:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

LDC #: 13204B4
SDG #: 4470

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: My
2nd Reviewer: da

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Y N N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

LEVEL IV ONLY:

Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	12	Sediment	Sb	3.7 (70-130)	All Sediment	F-R-TA 7/6/14 (post spiked low metal) 295%

Comments:

LDC #: 3204B4
 SDG #: 4770

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	Lab Reported RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sb, As, Pb	6000	200.8 <i>Lab Reported</i>	Lab method was different from APP method.	Text

Comments: _____

LDC#: 13204 B6
SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: my
2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	
	4	5		
Arsenic	13.7	10.6	26	
Chromium	24	22.9	5	
Cobalt	6.4	6.3	2	
Copper	52.5	52.3	0	
Lead	28	30	7	
Mercury	0.11	0.12	9	
Nickel	14	14	0	
Vanadium	49.8	49.7	0	
Zinc	87	88	1	
Molybdenum	3	2.7	11	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\13204B4.wpd

LDC #: 13004 B4
 SDG #: 1000

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MW
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	%R	%R	%R	
ICV	ICP (Initial calibration)	Pb	1942	2000	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	Y
↓	GFAA (Initial calibration)	As	50.757	50	101.5	101.5	101.5	101.5	
	CVAA (Initial calibration)	Hg	8.82	8.0	110.3	110.3	110.3	110.3	
CCV	ICP (Continuing calibration)	Mn	913.9	1000	91.4	91.4	91.4	91.4	
↓	GFAA (Continuing calibration)	Sb	50.95	50	101.9	101.9	101.9	101.9	
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)	Hg	4.35	4.0	108.8	108.8	108.8	108.8	
	Cyanide (Initial calibration)								
	Cyanide (Continuing calibration)								

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320484
 SDG #: 4470

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: JWW
 2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \times 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation,
 Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
 SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD / %D	%R / RPD / %D			
2480	ICP interference check	Zn	886.8	1000	88.7	88.7	88.7	Y	
209	Laboratory control sample	Cd	50.7	50.0	101	101	101	Y	
12	Matrix spike	Ag	60.6 (SSR-SR)	61.9	97.9	97.9	97.9	Y	
13	Duplicate	Ni	9.44	9.74	3.1	3.1	10.5	Y	
14	ICP serial dilution								

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B4
SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MVB
2nd reviewer: OK

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for Zn were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(\text{RD})(\text{FV})(\text{Dil})}{(\text{In. Vol.})(\%S)}$$

Recalculation:

- RD = Raw data concentration
- FV = Final volume (ml)
- In. Vol. = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
- Dil = Dilution factor
- %S = Decimal percent solids

$$Cr = \frac{0.1299 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.05 \text{ L} \times 2 \times 1000 \text{ g/kg}}{1.062 \text{ g} \times 0.764} = 16.0 \text{ mg/kg}$$

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	As	4.5	4.5	Y
	Cr	16.0	16.0	
	Co	5.0	5.0	
	Cu	26.4	26.4	
	Pb	16	16	
	Hg	0.07	0.07	
	Mn	0.9	0.9	
	Ni	10	10	
	V	42.4	42.4	
	Zn	49.2	49.2	Y

LDC #: 13204C4

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/7/05

SDG #: HP90 & HQ69

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Reviewer: *MM*

2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

200.8

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/19/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	SW	(7,8)
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *see below*

1	LDW-SS-111-010	11	<i>PB</i>	21	31
2	LDW-SS-112-010	12		22	32
3	LDW-SS-119-010	13		23	33
4	LDW-SS-120-010	14		24	34
5	LDW-SS-60-010	15		25	35
6	LDW-SS-99-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS-89-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS-201-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS-119-010MS	19		20	39
10	LDW-SS-119-010DUP	20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204c4
 SDG #: 14904 4069

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MK
 2nd reviewer: JC

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-8	Subst	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
029.10	Y	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg; Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg; Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
ICP-MS		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

LDC #: 1320404
 SDG #: H190 + H1069
 METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied:
 Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: $\frac{\mu\text{g}}{\text{kg}}$ Associatec Samples: A1 (> 10X)

Sample Identification									
Analyte	Maximum PB* (mg/Kg)	Maximum FB* (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB* (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit					
Al									
Sb									
As									
Ba									
Be									
Cd									
Ca									
Cr									
Coc									
Cu	0.2								
Fe									
Pb									
Mg									
Mn									
Hg									
Ni									
K									
Se									
Ag									
Na									
Tl									
V									
Zn									
B									
Mo									
Sr									

No samples qualified.

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".
 Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

LDC #: 13204 ed
SDG #: HP90F HP69

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

X Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? N/A

X Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. N/A

Y Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery? N/A

N Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations. N/A

N LEVEL IV ONLY: X Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	9	SLWA	Sb	3.3 (90-130)	Am	J-R/A JmJ/A (Post spike > 25%)

Comments: _____

LDC#: 13204C4
 SDG#: HP90 + HP69

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WH
 2nd Reviewer: TC

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	
	7	8		
Arsenic	4.2	4.2	0	
Chromium	14.8	14.0	6	
Cobalt	4.9	4.8	2	
Copper	18.6	18.5	1	
Lead	10	9	11	
Nickel	10	9	11	
Vanadium	43.9	43.3	1	
Zinc	38.4	39.7	3	
Molybdenum	0.7	0.7	0	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\13204C4.wpd

LDC #: 13204D4
 SDG #: HQ17 & HQ04
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/7/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WY
 2nd Reviewer: W

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/^{290.8}~~6020/7000~~)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/19, 20/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	A	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	SW	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	N.T. reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.T. analyzed
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	N.T. required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: sediment

1	LDW-SS114-010	11	LDW-SS76-010	21		31	
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS114-010MS	23		33	
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS114-010DUP	24		34	
5	LDW-SS126-010	15	PB	25		35	
6	LDW-SS116-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS127-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS130-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS129-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS118-010	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Soil preparation factor applied: 1
 Associates Samples: All (70X)

LDC #: 1320404
 SDG #: HA-17
 METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)
 Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/kg

Analyte	Maximum PB* (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB* (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB* (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	Sample Identification
Al					Al
Sb					Sb
As					As
Ba					Ba
Be					Be
Cd					Cd
Ca					Ca
Cr					Cr
Cc					Co
Cu	0.2				Cu
Fe					Fe
Pb					Pb
Mg					Mg
Mn					Mn
Hg					Hg
Ni					Ni
K					K
Se					Se
Ag					Ag
Na					Na
Tl					Tl
V					V
Zn					Zn
B					B
Mc					Mo
Sr					Sr

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "ND".
 Note: a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

LDC #: 1320404
SDG #: 16017

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: My
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y/N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Y N/N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

LEVEL IV ONLY:
Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	13	Subst	Sb	4.3 (70-130)	61	J/A J/A (post spike was sent prepared) by

Comments:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Duplicate Analysis

LDC #: 13204b4
SDG #: 14017

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MU
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y)N N/A Was a duplicate sample analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
(Y)N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for water samples and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? If no, see qualifications below. A control limit of ±R.L. ($\pm 2X$ R.L. for soil) was used for sample values that were $< 5X$ the R.L., including the case when only one of the duplicate sample values was $< 5X$ R.L.. If field blanks were used for laboratory duplicates, note in the Overall Assessment.

LEVEL IV ONLY:
Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Duplicate ID	Matrix	Analyte	RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	14	Sediment	cd	65.9 (±30)	0.9 (±0.6) mg/kg	APY ↓	J/05/A ↓

Comments:

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

LDC #: 1320404
SDG #: 1217

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	Lab Reported RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sp. As, Pb	6020	200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Test.

Comments:

LDC #: 13234A4
 SDG #: HQ48
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: lm
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	SW	(2,7) (12,13)
XIV.	Field Blanks	SW	RB = 1 LDW-SS64-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: 14 Sediment ~~not # 14A~~

1	LDW-SS17-010	11	LDW-SS142-010	21	LDW-SS28-010	31	
2	LDW-SS50-010	12	LDW-SS123-010	22	LDW-SS134-010	32	
3	LDW-SS55-010	13	LDW-SS203-010	23	LDW-SS17-010MS	33	
4	LDW SS72-010	14	LDW-SS64-RB <u>As per</u>	24	LDW-SS17-010DUP	34	
5	LDW-SS79-010	15	LDW-SS64-010	25	LDW-SS134-010MS	35	
6	LDW-SS54-010	16	LDW-SS83-010	26	LDW-SS134-010DUP	36	
7	LDW-SS202-010	17	LDW-SS36-010	27		37	
8	LDW-SS42-010	18	LDW-SS58-010	28		38	
9	LDW-SS102-010	19	LDW-SS57-010	29		39	
10	LDW-SS128-010	20	LDW-SS56-010	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234A4
SDG #: H248

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
<u>13, 15, 24</u>	<u>sediment</u>	Al, <u>Sb, As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr, Co, Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg, Ni</u> , K, <u>Se, Ag</u> , Na, <u>Tl, V, Zn, Mo</u> , B, Si, CN, ____
<u>23, 24</u>	<u>↓</u>	Al, <u>Sb, As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr, Co, Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg, Ni</u> , K, <u>Se, Ag</u> , Na, <u>Tl, V, Zn, Mo</u> , B, Si, CN, ____
<u>25, 26</u>	<u>↓</u>	Al, <u>Sb, As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr, Co, Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg, Ni</u> , K, <u>Se, Ag</u> , Na, <u>Tl, V, Zn, Mo</u> , B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr, Co, Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg, Ni</u> , K, <u>Se, Ag</u> , Na, <u>Tl, V, Zn, Mo</u> , B, Si, CN, ____
ICP-MS		Al, <u>Sb, As</u> , Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, <u>Tl, V, Zn, Mo</u> , B, Si, CN, ____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Tl, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN, ____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

LDC #: 13234A6
 SDG #: H048
**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES**

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MK
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 60107000) Soil preparation factor applied:
 Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: mg/kg Associated Samples: 1-13, 15-21 (All > 10X PB)

Analyte	Maximum PB* (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB* (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB* (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	Sample Identification	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Cd	Ca	Cr	Co	Cu	Fe	Pb	Mg	Mn	Hg	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Tl	V	Zn	B	Mo	Sr
					No samples qualified																										
Zn		0.6																													

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".
 Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Field Blanks

LDC #: 1323484
 SDG #: 1040
 METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)
 (Y) N N/A Field blanks were identified in this SDG.
 (X) N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Blank units: mg/L Associated sample units: mg/L SoX
 Sampling date: 1/24/05 Soil factor applied: SoX
 Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: FB Associated Samples: bl

Analyte	Blank ID	Blank Action Level	Sample Identification																											
Zn	LPW-5564-RB 0.006	1.5	No Samples Analyzed																											

Blank units: _____ Associated sample units: _____
 Sampling date: _____ Soil factor applied _____
 Field blank type: (circle one) Field Blank / Rinsate / Other: _____ Associated Samples: _____

Analyte	Blank ID	Blank Action Level	Sample Identification																												

ALL RESULTS WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
 Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated field blank concentration are listed above, these sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 3234 A4
 SDG #: H048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MW
 2nd Reviewer: RC

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y/N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
Y/N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
80pp limit

Y(N) N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

Y N (N/A) Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	23	Solvent	Sb	2.0 (70-130)	1-13, 15-21	F-R/A U/L/A (post spike: use next page)
2	25	↓	Sb	3.1 (70-130)	22	↓

Comments:

LDC #: 1323484
 SDG #: 4248

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	LAB Result (units)	Rel. Reported RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sb, As, Pb	6000	200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Text.

Comments:

LDC#: 13234 A4
 SDG#: 11048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: (of 2)
 Reviewer: WPT
 2nd Reviewer: PL

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000/200.8)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	
	2	7		
Arsenic	16.8	15.8	6	
Cadmium	1.2	1.3	8	
Chromium	44	45.5	3	
Cobalt	8.7	9.2	6	
Copper	89.4	88.6	1	
Lead	87	92	6	
Mercury	0.41	0.40	2	
Nickel	26	26	0	
Silver	1.2	1.4	15	
Vanadium	68.3	69.6	2	
Zinc	181	179	1	
Molybdenum	3	3.3	10	

LDC#: 13234A4
SDG#: HA48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 2 of 2
Reviewer: lwn
2nd Reviewer: af

METHOD: Metals (EPA Method 6010B/7000/200.8)

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (mg/kg)		RPD	
	12	13		
Arsenic	7.2	7.5	4	
Cadmium	0.3	0.3	0	
Chromium	20.9	18.8	11	
Cobalt	7.0	6.6	6	
Copper	28.0	27.6	1	
Lead	18	17	6	
Mercury	0.08	0.08	0	
Nickel	14	13	7	
Vanadium	55.6	54.5	2	
Zinc	60.9	58.7	4	
Molybdenum	1.4	1.3	7	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\FD_inorganic\13234A4.wpd

LDC #: 13234B4
 SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000) ^{200.8}

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20, 21/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS-TERM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not verified
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required.
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	ND	RB = LDW-SS 43-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Equipment*

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75-010	21		31	
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS43-RB	22		32	
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS101-010	23		33	
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS43-010MS	24		34	
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS43-010DUP	25		35	
6	LDW-SS97-010	16	RB	26		36	
7	LDW-SS31-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS67-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS63-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS70-010	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234 B4
SDG #: H27 + H28

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd reviewer: B

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
L11,13	soil	Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Co</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , <u>Ag</u> , Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , <u>Mo</u> , B, Si, CN', ___
M14,15	b	Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Co</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, <u>Hg</u> , <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , <u>Ag</u> , Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , <u>Mo</u> , B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, <u>Cd</u> , Ca, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Cu</u> , <u>Cu</u> , Fe, <u>Pb</u> , Mg, Mn, Hg, <u>Ni</u> , K, <u>Se</u> , <u>Ag</u> , Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , <u>Zn</u> , <u>Mo</u> , B, Si, CN', ___
ICP-MS		Al, <u>Sb</u> , <u>As</u> , Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, <u>Ti</u> , <u>V</u> , Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', ___

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

LDC #: 12334B4
 SDG #: 10-27-12-8

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MW
 2nd Reviewer: PC

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
 N N/A Were 4 or more, no action was taken.
 N N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?
LEVEL IV ONLY:
 N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.
 N N/A

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	14	Substrate	Sb	214 (70-130)	A11	in J/R/A - 6/27/14 (Post spiked was not performed)

Comments:

LDC #: 2234B4
SDG #: 6A27 + 4928

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Pages: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [signature]
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-346 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	Lab reported RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	All	Sb, As, Pb	6020	200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Text.

Comments:

LDC #: 13234E4
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/14/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: *MM*
 2nd Reviewer: *SL*

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000) *200-8*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	D	Sampling dates: 1/25, 26/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	N.I.T reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.I.T validated
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	Not required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	ND	RB = LDW-SS110-RB

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Sediment.*

1	LDW-SS110-RB	11	LDW-SS49-010	21		31	
2	LDW-SS52-010	12	LDW-SS143-010	22		32	
3	LDW-SS92-010	13	LDW-SS52-010MS	23		33	
4	LDW-SS104-010	14	LDW-SS52-010DUP	24		34	
5	LDW-SS110-010	15	<i>PB</i>	25		35	
6	LDW-SS109-010	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS115-010	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SS121-010	18		28		38	
9	LDW-SS88-010	19		29		39	
10	LDW-SS33-010	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234 24
 SDG #: 10-56 + (10-57)

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: My
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
- N A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
- N A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?

LEVEL IV ONLY:
 N A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	3	Sediment	Sb	212 (70-130)	AM	J/A - J/A (Post. Spike was not performed)

Comments:

LDC #: 133424
 SDG #: H056 + H057

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Result Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MFL
 2nd Reviewer: RL

METHOD: Trace metals (EPA SW-846 6010/7000)

#	Sample ID	Analyte	APP Result (units)	RL (units)	Finding	Qualifications
1	A11	Sb, As, Pb	6020.	200.8	Lab method was different from APP method.	Test.

Comments:

LDC #: 13298A4
 SDG #: HQ93
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/24/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MU
 2nd Reviewer: DL

METHOD: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010B/6020/7000) ^{200.8}

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/28/05
II.	Calibration	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	SW	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	N.T. reviewed.
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.T. utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	N.T. required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SSCR20-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SSCR20-010MS	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SSCR20-010DUP	15		25		35	
6	<i>PB</i>	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13298A4
SDG #: 293

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Element Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled elements are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Matrix	Target Analyte List (TAL)
1-3	Sediment	Al, <u>(Sb)</u> , <u>(As)</u> , Ba, Be, <u>(Cd)</u> , Ca, <u>(Cr)</u> , <u>(Co)</u> , <u>(Cu)</u> , Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, <u>(Hg)</u> , <u>(Ni)</u> , K, <u>(Se)</u> , Ag, Na, <u>(Ti)</u> , <u>(V)</u> , <u>(Zn)</u> , <u>(Mo)</u> , B, Si, CN', _____
24.5	✓	Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
Analysis Method		
ICP		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____
ICP Trace		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, <u>(Cd)</u> , Ca, <u>(Cr)</u> , <u>(Co)</u> , <u>(Cu)</u> , Fe, <u>(Pb)</u> , Mg, Mn, Hg, <u>(Ni)</u> , K, <u>(Se)</u> , Ag, Na, <u>(Ti)</u> , <u>(V)</u> , <u>(Zn)</u> , <u>(Mo)</u> , B, Si, CN', _____
ICP-MS		Al, <u>(Sb)</u> , <u>(As)</u> , Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, <u>(Ni)</u> , K, Se, Ag, Na, <u>(Ti)</u> , <u>(V)</u> , <u>(Zn)</u> , <u>(Mo)</u> , B, Si, CN', _____
GFAA		Al, Sb, As, Ba, Be, Cd, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mg, Mn, Hg, Ni, K, Se, Ag, Na, Ti, V, Zn, Mo, B, Si, CN', _____

Comments: Mercury by CVAA if performed

LDC #: 13298A4
SDG #: 1493

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd Reviewer: AR

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 N / N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y / N / N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125%? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.
 Y / N / N/A Was a post digestion spike analyzed for ICP elements that did not meet the required criteria for matrix spike recovery?
 Y / N / N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	4	Sediment	Sb	1.6 (70-130)	A11	INJx R/A (no post spiked sh)

Comments:

LDC #: 13315B4
 SDG #: HT56
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level IV II

Date: 3/28/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Arsenic (EPA Method 200.8)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/1, 9/05
II.	Calibration	AN	
III.	Blanks	AA	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N/A	
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS + SRM
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	AN	not reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	N.T. required
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	N	N.T. required
XI.	Sample Result Verification	SW	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *See next*

1	DR-SS5-010	11	DR-SS5-010DUP	21	31
2	DR-SS6-010	12	PB	22	32
3	DR-SS7-010	13		23	33
4	DR-SS9-010	14		24	34
5	DR-SS10-010	15		25	35
6	DR-SS11-010	16		26	36
7	DR-SS13-010	17		27	37
8	DR-SS14-010	18		28	38
9	DR-SS15-010	19		29	39
10	DR-SS5-010MS	20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204A6
 SDG #: HP67
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level # *IV*

Date: *3/4/05*
 Page: *1 of 1*
 Reviewer: *M4*
 2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (*PM* PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <i>1/17/05</i>
IIa.	Initial calibration	<i>A N</i>	
IIb.	Calibration verification	<i>A N</i>	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	<i>3 MS/MSD</i>
V	Duplicates	A	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	<i>LCS + SRM. No SRM for S Test.</i>
VII.	Sample result verification	<i>A N</i>	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinstate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *Sediment*

1	LDW-SS1-010	11	LDW SS1 010DUP	21	<i>LB</i>	31	
2	LDW-SS4-010	12	LDW-SS4-010DUP	22		32	
3	LDW-SS5-010	13	LDW-SS4-010TRP	23		33	
4	LDW-SS10-10	14	LDW-SS10-10MS	24		34	
5	LDW-SS12-010	15	LDW-SS10-10DUP	25		35	
6	LDW-SS14-010	16	LDW-SS13-010MS	26		36	
7	LDW-SS15-010	17	LDW-SS13-010DUP	27		37	
8	LDW-SS22-010	18	LDW-SS10-10TRP	28		38	
9	LDW-SS13-010	19	LDW-SS1-010TRP	29		39	
10	LDW-SS1-010MS	20	LDW-SS4-010MS	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204A6
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd Reviewer: EL

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method See below)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?				
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\leq \text{CRDL} (\leq 2X \text{ CRDL for soil})$ was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 60-120% (65-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 13204 A6
 SDG #: Hp67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.			✓	
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 13204A6
 SDG #: 14P69

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: R

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
<u>19</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S) (Gran site) (TS)
<u>AC 10</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
<u>11</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS)
<u>12</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (Gran site)
<u>13</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
14	pH TDS Cl F NO₃ NO₂ SO₄ PO₄ ALK CN' NH₃ TKN TOC CR⁶⁺
15	pH TDS Cl F NO₃ NO₂ SO₄ PO₄ ALK CN' NH₃ TKN TOC CR⁶⁺
<u>16</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S)
<u>17</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (S)
18	pH TDS Cl F NO₃ NO₂ SO₄ PO₄ ALK CN' NH₃ TKN TOC CR⁶⁺
<u>19</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS)
<u>20</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13004 A6
 SDG #: 4467

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of NH₃-N was recalculated. Calibration date: 1/21/05

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte	conc. (mg/L) (units)	Area (units)	Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
				Recalculated r or %R	Reported r or %R	
Initial calibration	Blank	0	0.1216			
Calibration verification	Standard 1	0.01	0.8781	Y = 0.99998	108.4	Y
	Standard 2	0.02	1.3527			
	Standard 3	0.05	2.6191			
	Standard 4	0.2	9.1622			
	Standard 5	0.5	22.5645			
	Standard 6	0.8	35.8826			
	Standard 7	1	44.6144			
Calibration verification CV	0.5	0.542		108.4	108.4	Y
Calibration verification CCV	5	4.822		96.4	96.4	Y
Calibration verification CCV	5	0.693		90	90	Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 Ab
 SDG #: 4867

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MH
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Percent recoveries (%F) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found} \times 100}{\text{True}}$$

Where: Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
 True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where: S = Original sample concentration
 D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated		Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R / RPD	%R / RPD	
105	Laboratory control sample	S	0.727	0.77	94.4	74.9	Y
10	Matrix spike sample	Mn ²⁺	113.9 (SSR-SR)	124	91.8	91.1	Y
2/12/13	Duplicate sample	Tox	2.46 2.26	2.50	6.82	6.0 14.3	Y

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204 A6
 SDG #: 1267

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: km
 2nd reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for 1 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration =

Recalculation:

S
 $Adj = 0.530 \times conc + 0.007$

$S = \frac{(0.144 - 0.007) \frac{mg}{L} \times 0.10 \times 1000 \frac{g}{kg}}{0.530 \times 2.4215 g \times 0.719}$
 $= 14.8 \frac{mg}{kg}$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (mg/kg)	Calculated Concentration (mg/kg)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	1	TS (%)	70.8	70.8	Y
		MH ₃ -N	3.58	3.58	Y
		S	15	15	Y
		TOC (%)	1.58	1.58	Y
		% Retained			
		Grain size			
		Phi size			
		< -1	0.6	0.64	Y
		-1 to 0	1.0	1.00	Y
		0 to 1	10.9	10.9	Y
		1 to 2	46.1	46.1	Y
		2 to 3	26.7	26.7	Y
		3 to 4	5.4	5.4	Y
		4 to 5	1.2	1.2	Y
		5 to 6	1.3	1.3	Y
		6 to 7	1.6	1.6	Y
		7 to 8	1.6	1.6	Y
		8 to 9	1.1	1.1	Y
		9 to 10	0.9	0.9	Y
		> 10	1.6	1.6	Y

Note: _____

LDC #: 13204B6
 SDG #: HP70
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level IV

Date: 3/1/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: hm
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>1/18/05</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	A N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	A	<u>Triplicates</u>
VI	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS + SRM, No SRM for S. Test -</u>
VII.	Sample result verification	A SW	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>(3, 4)</u>
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: See list

1	LDW-SS23-010	11	LDW-SS48-010MS	21	31
2	LDW-SS26-010	12	LDW-SS48-010DUP	22	32
3	LDW-SS27-010	13	LDW-SS51-010MS	23	33
4	LDW-SS200-010	14	LDW-SS51-010DUP	24	34
5	LDW-SS32-010	15	<u>FB</u>	25	35
6	LDW-SS37-010	16		26	36
7	LDW-SS38-010	17		27	37
8	LDW-SS40-010	18		28	38
9	LDW-SS48-010	19		29	39
10	LDW-SS51-010	20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204 B6
 SDG #: HP90

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: WJ
 2nd Reviewer: JL

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method 8000) *See copy*

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995 ?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.		✓		
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for waters and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples? A control limit of $\leq \text{CRDL} (\leq 2X \text{ CRDL for soil})$ was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 90-120% (95-115% for Method 8000) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 13204 Bb
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: MH
 2nd Reviewer: u

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓	g		
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 13204B6
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 2
 Reviewer: luH
 2nd reviewer: SL

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	3	4		
TS (%)	47.30	48.50	3	
NH ₃ -N (mg/kg)	5.59	5.25	6	
S ↓	310	220	34	
TOL (%)	1.60	1.68	5	

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

LDC#: 13204B6
 SDG#: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 2 of 2
 Reviewer: hm
 2nd Reviewer: ✓

Grain Size, Method PSEP

- N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Phi Size	% Finer (%)		(±50) RPD	
	3	4		
-2	100.0	99.9	0	
-1	98.6	98.7	0	
0	96.9	96.6	0	
1	93.0	92.3	1	
2	81.1	80.3	1	
3	59.4	58.8	1	
4	37.8	36.8	3	
5	30.0	28.4	5	
6	20.1	19.2	5	
7	12.5	11.7	7	
8	9.0	8.5	6	
9	6.4	6.3	2	
10	4.8	4.7	2	

LDC #: 13204B6
 SDG #: 48970

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see column
 The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of S was recalculated. Calibration date: 1/24/05

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = $\frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
 True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte	Conc. (mg/L) (units)	Blank (units)	Recalculated		Reported		Acceptable (Y/N)
				r	%R	r	%R	
Initial calibration		0	0					
Calibration verification	Standard 1	0.05	0.027	$r^2 = 0.9999$	108.66	108.66	99.93	Y
	Standard 2	0.125	0.066					
	Standard 3	0.250	0.122					
	Standard 4	0.50	0.246					
	Standard 5	1.00	0.491					
	Standard 6							
	Standard 7							
Calibration verification <i>ccv</i>	0.5	0.5433			108.66	108.66	99.93	Y
Calibration verification <i>ccv</i>	5000	4997			99.94	99.93	99	Y
Calibration verification <i>ICV</i>	0.692	0.653			97	97	97	Y

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320486
 SDG #: HF70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: M14
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see below

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100 \quad \text{Where,} \quad \text{Found} = \text{concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found} = \text{SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).}$$

$$\text{True} = \text{concentration of each analyte in the source.}$$

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\text{RPD} = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100 \quad \text{Where,} \quad S = \text{Original sample concentration}$$

$$D = \text{Duplicate sample concentration}$$

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated		Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
					%R	RPD		
LCS	Laboratory control sample	S	0.65	0.73	89.0	89.4		Y
101-556-010	Matrix spike sample	TOC	(SSR-SR) 2.66	2.69	99	98.9 100.0		
15	Duplicate sample	NH3-N	8.04	8.06 8.04	0.2	0.2		

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 132041346
 SDG #: HP90

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: ky
 2nd reviewer: ✓

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
- Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
- Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for 1 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $TOC = TOC \times \frac{\% \text{ Solid at } 90^{\circ}C}{\% \text{ Solid at } 104^{\circ}C}$

Recalculation: $TOC = 12079 \text{ mg/kg} \times \frac{77.36}{77.8} = 12321 \text{ mg/kg} = 1.237$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	1	TS (%)	77.8	77.8	Y
		Mn (ug/kg)	2.59	2.59	↓
		TOC (%)	1.23	1.23	↓
2		Phi Site	% retained	% retained	≠
		-1 to 0	0.8	0.8	Y
		-1 to 0	2.3	2.3	↓
		0 to 1	12.1	12.1	
		1 to 2	41.9	41.9	
		2 to 3	21.0	21.0	
		3 to 4	5.0	5.0	
		4 to 5	2.8	2.8	
		5 to 6	2.8 4.6 w	2.8	
		6 to 7	3.1 4	3.1	
		7 to 8	2.4	2.4	
		8 to 9	1.8	1.8	
		9 to 10	1.4	1.4	
		> 10	2.6	2.6	0

Note: _____

LDC #: 13204C6

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Date: 3/2/05

SDG #: HP90 & HQ69 → H069
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Level II

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: wm

2nd Reviewer: sc

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PS2P), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), IOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: <u>1/19/05</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	A	<u>Triplicates</u>
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS + SRM, No SRM for S Test</u>
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	<u>(7, 8)</u>
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: schmitt

1	LDW-SS-111-010	11	LDW-SS-112-010MS	21		31
2	LDW-SS-112-010	12	LDW-SS-112-010DUP	22		32
3	LDW-SS-119-010	13	LDW-SS-119-010DUP	23		33
4	LDW-SS-120-010	14	LDW-SS-119-010TRP	24		34
5	LDW-SS-60-010	15	<u>LDW-SS-111-010 TRP</u>	25		35
6	LDW-SS-99-010	16	<u>MB</u>	26		36
7	LDW-SS-89-010	17		27		37
8	LDW-SS-201-010	18		28		38
9	LDW-SS-111-010MS	19		29		39
10	LDW-SS-111-010DUP	20		30		40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 132046
 SDG #: 119907-4269

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: ✓

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-8	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>Gran Site</u> <u>S</u> <u>TS</u>
9.0	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
10.15	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
11.12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
13.14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>Gran Site</u>
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 1320406
 SDG #: HP90

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Technical Holding Times

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: LMH
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled dates have exceeded the technical holding time.

N/A Were all samples preserved as applicable to each method?

N/A Were all cooler temperatures within validation criteria?

Method:		376.2					
Parameters:		5					
Technical holding time:		9 days					
Sample ID	Sampling date	^{prev} Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Qualifier
1	1/19/05	1/28/05	(9 days)				J-R/P 43

LDC #: 132046
 SDG #: HP90

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: luH
 2nd reviewer: ce

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
TS (%)	71.70	69.30	3	
MH ₂ -N (mg/kg)	1.30	1.40	7	
TOC (%)	1.01	1.02	1	

Analyte	Concentration (% Finer)		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
Phi Size -3	100	100	0	
-2	99.9	100	0	
-1	99.5	99.5	0	
0	99.8	99.7	0	
1	96.6	96.5	0	

Analyte	Concentration (% Finer)		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
2	42.9	43.4	1	
3	31.0	31.1	0	
4	16.3	16.4	1	
5	10.9	10.8	1	
6	7.7	7.7	0	

Analyte	Concentration (% Finer)		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	7	8		
7	5.2	5.1	2	
8	3.4	3.5	3	
9	2.4	2.4	0	
10	1.6	1.7	6	

IDC #: 13204D6
 SDG #: HQ17
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/7/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1M), Grain Size (PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/19, 20/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	A	Duplicates.
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	255 TS RM, No SRM for S. Test.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *submit*

1	LDW-SS114-010	11	LDW-SS76-010	21	31
2	LDW-SS117-010	12	LDW-SS84-010	22	32
3	LDW-SS13B-010	13	LDW-SS114-010MS	23	33
4	LDW-SS125-010	14	LDW-SS114-010DUP	24	34
5	LDW-SS126-010	15	LDW-SS114-010TRP	25	35
6	LDW-SS116-010	16	LDW-SS76-010MS	26	36
7	LDW-SS127-010	17	LDW-SS76-010MSD	27	37
8	LDW-SS130-010	18	LDW-SS76-010DUP	28	38
9	LDW-SS129-010	19	↓ TRP	29	39
10	LDW-SS118-010	20	IMB	30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13204C6
 SDG #: 4890

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: LMH
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (Grain Silica) (TS)
13	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (Grain Silica) (TS)
15	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS)
16,17	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S)
18,19	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S)
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 1320406
SDG #: 49-17

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See con

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N" Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125% if the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.

Y N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) \leq 20% for water samples and \leq 35% for soil samples?
LEVEL IV ONLY:

Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	MS/MSD ID	Matrix	Analyte	MS %Recovery	MSD %Recovery	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	16/17	Soil	S	65.7	60.7		A1	JE/4J/A

Comments:

LDC #: 13234A6
 SDG #: HQ48
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd Reviewer: SC

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PS EP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	Triplicates
VI	Iaboratory control samples	SW	US + SRM, No SRM for S, T, P.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	(2,7), (12,13)
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: MM Submitts

1	LDW-SS17-010	11	LDW-SS142-010	21	LDW-SS134-010	31	LDW-SS134 010DUP
2	LDW-SS50-010	12	LDW-SS123-010	22	LDW-SS17-010MS	32	LDW-SS28-010 TRP
3	LDW-SS55-010	13	LDW-SS203-010	23	LDW-SS17-010DUP	33	LDW-SS134-010 TRP
4	LDW-SS72-010	14	LDW-SS64-010	24	LDW-SS17-010TRP	34	MB
5	LDW-SS79-010	15	LDW-SS83-010	25	LDW-SS79-010MS	35	
6	LDW-SS54-010	16	LDW-SS36-010	26	LDW-SS79-010MSD	36	
7	LDW-SS202-010	17	LDW-SS58-010	27	LDW-SS79-010DUP	37	
8	LDW-SS42-010	18	LDW-SS57-010	28	LDW-SS57-010DUP	38	
9	LDW-SS102-010	19	LDW-SS56-010	29	LDW-SS28-010MS	39	
10	LDW-SS120-010	20	LDW SS28 010	30	LDW-SS28-010DUP	40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234 106
 SDG #: 1448

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: KH
 2nd reviewer: ✓

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
<u>1-21</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁰⁺ <u>S</u> <u>TS</u> <u>Grain Size</u>
<u>OU 22</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁰⁺ _____
<u>23.24</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁰⁺ _____ <u>TS</u> <u>Grain Size</u>
<u>24</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁰⁺ _____ <u>TS</u> <u>↓</u>
<u>25</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ <u>S</u> _____
<u>26</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ <u>S</u> _____
<u>27</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ <u>S</u> _____
<u>28</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ <u>S</u> _____
<u>29</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' <u>NH₃</u> TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁰⁺ _____
<u>30</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' <u>NH₃</u> TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁰⁺ _____ <u>TS</u>
<u>31</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' <u>NH₃</u> TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ <u>Grain Size</u>
<u>32</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁰⁺ _____ <u>TS</u>
<u>33</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ <u>Grain Size</u>
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 323466
 SDG #: 1048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MW
 2nd Reviewer: X

Thorganics (see cover)
 METHOD: Trace metals (EPA-SW-846)

#	Blank ID	Analyte	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
	MB	NH ₃ -N	21 samples were associated with on MB	A11	Test

Comments:

LDC #: 1323486
 SDG #: H048

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: luH
 2nd reviewer: ✓

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits) <i>(≤ 150)</i>	Qualifier
	2	7		
TS (%)	50.90	51.50	1	
NH ₃ -N (mg/kg)	8.22	8.03	2	
S ↓	110	770	150	
Toc (%)	1.94	1.90	2	

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits) <i>(≤ 150)</i>	Qualifier
	12	13		
TS (%)	69.00	68.90	0	
NH ₃ -N (mg/kg)	3.49	5.05	37	
Toc (%)	1.77	1.81	2	

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ()		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

LDC#: 13234 A6
 SDG#: W-48

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: WJ
 2nd Reviewer: R

Grain Size, Method PSEP

N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Phi Size	% Finer (%)		RPD (5/150)	
	2	7		
-2	100.0	99.8	0	
-1	99.8	99.3	1	
0	99.0	98.7	0	
1	92.4	95.0	3	
2	78.7	84.1	7	
3	73.6	76.1	3	
4	62.9	67.8	7	
5	49.5	52.7	6	
6	35.5	38.2	7	
7	23.7	19.8	18	
8	15.6	13.6	14	
9	11.2	10.0	11	
10	7.6	6.8	11	

LDC#: 13234 A6
 SDG#: 4448

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 3 of 3
 Reviewer: WJ
 2nd Reviewer: JK

Grain Size, Method PSEP

- Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
- N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Phi Size	% Finer (%)		RPD (5/150)	
	12	13		
-2	100.0	97.1	3	
-1	99.5	96.0	4	
0	95.2	92.0	3	
1	69.2	66.5	4	
2	37.2	34.6	7	
3	31.5	29.4	7	
4	27.0	25.0	8	
5	22.9	21.3	7	
6	16.1	15.7	3	
7	10.9	10.7	2	
8	7.5	7.2	4	
9	5.5	5.2	6	
10	3.8	3.7	3	

LDC #: 13234B6
 SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: lwn
 2nd Reviewer: jl

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PSBP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20, 21/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	SW	
V	Duplicates	SW	Triplicate
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCST + SRM, No SRM for S. Text.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	LDW-SS43-010	11	LDW-SS75 010	21	LDW SS101-010MS	31	
2	LDW-SS44-010	12	LDW-SS101-010	22	LDW-SS101-010MSD	32	
3	LDW-SS87-010	13	LDW-SS43-010MS	23	LDW-SS101-010DUP	33	
4	LDW-SS94-010	14	LDW-SS43-010DUP	24	LDW-SS43-010 TRP	34	
5	LDW-SS96-010	15	LDW-SS87-010DUP	25	LDW-SS95-010 TRP	35	
6	LDW-SS97-010	16	LDW-SS87-010TRP	26	LDW-SS101-010 TRP	36	
7	LDW-SS31-010	17	LDW-SS67-010MS	27	MB	37	
8	LDW-SS67-010	18	LDW-SS67-010MSD	28		38	
9	LDW-SS63-010	19	LDW-SS75-010MS	29		39	
10	LDW-SS70-010	20	LDW-SS75-010DUP	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13234/B6
 SDG #: H27 + H28

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: KH
 2nd reviewer: JL

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-12	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS) (Grain Size)
13	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____
14	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS) _____
15	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ (Grain Size)
16	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____ (Grain Size)
17	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
18	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
19	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
20	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
21	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
22	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
↓ 23	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS) _____
↓ 24	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN (TOC) CR ⁰⁺ _____ (TS) _____
↓ 25	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) _____
↓ 26	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ (S) (TS) _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁰⁺ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 132486
SDG #: H027-1428

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: Mlx
2nd Reviewer: R

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Matrix Spike Analysis

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See LDC#

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
(Y) (N) N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125 (65-115% for Method 300.0)? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. *off limits*

LEVEL IV ONLY:
(Y) (N) N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Matrix Spike ID	Matrix	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	19	Substrate	S	65.7	1-11	J-145/A

Comments: _____

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A Was a matrix spike analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?
- Y N N/A Were matrix spike percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 75-125% if the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken. DAPP limits
- Y N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for water samples and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples?

LEVEL IV ONLY: Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	MS/MSD ID	Matrix	Analyte	MS %Recovery	MSD %Recovery	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	2122	Sediment	S	60.2	54.8		12	J-05/A

Comments:

LDC #: 13234E6
 SDG #: HQ56 & HQ57
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/11/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: lm
 2nd Reviewer: jt

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (^{LM} PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	ASW	Sampling dates: 1/25, 26/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	Triplicates.
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCST SRM, No SRM for S. Test.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Sediment

1	LDW-SS52-010	11	LDW-SS143-010	21	MS	31	
2	LDW-SS92-010	12	LDW-SS52-010MS	22		32	
3	LDW-SS104-010	13	LDW-SS52-010DUP	23		33	
4	LDW-SS110-010	14	LDW-SS109-010MS	24		34	
5	LDW-SS109-010	15	LDW-SS109-010DUP	25		35	
6	LDW-SS115-010	16	LDW-SS49-010MS	26		36	
7	LDW-SS121-010	17	LDW-SS49-010DUP	27		37	
8	LDW-SS88-010	18	LDW-SS52-010 TRP	28		38	
9	LDW-SS33-010	19	LDW-SS109-010 TRP	29		39	
10	LDW-SS49-010	20	LDW-SS49-010 TRP	30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1323476
 SDG #: 4246 A1051

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: SL

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
<u>11</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' <u>NH₃</u> TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ <u>(S)</u> <u>(TS)</u> <u>(rain site)</u>
11	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
<u>12</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' <u>NH₃</u> TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
<u>13</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' <u>NH₃</u> TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>(TS)</u>
<u>14</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>(S)</u>
<u>15</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>(S)</u>
<u>16</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺
<u>17</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>(TOC)</u> CR ⁶⁺
<u>18</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>(TS)</u>
<u>19</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ <u>(S)</u>
<u>20</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>(TOC)</u> CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13298A6
 SDG #: HQ93
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 5/24/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: KM
 2nd Reviewer: JC

METHOD: Ammonia-N (EPA Method 350.1), Grain Size (PSEP), Sulfide (EPA Method 376.2), Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 4/28/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	Triplicates
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LAST SRM. No SRM for S, Test.
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: *sediment*

1	LDW-SSCR20 010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SSCR23-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-MSMP43-010	13		23		33	
4	LDW-SSCR20-010MS	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SSCR20-010DUP	15		25		35	
6	LDW-MSMP43-010MS	16		26		36	
7	LDW-MSMP43-010DUP	17		27		37	
8	LDW-SSCR20-010 TRP	18		28		38	
9	LDW-MSMP43-010 TRP	19		29		39	
10	LDW	20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 13298AG
SDG #: 1497

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MM
2nd reviewer: DL

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-3	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u> <u>S</u> <u>min size</u>
4	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ _____
5	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u> _____
6	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____ <u>S</u> _____
7	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____ <u>S</u> _____
8	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u> _____
9	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____ <u>S</u> _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN' NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ _____

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13234 B6
 SDG #: HA-29-HA-28

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Duplicate Analysis

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MH
 2nd Reviewer: pe

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Was a duplicate sample analyzed for each matrix in this SDG?

Y N N/A Were all duplicate sample relative percent differences (RPD) $\leq 20\%$ for water and $\leq 35\%$ for soil samples ($\leq 10\%$ for Method 300.0)? If no, see qualification below. A control limit of $\pm CRDL$ ($\pm 2X$ CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were $\leq 5X$ the CRDL. If field blanks were used for laboratory duplicates, see overall assessment. CRDL Limit

LEVEL IV ONLY:

Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Duplicate ID	Matrix	Analyte	RPD	$\pm RPD$ (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	1120, 25 (Triplicates)	sediment	S	40.2	(± 20)	1-11	JMS/p

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13315A6
 SDG #: HS56
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/28/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: HY
 2nd Reviewer: SL

METHOD: Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3), TOC (Plumb),

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: <u>2/8, 10/05</u>
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	
V	Duplicates	A	<u>Triplicates.</u>
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	<u>LCS + SRM</u>
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Submit.

1	LDW-SS4-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SS5a-010	12		22		32	
3	LDW-SS5b-010	13		23		33	
4	SC-SS1b-010	14		24		34	
5	LDW-SS4-010MS	15		25		35	
6	LDW-SS4-010DUP	16		26		36	
7	LDW-SS4-010TRP	17		27		37	
8	<u>MD</u>	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1331566
 SDG #: 4556

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-4	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u>
2-5	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺
6,7	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN <u>TOC</u> CR ⁶⁺ <u>TS</u>
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13315B6
 SDG #: HT56
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level II

Date: 3/28/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WH
 2nd Reviewer: X

METHOD: Grain Size (PSZP) Total Solids (EPA Method 160.3)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: 2/1, 9/05
IIa.	Initial calibration	N	
IIb.	Calibration verification	N	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV.	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	N	k.t. required
V.	Duplicates	A	Triplicates
VI.	Laboratory control samples	N	k.t. required
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Solvent.

1	DR-SS5-010	11	DR-SS5-010TRP	21	31
2	DR SS6 010	12	LB	22	32
3	DR-SS7-010	13		23	33
4	DR-SS9-010	14		24	34
5	DR-SS10-010	15		25	35
6	DR-SS11-010	16		26	36
7	DR-SS13-010	17		27	37
8	DR-SS14-010	18		28	38
9	DR-SS15-010	19		29	39
10	DR-SS5-010DUP	20		30	40

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1331536
 SDG #: 4756

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
 Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: MM
 2nd reviewer: J

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
<u>1-9</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ (TS) (Grain Size)
<u>B2 10, 11</u>	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺ ↓ ↓
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺
	pH TDS Cl F NO ₃ NO ₂ SO ₄ PO ₄ ALK CN ⁻ NH ₃ TKN TOC CR ⁶⁺

Comments: _____

LDC #: 13215B6
 SDG #: WT56

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Technical Holding Times

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: WM
 2nd reviewer: cg

All circled dates have exceeded the technical holding time.
 Y N N/A Were all samples preserved as applicable to each method?
 Y N N/A Were all cooler temperatures within validation criteria?

Method:		16.3					
Parameters:		TS					
Technical holding time:		7 days					
Sample ID	Sampling date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Analysis date	Qualifier
1-4, 10, 11	2/1/05	2/28/05	(27 days)				J-R/P
5-9	2/9/05	↓	(19 days)				↓

LDC #: 13204A19
 SDG #: HP67
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level IV

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Tributyl Tin (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) / Krone

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/17/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	no spccs & occs
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A SW	LCS. SW
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS4-010	sed	NB-012205			
2	LDW-SS14-010					
3	LDW-SS15-010					
4	LDW-SS14-010M3					
5	LDW SS14-010MSD					
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						

LDC #: 13204019
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: 9
 2nd Reviewer: K

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204019
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: CL
 2nd Reviewer: YL

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204619
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: g
2nd Reviewer: ✓

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.		/		
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.			/	
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/	/	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: Q
 2nd Reviewer: N

LDC #: B204A19
 SDG #: HPGT

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
 Was a LCS required?
 Y N N/A

Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LCS-012205	A	3.4 (20-130)	() ()	() ()	MTBk	✓ R/P
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		

LDC #: 13204-019
 SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 61
 Reviewer: g
 2nd Reviewer: K

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_s)/(A_s)(C_x)$ A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards, C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$ S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (std)	RRF (std)	Average RRF (initial)	Average RRF (initial)	%RSD	%RSD		
1	KAZ	1/8/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) IBT	0.56	0.56	0.558	0.558	6.1	6.1		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: B304A19
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 (of 1)
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = $100 * (\text{ave. RRF} - \text{RRF}) / \text{ave. RRF}$ Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = $(A_x)(C_s) / (A_s)(C_x)$ RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_x = Area of compound, A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_x = Concentration of compound, C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	SCOPS	1/5/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) TBT	0.558	0.560	0.4	0.560	0.4
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(e)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204819
 SDG #: H267

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5 <i>Tripropyl tin</i>	0.5	0.3585	71.7	71.7	0
2-Fluorobiphenyl <i>Tripropyl tin</i>	✓	0.3457	69.0	69.0	0
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: B201019
 SDG #: HPGT

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: 9
 2nd Reviewer: K

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

$\% \text{ Recovery} = 100 * ((\text{SSC} - \text{SC}) / \text{SA})$ Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration SC = Sample concentration
 SA = Spike added
 $\text{RPD} = 100 * ((\text{MS} - \text{MSD}) / (\text{MS} + \text{MSD}))$ MS = Matrix spike percent recovery MSD = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD samples: 4/5

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Sample Concentration (ug/L)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Phenol (BT)	47.3	47.4	ND	ND	53.4	49.4	113	113	104	104	7.8	7.8
2-Chlorophenol												
1,4-Dichlorobenzene												
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine												
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene												
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol												
Acenaphthene												
4-Nitrophenol												
2,4-Dinitrotoluene												
Pentachlorophenol												
Pyrazole												

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results

LDC #: 3204619
SDG #: HP67

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: _____
2nd Reviewer: XC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 346 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$ Where: SSC = Spike concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * \frac{|LCS - LCSD|}{LCS + LCSD}$ LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LCS-012205

Compound	Spike Added (MKS)		Spike Concentration (MKS)		LCS		LCSD		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.
Phenol	50	NA	59	NA	118	118								
2-Chlorophenol														
1,4-Dichlorobenzene														
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine														
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene														
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol														
Acenaphthene														
4-Nitrophenol														
2,4-Dinitrotoluene														
Perchlorophenol														
Styrene														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 1320A19
 SDG #: HPGT

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_s)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(V_i)(\%S)}$$

- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_o = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_i = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- %S = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 1, TBT:

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(11848)(2)(0.500)}{(166858)(0.558)(5.19)(1)(0.457)}$$

$$= 24.52 \mu\text{g/kg}$$

$$\text{Conc}_{\text{final}} = 24.52 \times 0.8675 = 21.27 \mu\text{g/kg}$$

(ek/wide)

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HP70
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
 Level IV

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Tributyl Tin (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) (Krone)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/18/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	no CCC & SPECCS
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	↓
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	LDW-SS14-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	LCS, SRM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	A	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D=1+2
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS27-010	sed	MB-02205			
2	LDW-SS200-010					
3	LDW-SS32-010					
4	LDW-SS36-010					
5	LDW-SS51-010					
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Method: Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
II. GC/MS Instrument performance check				
Were the DFTPP performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Was a curve fit used for evaluation? If Yes, what was the acceptance criteria used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Did the initial calibration meet the curve fit acceptance criteria?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) \leq 30% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) and relative response factors (RRF) within method criteria for all CCCs and SPCCs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were all percent differences (%D) \leq 25% and relative response factors (RRF) \geq 0.05?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix and concentration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

LDC #: 13204 B19
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 2 of 3
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within -50% or +100% of the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Were retention times within ± 30 seconds from the associated calibration standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 10 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within $\pm 20\%$ between the sample and the reference spectra?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

LDC #: 13204B19
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 3 of 3
Reviewer: 9
2nd Reviewer: 92

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	/			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	/			
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		/	/	
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.			/	

LDC #: 13204B19
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: SP
2nd Reviewer: RP

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(N/N/A) Was a LCS required?

(Y/N/N/A) Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>LCS-D/2205</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>3.4</u> (<u>20-70</u>)	()	()	<u>M + BK</u>	<u>RP</u>
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HP 70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
 Y N N/A Were target compounds identified in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (<u>µg/g</u>)		RPD (≤ 50)
	1	2	
Tributyl Tin chloride	4.3 3.8	4.3 2.4	45
Dibutyl Tin dichloride	12	5.8 U	200 NC

Compound	Concentration ()		RPD

Compound	Concentration ()		RPD

Compound	Concentration ()		RPD

LUV #: 13204-B19
 SDG #: HPT

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 017
 Reviewer: G
 2nd Reviewer: R

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_s)(C_s)/(A_x)(C_x)$
 average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
 $\%RSD = 100 * (S/X)$
 A_s = Area of compound, A_x = Area of associated internal standard
 C_s = Concentration of compound, C_x = Concentration of internal standard
 S = Standard deviation of the RRFs, X = Mean of the RRFs

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported		Recalculated		Reported		Recalculated	
				RRF (/ std)	(/ std)	RRF (/ std)	(/ std)	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD	Average RRF (Initial)	%RSD
1	ICAZ	1/8/05	Phenol (1st internal standard) TBT	0.58	0.56	0.558	0.558	6.1	6.1		
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)								
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)								
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)								
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)								
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)								
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)								

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B19

SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: (of 1)
 Reviewer: SC
 2nd Reviewer: K

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

$$\% \text{ Difference} = 100 * (\text{ave RRF} - \text{RRF}_{\text{ave}}) / \text{RRF}_{\text{ave}}$$

$$\text{RRF} = (A_u)(C_s) / (A_s)(C_u)$$

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
 RRF = continuing calibration RRF
 A_u = Area of compound,
 A_s = Area of associated internal standard
 C_u = Concentration of compound,
 C_s = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported		Recalculated	
					RRF (CC)	%D	RRF (CC)	%D
1	CCOPS	1/5/05	Benzo(a)pyrene (1st internal standard) TBT	0.558	0.560	0.4	0.560	0.4
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
2			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					
3			Phenol (1st internal standard)					
			Naphthalene (2nd internal standard)					
			Fluorene (3rd internal standard)					
			Pentachlorophenol (4th internal standard)					
			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (5th internal standard)					
			Benzo(a)pyrene (6th internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
 SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 1

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5 <u>Tripropyl Tin</u>	0.5	0.3224	64.7	64.5	0.2
2-Fluorobiphenyl <u>Tripropyl Tin</u>	✓	0.3390	66.9	67.8	0.9
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery Reported	Percent Recovery Recalculated	Percent Difference
Nitrobenzene-d5					
2-Fluorobiphenyl					
Terphenyl-d14					
Phenol-d5					
2-Fluorophenol					
2,4,6-Tribromophenol					
2-Chlorophenol-d4					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 13204BI9
SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: DC

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = $100 * (SC/SA)$

Where: SSC = Spike concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = $100 * (LCS - LCSD) / (LCS + LCSD)$

LCS = Laboratory control sample percent recovery

LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS/LCSD samples: LES-012205

Compound	Spike Added (ppm)		Spike Concentration (ppm)		LCS		LCSD		Percent Recovery		Percent Recovery		RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
Triphenyl	50	NA	59	NA	118	118								
2-Chlorophenol														
1,4-Dichlorobenzene														
N-Nitroso-dim-propylamine														
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene														
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol														
Acenaphthene														
4-Nitrophenol														
2,4-Dinitrotoluene														
Pentachlorophenol														
Chrysene														

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 13204B19
 SDG #: HP70

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

- N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
 N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_s)(I_s)(V_i)(DF)(2.0)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_e)(V)(\%S)}$$

- A_s = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured
- A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard
- I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)
- V_e = Volume or weight of sample extract in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).
- V_i = Volume of extract injected in microliters (ul)
- V_l = Volume of the concentrated extract in microliters (ul)
- Df = Dilution Factor.
- $\%S$ = Percent solids, applicable to soil and solid matrices only.
- 2.0 = Factor of 2 to account for GPC cleanup

Example:

Sample I.D. 1, TBT:

$$\text{Conc.} = \frac{(22557) \times (2) \times (500) \times (1)}{(177699) \times (0.558) \times (5.15)}$$

$$= 44.17 \text{ } \mu\text{g/g}$$

$$\text{Conc.} = 44.17 \times 0.8675 = 38.3 \text{ } \mu\text{g/g}$$

chloride

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ()	Calculated Concentration ()	Qualification

LDC #: 13234B19 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ27 & HQ28 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) *TBT only*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/21/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	LCS, SPM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS43-010	<i>sed</i>	UB-012905		31
2	LDW-SS31-010				32
3	LDW-SS67-010				33
4	LDW-SS67-010MS				34
5	LDW-SS67-010MSD				35
6					36
7					37
8					38
9					39
10					40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

Y N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Y N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		4/5	A	19.3 (20-30)	()	83.3 (<50)	3	[Signature]
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
			A = Butyl Tin Trichloride	()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	GG. Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-118%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	II. 4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	36-97%	≤ 28%	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	TT. Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-103%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	ZZ. Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LDC #: 13234B19
 SDG #: HR27

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A
 Was a LCS required?

Y N N/A
 Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>LCS-012905</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>2.0</u> (20-130)	()	()	<u>MT+Bk</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
			<u>A = Butyl Tin Trichloride</u>					

LDC #: 13234A19 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**
 SDG #: HQ48 Level II
 Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 3/9/05
 Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) TBT only

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/24/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	AW	LDW-SS67-010 (H&D)
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	AW	CCS. SEM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	R
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS55-010	sed	MB-D12-905		31
2	LDW-SS79-010				32
3	LDW-SS58-010				33
4	LDW-SS56-010				34
5	LDW-SS28-010				35
6					36
7					37
8					38
9					39
10					40

LDC #: 13234019
 SDG #: H 848

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 6 of
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

Y N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Y N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<DN-5567-010	A	19.3 (20-130)	()	83.2 (≤ 50)	None	None
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-118%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	36-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-103%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	≤ 28%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

LDC #: 13234019
 SDG #: #040

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A

Was a LCS required?

Y N N/A

Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>LCS-012905</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>2.0</u> (20-130)	()	()	<u>M+BK</u>	<u>✓ R/P</u>
			<u>A = Butyl Tin Tetrachloride</u>	()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

METHOD: GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) TBT only

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/26/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	SW	LDW-SS6T-010
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	LOS. SP-1
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS33-010	sed	MB-01=905		31
2	LDW-SS49-010	✓			32
3					33
4					34
5					35
6					36
7					37
8					38
9					39
10					40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A

Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water.

Y N N/A

Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix?

Y N N/A

Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		40W-SSGT-010	A	19.3 (80-130)	()	82.7 (≤ 50)	None	Abundant
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

A = Bulky Tim Twitchend

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	25-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-118%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	26-104%	≤ 27%	36-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-103%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	36-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LDC #: 13234019
SDG #: AR57

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 6 of 1
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
Was a LCS required?
Y, N, N/A
Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?
Y, N, N/A

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>LCS-012905</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>20 (20-130)</u>	()	()	<u>M-T-B-F</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

METHOD: GC/MS Butyltins (Krone/EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM) *TBT only*

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 2/2/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	N	
IV.	Continuing calibration	N	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	AW	LOS. SWM
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	N	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable ND = No compounds detected D = Duplicate
 N = Not provided/applicable R = Rinsate TB = Trip blank
 SW = See worksheet FB = Field blank EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS20-010	SW	UB-020805			31
2	LDW-SS20-010MS					32
3	LDW-SS20-010MSD					33
4						34
5						35
6						36
7						37
8						38
9						39
10						40

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)**

LDC #: 133-234019
SDG #: HR48

Page: 4 of 4
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 9270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Was a LCS required? Y
Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?
Y N N/A

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCS D %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LCS-020805	A	2.0 (20-130)	() ()	() ()	MT-PK	[Signature]
					() ()	() ()		
					() ()	() ()		
					() ()	() ()		
			A = Butyl Tin Twick (nirole)	() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		

LDC #: 14000A2a **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: IL52

Level III

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 9/8/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	A	↑ 2 STD
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	↑ 2 D
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	DMP N/SW	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SEM A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	PRY-1/2 low
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
 N = Not provided/applicable
 SW = See worksheet
 ND = No compounds detected
 R = Rinsate
 FB = Field blank
 D = Duplicate
 TB = Trip blank
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

ced

1	LDW-SS117-010	11	21	31
2	LDW-SS117-010DUP	12	22	32
3		13	23	33
4		14	24	34
5		15	25	35
6		16	26	36
7		17	27	37
8		18	28	38
9		19	29	39
10		20	30	40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N A Was a method blank analyzed for each matrix?
- Y N A Was a method blank analyzed for each concentration preparation level?
- Y N A Was a method blank associated with every sample?
- Y N A Was the blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 8/26/05 Blank analysis date: 8/30/05

Conc. units: ug/l Associated Samples: 1

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
Di-n-butylphthalate	<u>MA2087605</u> <u>72</u>	<u>1</u> <u>26/4</u>
Butylbenzylphthalate	<u>11</u>	<u>120</u>
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		
Di-n-octylphthalate		
CRQL		
TICs:		
4-Hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone		

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

LDC #: 14000 A 20
SDG #: JLS

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: KC
2nd Reviewer: G

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

N N/A Were a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD. Soil / Water. **DUP**

N N/A Was a MS/MSD analyzed every 20 samples of each matrix? **DUP**

N N/A Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits? **DUP**

#	Date	MS/MSD ID	Compound	MS %R (Limits)	MSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		2	XX	()	()	166 (≤ 50)	1	Site A
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		
				()	()	()		

Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)	Compound	QC Limits (Soil)	RPD (Soil)	QC Limits (Water)	RPD (Water)
A. Phenol	26-90%	≤ 35%	12-110%	≤ 42%	Acenaphthene	31-137%	≤ 19%	46-118%	≤ 31%
C. 2-Chlorophenol	25-102%	≤ 50%	27-123%	≤ 40%	4-Nitrophenol	11-114%	≤ 50%	10-80%	≤ 50%
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	28-104%	≤ 27%	36-97%	≤ 28%	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	28-89%	≤ 47%	24-96%	≤ 38%
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-126%	≤ 38%	41-116%	≤ 38%	Pentachlorophenol	17-109%	≤ 47%	9-103%	≤ 50%
R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	38-107%	≤ 23%	39-98%	≤ 28%	Pyrene	35-142%	≤ 36%	26-127%	≤ 31%
V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	26-103%	≤ 33%	23-97%	≤ 42%					

LDC #: 14000A2b **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

SDG #: IL52

Level III

Laboratory: Analytical Resources, Inc.

Date: 1/20/05

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS Semivolatiles (EPA SW 846 Method 8270C-SIM)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 1/20/05
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	N	
III.	Initial calibration	A	SPSD, 17
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	SW A/R	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	DUP N/A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	SW	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples

1	LDW-SS117-010	11		21		31	
2	LDW-SS117-010DUP	12		22		32	
3		13		23		33	
4		14		24		34	
5		15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

A. Phenol**	P. Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	EE. 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	TT. Pentachlorophenol**	III. Benzo(a)pyrene**
B. Bis (2-chloroethyl) ether	Q. 2,4-Dichlorophenol**	FF. 3-Nitroaniline	UU. Phenanthrene	JJJ. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
C. 2-Chlorophenol	R. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	GG. Acenaphthene**	VV. Anthracene	KKK. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
D. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	S. Naphthalene	HH. 2,4-Dinitrophenol*	WW. Carbazole	LLL. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene
E. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene**	T. 4-Chloroaniline	II. 4-Nitrophenol*	XX. Di-n-butylphthalate	MMM. Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether
F. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U. Hexachlorobutadiene**	JJ. Dibenzofuran	YY. Fluoranthene**	NNN. Aniline
G. 2-Methylphenol	V. 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol**	KK. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ZZ. Pyrene	OOO. N-Nitrosodimethylamine
H. 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	W. 2-Methylnaphthalene	LL. Diethylphthalate	AAA. Butylbenzylphthalate	PPP. Benzoic Acid
I. 4-Methylphenol	X. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene*	MM. 4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	BBB. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	QQQ. Benzyl alcohol
J. N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine*	Y. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol**	NN. Fluorene	CCC. Benzo(a)anthracene	RRR. Pyridine
K. Hexachloroethane	Z. 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	OO. 4-Nitroaniline	DDD. Chrysene	SSS. Benzidine
L. Nitrobenzene	AA. 2-Chloronaphthalene	PP. 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	EEE. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	TTT.
M. Isophorone	BB. 2-Nitroaniline	QQ. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)**	FFF. Di-n-octylphthalate**	UUU.
N. 2-Nitrophenol**	CC. Dimethylphthalate	RR. 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	GGG. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	VVV.
O. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	DD. Acenaphthylene	SS. Hexachlorobenzene	HHH. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	WWW.

LDC #: 14000A26
 SDG #: ILS2

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
 Reviewer: [Signature]
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y N N/A
 Y N N/A
 Y N N/A
 Y N N/A

Blank extraction date: 8/26/05 Blank analysis date: 8/31/05

Conc. units: ug/kg Associated Samples: 1

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification
Di-n-butylphthalate	<u>MB882605</u>	<u>1</u>
Butylbenzylphthalate		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		
Di-n-octylphthalate	<u>9.3</u>	<u>11/1</u>
<u>Diethylphthalate</u>		
CRQL		
TICs:		
4-Hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone		

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:
 Common contaminants such as the phthalates and TICs noted above that were detected in samples within ten times the associated method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U". Other contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were also qualified as not detected, "U".

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Recovery

LDC #: 14000A214

SDG #: 1452

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualification below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Were percent recoveries (%R) for surrogates within QC limits?

Y N N/A If 2 or more base neutral or acid surrogates were outside QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Y N N/A If any %R was less than 10 percent, was a reanalysis performed to confirm %R?

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: X

2nd Reviewer: *[Signature]*

#	Date	Sample ID	Surrogate	%R (Limits)	Qualifications
	1	1	DCB	36.0 (40-130)	no qual

* QC limits are advisory

QC Limits (Soil)

- S1 (NBZ) = Nitrobenzene-d5 23-120
- S2 (FBP) = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 30-115
- S3 (TPH) = Terphenyl-d14 18-137
- S4 (PHL) = Phenol-d5 24-113

QC Limits (Water)

- 35-114
- 43-116
- 33-141
- 10-94

QC Limits (Soil)

- 25-121
- 19-122
- 20-130*
- 20-130*

QC Limits (Water)

- 21-100
- 10-123
- 33-110*
- 16-110*

S5 (2FP) = 2-Fluorophenol

S6 (TBP) = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol

S7 (2CP) = 2-Chlorophenol-d4

S8 (DCB) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4

SUR.2S

LDC #: 14000-22
SDG #: IL52

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Page: 2 of 2
Reviewer: [Signature]
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

METHOD: GC/MS BNA (EPA SW 846 Method 8270)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".
(Y) N N/A
Was a LCS required?
(Y) N N/A
Were the LCS/LCSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?

#	Date	LCS/LCSD ID	Compound	LCS %R (Limits)	LCSD %R (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		LCS082605	TF	28.0 (40-130)	() ()	() ()	M. DL	SMJ/P
			J	18.0 (↓)	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		
				() ()	() ()	() ()		